	Page 1		Page 3
1	DEPARTMENT OF ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION	1	INDEX
	STATE of MISSOURI	2	Nick Kremer Page 113
2		3	Julie Thomas Page 118
3		4	Lou Ann Saighman Page 123
4		5	Ralf Trusty Page 126
5		6	Comments by Commissioner Vandeven Page 128
6 7	MISSOURI LEARNING STANDARDS	7	Closing Remarks by President Shields Page 130
8	PUBLIC HEARING	8	3
9	OCTOBER 26, 2015	9	
10		10	
11	JEFFERSON STATE OFFICE BUILDING	11	
10	STATE BOARD ROOM	12	
12	205 JEFFERSON STREET	13	
13	JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102	14	
14		15	
15		16	
16	(Starting time of hearing: 1:04 p.m.)	17	
17		18	
18 19		19	
20		20	
21		21	
22		22	
23		23 24	
24		24	
		25	
25		25	
25	Page 2	25	Page 4
25 1 2	Page 2	1	Page 4 APPEARANCES:
1			APPEARANCES:
1 2	INDEX	1 2	A P P E A R A N C E S:  Present for the Missouri State Board of
1 2 3	I N D E X  Roll/Introduction of Board Members Page 05  Opening Remarks by President Shields Page 07  Work Group Reports	1 2 3	A P P E A R A N C E S:  Present for the Missouri State Board of Education:
1 2 3 4	I N D E X  Roll/Introduction of Board Members Page 05  Opening Remarks by President Shields Page 07  Work Group Reports  Science K-5 Page 08	1 2	A P P E A R A N C E S:  Present for the Missouri State Board of Education: Charlie Shields, President
1 2 3 4 5	I N D E X  Roll/Introduction of Board Members Page 05  Opening Remarks by President Shields Page 07  Work Group Reports  Science K-5 Page 08  Science 6-12 Page 14	1 2 3 4 5 6	A P P E A R A N C E S:  Present for the Missouri State Board of Education: Charlie Shields, President Margie Vandeven, Commissioner Maynard Wallace, Representative
1 2 3 4 5	INDEX  Roll/Introduction of Board Members Page 05  Opening Remarks by President Shields Page 07  Work Group Reports  Science K-5 Page 08  Science 6-12 Page 14  Social Studies K-5 Page 20	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	A P P E A R A N C E S:  Present for the Missouri State Board of Education: Charlie Shields, President Margie Vandeven, Commissioner Maynard Wallace, Representative John Martin, Representative
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	INDEX  Roll/Introduction of Board Members Page 05  Opening Remarks by President Shields Page 07  Work Group Reports  Science K-5 Page 08  Science 6-12 Page 14  Social Studies K-5 Page 20  Social Studies 6-12 Page 28	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A P P E A R A N C E S:  Present for the Missouri State Board of Education: Charlie Shields, President Margie Vandeven, Commissioner Maynard Wallace, Representative John Martin, Representative Russ Still, Representative
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Roll/Introduction of Board Members Page 05 Opening Remarks by President Shields Page 07 Work Group Reports Science K-5 Page 08 Science 6-12 Page 14 Social Studies K-5 Page 20 Social Studies 6-12 Page 28 English Language Arts K-5 Page 36	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A P P E A R A N C E S:  Present for the Missouri State Board of Education: Charlie Shields, President Margie Vandeven, Commissioner Maynard Wallace, Representative John Martin, Representative Russ Still, Representative Vic Lenz, Representative
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9	Roll/Introduction of Board Members Page 05 Opening Remarks by President Shields Page 07 Work Group Reports Science K-5 Page 08 Science 6-12 Page 14 Social Studies K-5 Page 20 Social Studies 6-12 Page 28 English Language Arts K-5 Page 36 English Language Arts 6-12 Page 39	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A P P E A R A N C E S:  Present for the Missouri State Board of Education: Charlie Shields, President Margie Vandeven, Commissioner Maynard Wallace, Representative John Martin, Representative Russ Still, Representative Vic Lenz, Representative Peter Herschend, Representative
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10	Roll/Introduction of Board Members Page 05 Opening Remarks by President Shields Page 07 Work Group Reports Science K-5 Page 08 Science 6-12 Page 14 Social Studies K-5 Page 20 Social Studies 6-12 Page 28 English Language Arts K-5 Page 36 English Language Arts 6-12 Page 39 Mathematics K-5 Page 44	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A P P E A R A N C E S:  Present for the Missouri State Board of Education: Charlie Shields, President Margie Vandeven, Commissioner Maynard Wallace, Representative John Martin, Representative Russ Still, Representative Vic Lenz, Representative
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 111	INDEX  Roll/Introduction of Board Members Page 05  Opening Remarks by President Shields Page 07  Work Group Reports  Science K-5 Page 08  Science 6-12 Page 14  Social Studies K-5 Page 20  Social Studies 6-12 Page 28  English Language Arts K-5 Page 36  English Language Arts 6-12 Page 39  Mathematics K-5 Page 44  Mathematics 6-12 Page 55	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A P P E A R A N C E S:  Present for the Missouri State Board of Education: Charlie Shields, President Margie Vandeven, Commissioner Maynard Wallace, Representative John Martin, Representative Russ Still, Representative Vic Lenz, Representative Peter Herschend, Representative
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12	INDEX  Roll/Introduction of Board Members Page 05  Opening Remarks by President Shields Page 07  Work Group Reports  Science K-5 Page 08  Science 6-12 Page 14  Social Studies K-5 Page 20  Social Studies 6-12 Page 28  English Language Arts K-5 Page 36  English Language Arts 6-12 Page 39  Mathematics K-5 Page 44  Mathematics 6-12 Page 55  Public Testimony	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A P P E A R A N C E S:  Present for the Missouri State Board of Education: Charlie Shields, President Margie Vandeven, Commissioner Maynard Wallace, Representative John Martin, Representative Russ Still, Representative Vic Lenz, Representative Peter Herschend, Representative
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13	I N D E X  Roll/Introduction of Board Members Page 05  Opening Remarks by President Shields Page 07  Work Group Reports  Science K-5 Page 08  Science 6-12 Page 14  Social Studies K-5 Page 20  Social Studies 6-12 Page 28  English Language Arts K-5 Page 36  English Language Arts 6-12 Page 39  Mathematics K-5 Page 44  Mathematics 6-12 Page 55  Public Testimony  Dr. Mary Byrne Page 64	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A P P E A R A N C E S:  Present for the Missouri State Board of Education: Charlie Shields, President Margie Vandeven, Commissioner Maynard Wallace, Representative John Martin, Representative Russ Still, Representative Vic Lenz, Representative Peter Herschend, Representative
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 111 12 13 14	INDEX  Roll/Introduction of Board Members Page 05  Opening Remarks by President Shields Page 07  Work Group Reports  Science K-5 Page 08  Science 6-12 Page 14  Social Studies K-5 Page 20  Social Studies 6-12 Page 28  English Language Arts K-5 Page 36  English Language Arts 6-12 Page 39  Mathematics K-5 Page 44  Mathematics K-5 Page 44  Mathematics 6-12 Page 55  Public Testimony  Dr. Mary Byrne Page 69	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A P P E A R A N C E S:  Present for the Missouri State Board of Education: Charlie Shields, President Margie Vandeven, Commissioner Maynard Wallace, Representative John Martin, Representative Russ Still, Representative Vic Lenz, Representative Peter Herschend, Representative
1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 10 111 12 13 14 15	Roll/Introduction of Board Members Page 05 Opening Remarks by President Shields Page 07 Work Group Reports Science K-5 Page 08 Science 6-12 Page 14 Social Studies K-5 Page 20 Social Studies K-5 Page 28 English Language Arts K-5 Page 36 English Language Arts 6-12 Page 39 Mathematics K-5 Page 44 Mathematics 6-12 Page 55 Public Testimony Dr. Mary Byrne Page 64 Toni Becker Page 69 Tonya Long	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A P P E A R A N C E S:  Present for the Missouri State Board of Education: Charlie Shields, President Margie Vandeven, Commissioner Maynard Wallace, Representative John Martin, Representative Russ Still, Representative Vic Lenz, Representative Peter Herschend, Representative
1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Roll/Introduction of Board Members Page 05 Opening Remarks by President Shields Page 07 Work Group Reports Science K-5 Page 08 Science 6-12 Page 14 Social Studies K-5 Page 20 Social Studies 6-12 Page 28 English Language Arts K-5 Page 36 English Language Arts 6-12 Page 39 Mathematics K-5 Page 44 Mathematics 6-12 Page 55 Public Testimony Dr. Mary Byrne Page 64 Toni Becker Page 69 Tonya Long Page 72 Dr. Lisa Meredith & Liz Morrison Page 78	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A P P E A R A N C E S:  Present for the Missouri State Board of Education: Charlie Shields, President Margie Vandeven, Commissioner Maynard Wallace, Representative John Martin, Representative Russ Still, Representative Vic Lenz, Representative Peter Herschend, Representative
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 111 12 13 14 15 16 17	Roll/Introduction of Board Members Page 05 Opening Remarks by President Shields Page 07 Work Group Reports Science K-5 Page 08 Science 6-12 Page 14 Social Studies K-5 Page 20 Social Studies 6-12 Page 28 English Language Arts K-5 Page 36 English Language Arts 6-12 Page 39 Mathematics K-5 Page 44 Mathematics 6-12 Page 55 Public Testimony Dr. Mary Byrne Page 64 Toni Becker Page 69 Tonya Long Page 72 Dr. Lisa Meredith & Liz Morrison Page 78 Van Harvey Page 85	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A P P E A R A N C E S:  Present for the Missouri State Board of Education: Charlie Shields, President Margie Vandeven, Commissioner Maynard Wallace, Representative John Martin, Representative Russ Still, Representative Vic Lenz, Representative Peter Herschend, Representative Mike Jones, Representative
1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Roll/Introduction of Board Members Page 05 Opening Remarks by President Shields Page 07 Work Group Reports Science K-5 Page 08 Science 6-12 Page 14 Social Studies K-5 Page 20 Social Studies 6-12 Page 28 English Language Arts K-5 Page 36 English Language Arts 6-12 Page 39 Mathematics K-5 Page 44 Mathematics 6-12 Page 55 Public Testimony Dr. Mary Byrne Page 64 Toni Becker Page 69 Tonya Long Page 72 Dr. Lisa Meredith & Liz Morrison Page 78 Van Harvey Page 85 Bob Miller Page 87	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A P P E A R A N C E S:  Present for the Missouri State Board of Education: Charlie Shields, President Margie Vandeven, Commissioner Maynard Wallace, Representative John Martin, Representative Russ Still, Representative Vic Lenz, Representative Peter Herschend, Representative Mike Jones, Representative  COURT REPORTER: Susan M. Fiala, RPR, CSR, CCR
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Roll/Introduction of Board Members Page 05 Opening Remarks by President Shields Page 07 Work Group Reports Science K-5 Page 08 Science 6-12 Page 14 Social Studies K-5 Page 20 Social Studies 6-12 Page 28 English Language Arts K-5 Page 36 English Language Arts 6-12 Page 39 Mathematics K-5 Page 44 Mathematics K-5 Page 44 Mathematics 6-12 Page 55 Public Testimony Dr. Mary Byrne Page 64 Tonja Becker Page 69 Tonya Long Page 72 Dr. Lisa Meredith & Liz Morrison Page 78 Van Harvey Page 87 J. Carrie Launlus Page 93	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A P P E A R A N C E S:  Present for the Missouri State Board of Education: Charlie Shields, President Margie Vandeven, Commissioner Maynard Wallace, Representative John Martin, Representative Russ Still, Representative Vic Lenz, Representative Peter Herschend, Representative Mike Jones, Representative  COURT REPORTER: Susan M. Fiala, RPR, CSR, CCR MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES
1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 10 111 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	INDEX  Roll/Introduction of Board Members Page 05 Opening Remarks by President Shields Page 07  Work Group Reports  Science K-5 Page 08 Science 6-12 Page 14 Social Studies K-5 Page 20 Social Studies 6-12 Page 28 English Language Arts K-5 Page 36 English Language Arts 6-12 Page 39  Mathematics K-5 Page 44  Mathematics K-5 Page 44  Mathematics 6-12 Page 55  Public Testimony  Dr. Mary Byrne Page 69 Tonya Long Page 72  Dr. Lisa Meredith & Liz Morrison Page 78  Van Harvey Page 85  Bob Miller Page 87  J. Carrie Launius Page 93  Mike Szydlowski Page 97	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A P P E A R A N C E S:  Present for the Missouri State Board of Education: Charlie Shields, President Margie Vandeven, Commissioner Maynard Wallace, Representative John Martin, Representative Russ Still, Representative Vic Lenz, Representative Peter Herschend, Representative Mike Jones, Representative  COURT REPORTER: Susan M. Fiala, RPR, CSR, CCR MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES 711 N. Eleventh Street
1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	INDEX  Roll/Introduction of Board Members Page 05  Opening Remarks by President Shields Page 07  Work Group Reports  Science K-5 Page 08  Science 6-12 Page 14  Social Studies K-5 Page 20  Social Studies 6-12 Page 28  English Language Arts K-5 Page 36  English Language Arts 6-12 Page 39  Mathematics K-5 Page 44  Mathematics K-5 Page 44  Mathematics 6-12 Page 55  Public Testimony  Dr. Mary Byrne Page 69  Tonia Becker Page 69  Tonya Long Page 72  Dr. Lisa Meredith & Liz Morrison Page 78  Van Harvey Page 85  Bob Miller Page 97  J. Carrie Launius Page 97  Gretchen Logue Page 101	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A P P E A R A N C E S:  Present for the Missouri State Board of Education: Charlie Shields, President Margie Vandeven, Commissioner Maynard Wallace, Representative John Martin, Representative Russ Still, Representative Vic Lenz, Representative Peter Herschend, Representative Mike Jones, Representative  COURT REPORTER: Susan M. Fiala, RPR, CSR, CCR MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES
1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	INDEX  Roll/Introduction of Board Members Page 05 Opening Remarks by President Shields Page 07  Work Group Reports  Science K-5 Page 08 Science 6-12 Page 14 Social Studies K-5 Page 20 Social Studies 6-12 Page 28 English Language Arts K-5 Page 36 English Language Arts 6-12 Page 39  Mathematics K-5 Page 44  Mathematics K-5 Page 44  Mathematics 6-12 Page 55  Public Testimony  Dr. Mary Byrne Page 69 Tonya Long Page 72  Dr. Lisa Meredith & Liz Morrison Page 78  Van Harvey Page 85  Bob Miller Page 87  J. Carrie Launius Page 93  Mike Szydlowski Page 97	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A P P E A R A N C E S:  Present for the Missouri State Board of Education: Charlie Shields, President Margie Vandeven, Commissioner Maynard Wallace, Representative John Martin, Representative Russ Still, Representative Vic Lenz, Representative Peter Herschend, Representative Mike Jones, Representative  COURT REPORTER: Susan M. Fiala, RPR, CSR, CCR MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES 711 N. Eleventh Street St. Louis, Missouri 63101

	Page 5		Page 7
1	PROCEEDINGS	1	the Missouri School Board Association and have
2	PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Good afternoon.	2	been on this board this is the third year.
3	I'm going to ask Madame Secretary to call the	3	And my fun job was as an usher for the St. Louis
4	roll to make sure we have a quorum, and then I'm	4	Cardinals for 11 years.
5	going to ask after we call the roll all the	5	MR. HERSCHEND: My name is Peter
6	members will go around and introduce themselves	6	Herschend. I represent the Seventh
7	real quickly.	7	Congressional District. I live in Branson,
8	MS. BARBOUR: Mr. Wallace?	8	Missouri. Before coming to Jefferson City on
9	MR. WALLACE: Present.	9	the State Board I served 12 years on the Branson
10	MS. BARBOUR: Dr. Martin?	10	School Board, and I am presently wrapping up my
11	DR. MARTIN: Here.	11	26th year on this board.
12	MS. BARBOUR: Mr. Still?	12	PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Thank you. My name
13	MR. STILL: Here.	13	is Charlie Shields, and I have the honor of
14	MS. BARBOUR: Dr. Lenz?	14	serving as the president of the State Board of
15	DR. LENZ: Present.	15	Education. A little educational background: I
16	MS. BARBOUR: Mr. Herschend?	16	served on a local school board, Mid-Buchanan R-V
17	MR. HERSCHEND: Here.	17	for 16 years, also served 20 years in the state
18	MS. BARBOUR: President Shields?	18	legislature. And then my day job, because I
19	PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Here.	19	dream of having that retirement where I can wake
20	So let's start around with	20	up when I want to, I'm president and CEO of
21	Representative Wallace and ask him to introduce	21	Truman Medical Centers in Kansas City. I
22	himself so we'll let everybody in the audience	22	represent the Sixth Congressional District.
23	know who we are, and then we're looking forward	23	So we have a quorum, and Missouri State
24	to this hearing.	24	Statutes as amended 160.54.4 as amended by
25	MR. WALLACE: I'm Maynard Wallace. I	25	House Bill 1490 actually called for this
	Page 6		Page 8
1	Page 6	1	Page 8
1 2	represent the Eighth Congressional District	1 2	hearing. We will take a transcript of all the
1 2 3	represent the Eighth Congressional District here. I previously served a few years in public	1 2 3	hearing. We will take a transcript of all the testimony taken, and that transcript, as well as
2	represent the Eighth Congressional District here. I previously served a few years in public education as a coach, teacher and administrator.	2	hearing. We will take a transcript of all the testimony taken, and that transcript, as well as any written comments, will be made available to
2	represent the Eighth Congressional District here. I previously served a few years in public education as a coach, teacher and administrator. I served eight years in the House of	2 3	hearing. We will take a transcript of all the testimony taken, and that transcript, as well as any written comments, will be made available to the public. So we're going to have a report out
2 3 4	represent the Eighth Congressional District here. I previously served a few years in public education as a coach, teacher and administrator. I served eight years in the House of Representatives. I'm currently a farmer, and	2 3 4	hearing. We will take a transcript of all the testimony taken, and that transcript, as well as any written comments, will be made available to
2 3 4 5	represent the Eighth Congressional District here. I previously served a few years in public education as a coach, teacher and administrator. I served eight years in the House of	2 3 4 5	hearing. We will take a transcript of all the testimony taken, and that transcript, as well as any written comments, will be made available to the public. So we're going to have a report out of the work groups. That's going to be just
2 3 4 5 6	represent the Eighth Congressional District here. I previously served a few years in public education as a coach, teacher and administrator. I served eight years in the House of Representatives. I'm currently a farmer, and I've got a great interest in public education.  DR. MARTIN: John Martin, Fifth	2 3 4 5 6	hearing. We will take a transcript of all the testimony taken, and that transcript, as well as any written comments, will be made available to the public. So we're going to have a report out of the work groups. That's going to be just about an hour and a half time to do that. We'll
2 3 4 5 6 7	represent the Eighth Congressional District here. I previously served a few years in public education as a coach, teacher and administrator. I served eight years in the House of Representatives. I'm currently a farmer, and I've got a great interest in public education.	2 3 4 5 6 7	hearing. We will take a transcript of all the testimony taken, and that transcript, as well as any written comments, will be made available to the public. So we're going to have a report out of the work groups. That's going to be just about an hour and a half time to do that. We'll hear from them. Each group has six minutes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	represent the Eighth Congressional District here. I previously served a few years in public education as a coach, teacher and administrator. I served eight years in the House of Representatives. I'm currently a farmer, and I've got a great interest in public education.  DR. MARTIN: John Martin, Fifth District of Kansas City area. I have 40 years	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	hearing. We will take a transcript of all the testimony taken, and that transcript, as well as any written comments, will be made available to the public. So we're going to have a report out of the work groups. That's going to be just about an hour and a half time to do that. We'll hear from them. Each group has six minutes. We'll allow for four minutes of questions and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	represent the Eighth Congressional District here. I previously served a few years in public education as a coach, teacher and administrator. I served eight years in the House of Representatives. I'm currently a farmer, and I've got a great interest in public education.  DR. MARTIN: John Martin, Fifth District of Kansas City area. I have 40 years in education. I'm enjoying retirement,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	hearing. We will take a transcript of all the testimony taken, and that transcript, as well as any written comments, will be made available to the public. So we're going to have a report out of the work groups. That's going to be just about an hour and a half time to do that. We'll hear from them. Each group has six minutes. We'll allow for four minutes of questions and answers from board members. So we have a lot of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	represent the Eighth Congressional District here. I previously served a few years in public education as a coach, teacher and administrator. I served eight years in the House of Representatives. I'm currently a farmer, and I've got a great interest in public education.  DR. MARTIN: John Martin, Fifth District of Kansas City area. I have 40 years in education. I'm enjoying retirement, traveling, and enjoying sleeping late in the morning.  MR. STILL: I'm Russ Still, Fourth	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	hearing. We will take a transcript of all the testimony taken, and that transcript, as well as any written comments, will be made available to the public. So we're going to have a report out of the work groups. That's going to be just about an hour and a half time to do that. We'll hear from them. Each group has six minutes. We'll allow for four minutes of questions and answers from board members. So we have a lot of a lot going on, and we also have a lot of opportunity, again, for public comment after that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	represent the Eighth Congressional District here. I previously served a few years in public education as a coach, teacher and administrator. I served eight years in the House of Representatives. I'm currently a farmer, and I've got a great interest in public education.  DR. MARTIN: John Martin, Fifth District of Kansas City area. I have 40 years in education. I'm enjoying retirement, traveling, and enjoying sleeping late in the morning.  MR. STILL: I'm Russ Still, Fourth Congressional District. I'm in Columbia. I was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	hearing. We will take a transcript of all the testimony taken, and that transcript, as well as any written comments, will be made available to the public. So we're going to have a report out of the work groups. That's going to be just about an hour and a half time to do that. We'll hear from them. Each group has six minutes. We'll allow for four minutes of questions and answers from board members. So we have a lot of a lot going on, and we also have a lot of opportunity, again, for public comment after that.  So the order of the work group reports
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	represent the Eighth Congressional District here. I previously served a few years in public education as a coach, teacher and administrator. I served eight years in the House of Representatives. I'm currently a farmer, and I've got a great interest in public education.  DR. MARTIN: John Martin, Fifth District of Kansas City area. I have 40 years in education. I'm enjoying retirement, traveling, and enjoying sleeping late in the morning.  MR. STILL: I'm Russ Still, Fourth Congressional District. I'm in Columbia. I was on our board in Columbia. I have been a Junior	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	hearing. We will take a transcript of all the testimony taken, and that transcript, as well as any written comments, will be made available to the public. So we're going to have a report out of the work groups. That's going to be just about an hour and a half time to do that. We'll hear from them. Each group has six minutes. We'll allow for four minutes of questions and answers from board members. So we have a lot of a lot going on, and we also have a lot of opportunity, again, for public comment after that.  So the order of the work group reports will be Science K-5, Science 6-12, Social
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	represent the Eighth Congressional District here. I previously served a few years in public education as a coach, teacher and administrator. I served eight years in the House of Representatives. I'm currently a farmer, and I've got a great interest in public education.  DR. MARTIN: John Martin, Fifth District of Kansas City area. I have 40 years in education. I'm enjoying retirement, traveling, and enjoying sleeping late in the morning.  MR. STILL: I'm Russ Still, Fourth Congressional District. I'm in Columbia. I was on our board in Columbia. I have been a Junior High teacher in Detroit, Michigan, and I've been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	hearing. We will take a transcript of all the testimony taken, and that transcript, as well as any written comments, will be made available to the public. So we're going to have a report out of the work groups. That's going to be just about an hour and a half time to do that. We'll hear from them. Each group has six minutes. We'll allow for four minutes of questions and answers from board members. So we have a lot of a lot going on, and we also have a lot of opportunity, again, for public comment after that.  So the order of the work group reports will be Science K-5, Science 6-12, Social Studies K-5, Social Studies 6-12, English
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	represent the Eighth Congressional District here. I previously served a few years in public education as a coach, teacher and administrator. I served eight years in the House of Representatives. I'm currently a farmer, and I've got a great interest in public education.  DR. MARTIN: John Martin, Fifth District of Kansas City area. I have 40 years in education. I'm enjoying retirement, traveling, and enjoying sleeping late in the morning.  MR. STILL: I'm Russ Still, Fourth Congressional District. I'm in Columbia. I was on our board in Columbia. I have been a Junior High teacher in Detroit, Michigan, and I've been a lawyer for the last 36 years in Columbia.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	hearing. We will take a transcript of all the testimony taken, and that transcript, as well as any written comments, will be made available to the public. So we're going to have a report out of the work groups. That's going to be just about an hour and a half time to do that. We'll hear from them. Each group has six minutes. We'll allow for four minutes of questions and answers from board members. So we have a lot of a lot going on, and we also have a lot of opportunity, again, for public comment after that.  So the order of the work group reports will be Science K-5, Science 6-12, Social Studies K-5, Social Studies 6-12, English Language Arts K-5, English Language Arts 6-12,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	represent the Eighth Congressional District here. I previously served a few years in public education as a coach, teacher and administrator. I served eight years in the House of Representatives. I'm currently a farmer, and I've got a great interest in public education.  DR. MARTIN: John Martin, Fifth District of Kansas City area. I have 40 years in education. I'm enjoying retirement, traveling, and enjoying sleeping late in the morning.  MR. STILL: I'm Russ Still, Fourth Congressional District. I'm in Columbia. I was on our board in Columbia. I have been a Junior High teacher in Detroit, Michigan, and I've been a lawyer for the last 36 years in Columbia. I've been on the Board since 2010 so I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	hearing. We will take a transcript of all the testimony taken, and that transcript, as well as any written comments, will be made available to the public. So we're going to have a report out of the work groups. That's going to be just about an hour and a half time to do that. We'll hear from them. Each group has six minutes. We'll allow for four minutes of questions and answers from board members. So we have a lot of a lot going on, and we also have a lot of opportunity, again, for public comment after that.  So the order of the work group reports will be Science K-5, Science 6-12, Social Studies K-5, Social Studies 6-12, English Language Arts K-5, English Language Arts 6-12, Mathematics K-5 and Mathematics 6-12. So with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	represent the Eighth Congressional District here. I previously served a few years in public education as a coach, teacher and administrator. I served eight years in the House of Representatives. I'm currently a farmer, and I've got a great interest in public education.  DR. MARTIN: John Martin, Fifth District of Kansas City area. I have 40 years in education. I'm enjoying retirement, traveling, and enjoying sleeping late in the morning.  MR. STILL: I'm Russ Still, Fourth Congressional District. I'm in Columbia. I was on our board in Columbia. I have been a Junior High teacher in Detroit, Michigan, and I've been a lawyer for the last 36 years in Columbia. I've been on the Board since 2010 so I think Peter and I are the longest serving.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	hearing. We will take a transcript of all the testimony taken, and that transcript, as well as any written comments, will be made available to the public. So we're going to have a report out of the work groups. That's going to be just about an hour and a half time to do that. We'll hear from them. Each group has six minutes. We'll allow for four minutes of questions and answers from board members. So we have a lot of a lot going on, and we also have a lot of opportunity, again, for public comment after that.  So the order of the work group reports will be Science K-5, Science 6-12, Social Studies K-5, Social Studies 6-12, English Language Arts K-5, English Language Arts 6-12, Mathematics K-5 and Mathematics 6-12. So with that we'll get started with Science. So we'll
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	represent the Eighth Congressional District here. I previously served a few years in public education as a coach, teacher and administrator. I served eight years in the House of Representatives. I'm currently a farmer, and I've got a great interest in public education.  DR. MARTIN: John Martin, Fifth District of Kansas City area. I have 40 years in education. I'm enjoying retirement, traveling, and enjoying sleeping late in the morning.  MR. STILL: I'm Russ Still, Fourth Congressional District. I'm in Columbia. I was on our board in Columbia. I have been a Junior High teacher in Detroit, Michigan, and I've been a lawyer for the last 36 years in Columbia. I've been on the Board since 2010 so I think Peter and I are the longest serving.  MR. LENZ: I'm Vic Lenz. I serve the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	hearing. We will take a transcript of all the testimony taken, and that transcript, as well as any written comments, will be made available to the public. So we're going to have a report out of the work groups. That's going to be just about an hour and a half time to do that. We'll hear from them. Each group has six minutes. We'll allow for four minutes of questions and answers from board members. So we have a lot of a lot going on, and we also have a lot of opportunity, again, for public comment after that.  So the order of the work group reports will be Science K-5, Science 6-12, Social Studies K-5, Social Studies 6-12, English Language Arts K-5, English Language Arts 6-12, Mathematics K-5 and Mathematics 6-12. So with that we'll get started with Science. So we'll have the first presenter.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	represent the Eighth Congressional District here. I previously served a few years in public education as a coach, teacher and administrator. I served eight years in the House of Representatives. I'm currently a farmer, and I've got a great interest in public education.  DR. MARTIN: John Martin, Fifth District of Kansas City area. I have 40 years in education. I'm enjoying retirement, traveling, and enjoying sleeping late in the morning.  MR. STILL: I'm Russ Still, Fourth Congressional District. I'm in Columbia. I was on our board in Columbia. I have been a Junior High teacher in Detroit, Michigan, and I've been a lawyer for the last 36 years in Columbia. I've been on the Board since 2010 so I think Peter and I are the longest serving.  MR. LENZ: I'm Vic Lenz. I serve the Second Current Congressional District in St.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	hearing. We will take a transcript of all the testimony taken, and that transcript, as well as any written comments, will be made available to the public. So we're going to have a report out of the work groups. That's going to be just about an hour and a half time to do that. We'll hear from them. Each group has six minutes. We'll allow for four minutes of questions and answers from board members. So we have a lot of a lot going on, and we also have a lot of opportunity, again, for public comment after that.  So the order of the work group reports will be Science K-5, Science 6-12, Social Studies K-5, Social Studies 6-12, English Language Arts K-5, English Language Arts 6-12, Mathematics K-5 and Mathematics 6-12. So with that we'll get started with Science. So we'll have the first presenter.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Good afternoon.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	represent the Eighth Congressional District here. I previously served a few years in public education as a coach, teacher and administrator. I served eight years in the House of Representatives. I'm currently a farmer, and I've got a great interest in public education.  DR. MARTIN: John Martin, Fifth District of Kansas City area. I have 40 years in education. I'm enjoying retirement, traveling, and enjoying sleeping late in the morning.  MR. STILL: I'm Russ Still, Fourth Congressional District. I'm in Columbia. I was on our board in Columbia. I have been a Junior High teacher in Detroit, Michigan, and I've been a lawyer for the last 36 years in Columbia. I've been on the Board since 2010 so I think Peter and I are the longest serving.  MR. LENZ: I'm Vic Lenz. I serve the Second Current Congressional District in St. Louis County. I spent 33 years in education as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	hearing. We will take a transcript of all the testimony taken, and that transcript, as well as any written comments, will be made available to the public. So we're going to have a report out of the work groups. That's going to be just about an hour and a half time to do that. We'll hear from them. Each group has six minutes. We'll allow for four minutes of questions and answers from board members. So we have a lot of a lot going on, and we also have a lot of opportunity, again, for public comment after that.  So the order of the work group reports will be Science K-5, Science 6-12, Social Studies K-5, Social Studies 6-12, English Language Arts K-5, English Language Arts 6-12, Mathematics K-5 and Mathematics 6-12. So with that we'll get started with Science. So we'll have the first presenter.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Good afternoon. My name
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	represent the Eighth Congressional District here. I previously served a few years in public education as a coach, teacher and administrator. I served eight years in the House of Representatives. I'm currently a farmer, and I've got a great interest in public education.  DR. MARTIN: John Martin, Fifth District of Kansas City area. I have 40 years in education. I'm enjoying retirement, traveling, and enjoying sleeping late in the morning.  MR. STILL: I'm Russ Still, Fourth Congressional District. I'm in Columbia. I was on our board in Columbia. I have been a Junior High teacher in Detroit, Michigan, and I've been a lawyer for the last 36 years in Columbia. I've been on the Board since 2010 so I think Peter and I are the longest serving.  MR. LENZ: I'm Vic Lenz. I serve the Second Current Congressional District in St. Louis County. I spent 33 years in education as a teacher through assistant superintendent for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	hearing. We will take a transcript of all the testimony taken, and that transcript, as well as any written comments, will be made available to the public. So we're going to have a report out of the work groups. That's going to be just about an hour and a half time to do that. We'll hear from them. Each group has six minutes. We'll allow for four minutes of questions and answers from board members. So we have a lot of a lot going on, and we also have a lot of opportunity, again, for public comment after that.  So the order of the work group reports will be Science K-5, Science 6-12, Social Studies K-5, Social Studies 6-12, English Language Arts K-5, English Language Arts 6-12, Mathematics K-5 and Mathematics 6-12. So with that we'll get started with Science. So we'll have the first presenter.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Good afternoon.  MR. DUNKESON: Good afternoon. My name is Perry Dunkeson. This is Ms. Ann
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	represent the Eighth Congressional District here. I previously served a few years in public education as a coach, teacher and administrator. I served eight years in the House of Representatives. I'm currently a farmer, and I've got a great interest in public education.  DR. MARTIN: John Martin, Fifth District of Kansas City area. I have 40 years in education. I'm enjoying retirement, traveling, and enjoying sleeping late in the morning.  MR. STILL: I'm Russ Still, Fourth Congressional District. I'm in Columbia. I was on our board in Columbia. I have been a Junior High teacher in Detroit, Michigan, and I've been a lawyer for the last 36 years in Columbia. I've been on the Board since 2010 so I think Peter and I are the longest serving.  MR. LENZ: I'm Vic Lenz. I serve the Second Current Congressional District in St. Louis County. I spent 33 years in education as a teacher through assistant superintendent for curriculum instruction. Following my retirement	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	hearing. We will take a transcript of all the testimony taken, and that transcript, as well as any written comments, will be made available to the public. So we're going to have a report out of the work groups. That's going to be just about an hour and a half time to do that. We'll hear from them. Each group has six minutes. We'll allow for four minutes of questions and answers from board members. So we have a lot of a lot going on, and we also have a lot of opportunity, again, for public comment after that.  So the order of the work group reports will be Science K-5, Science 6-12, Social Studies K-5, Social Studies 6-12, English Language Arts K-5, English Language Arts 6-12, Mathematics K-5 and Mathematics 6-12. So with that we'll get started with Science. So we'll have the first presenter.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Good afternoon.  MR. DUNKESON: Good afternoon. My name is Perry Dunkeson. This is Ms. Ann MS. WALLENMEYER: Wallenmeyer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	represent the Eighth Congressional District here. I previously served a few years in public education as a coach, teacher and administrator. I served eight years in the House of Representatives. I'm currently a farmer, and I've got a great interest in public education.  DR. MARTIN: John Martin, Fifth District of Kansas City area. I have 40 years in education. I'm enjoying retirement, traveling, and enjoying sleeping late in the morning.  MR. STILL: I'm Russ Still, Fourth Congressional District. I'm in Columbia. I was on our board in Columbia. I have been a Junior High teacher in Detroit, Michigan, and I've been a lawyer for the last 36 years in Columbia. I've been on the Board since 2010 so I think Peter and I are the longest serving.  MR. LENZ: I'm Vic Lenz. I serve the Second Current Congressional District in St. Louis County. I spent 33 years in education as a teacher through assistant superintendent for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	hearing. We will take a transcript of all the testimony taken, and that transcript, as well as any written comments, will be made available to the public. So we're going to have a report out of the work groups. That's going to be just about an hour and a half time to do that. We'll hear from them. Each group has six minutes. We'll allow for four minutes of questions and answers from board members. So we have a lot of a lot going on, and we also have a lot of opportunity, again, for public comment after that.  So the order of the work group reports will be Science K-5, Science 6-12, Social Studies K-5, Social Studies 6-12, English Language Arts K-5, English Language Arts 6-12, Mathematics K-5 and Mathematics 6-12. So with that we'll get started with Science. So we'll have the first presenter.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Good afternoon.  MR. DUNKESON: Good afternoon. My name is Perry Dunkeson. This is Ms. Ann

#### Page 9 Page 11 1 1 And if you -- if you actually look at announced her or presented her to anyone. We're 2 here today obviously to ask -- or answer any 2 our standards the way they were set up, we kind 3 3 questions you have about the proposal that we've of designed it so that all of the Missouri 4 set forth as a study group, the work group, on 4 current standards are kind of in the center 5 K-5 Science. I want to thank you guys for 5 column, but everything that we are suggesting to 6 giving us the opportunity to be part of this 6 be assessable is in the first column on the 7 process. It's been very enlightening to all of left-hand side. So that it kind of -- it gives 8 8 us. We all come from different backgrounds. school districts a better focus on what they 9 Myself, I'm a Third Grade teacher at Whiteman 9 need to -- what they need to be prepared for in 10 10 Air Force Base here in Missouri. Ann comes from the area of testing, and it still gives them the 11 a variety of -- I know she works for the college 11 leniency or variety of using all the current 12 in Springfield. 12 tools and manipulative tools that they already 13 MS. WALLENMEYER: I work for 13 currently have in their system, and then they 14 14 Springfield Public Schools, Science. can incorporate and enlarge it through our 15 15 system. MR. DUNKESON: We have an array of 16 16 other ladies back in our group from all over. The one thing that we didn't list on 17 And really this group has come together and 17 here that we would kind of like to see the State 18 18 gelled in such a manner that we feel like the do, we've got this divided by grade level, 19 proposal standards that we've set forth here are 19 because that's the way it currently is, 20 a true representative of the GLE's that we 20 everything is set up by grade level. We'd like 21 21 currently have and we currently work under. And to consider the opportunity -- or the Board to 22 22 then we also amped up all of the GLE's, worked consider using more of a grade span so that if a 23 23 on them to reinforce their rigor and to bring school district, you know, is really strong in 24 24 them kind of up to date. one area at a higher or lower grade level, that 25 25 We used the framework system, which they'd be allowed to incorporate the new Page 10 Page 12 1 1 basically is the skeletal system for the next standards at the grade level that they feel is 2 generation, and we combined the two and prepared 2 most appropriate for that person. 3 a document to give to you all that we feel like 3 MR. HERSCHEND: The ungraded classroom 4 4 approach; is that what you're saying? uses the best new knowledge and uses the tried 5 5 and true knowledge that we've used in the past MS. WALLENMEYER: Or if a school 6 6 that's helped Missouri be a standard setter in district wants to do problem-based learning or 7 7 the area of Science. cluster the standards so that connections can be 8 With that said, I don't -- they said I 8 made, we would like them to have the flexibility 9 needed six minutes of time. If you have 9 to be able to do that. So K-2 standards, just 10 10 questions or concerns, please, you know, ask and because we say they're at Kindergarten doesn't 11 we'll do our best to answer your questions. 11 necessarily mean that we're saying they should 12 PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Okay. We'll open 12 stay at Kindergarten. If a school district 13 it up to the Board. Questions? 13 would want to switch those around a little bit 14 14 MR. HERSCHEND: What is the one most to make those connections for kids, we would 15 15 highly recommend that. And then 3-5, same way. important change that you are recommending? 16 MR. DUNKESON: I would think that 16 PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Any other questions 17 probably incorporating more engineering into our 17 from the Board? 18 18 standards. And to -- to bring our -- like I MR. HERSCHEND: Mr. President? 19 said, to bring our standards into a current 19 PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Yes. 20 status in the fact that we used our GLE's and 20 MR. HERSCHEND: One more, if I may? 21 21 then we took the next generation standards and PRESIDENT SHIELDS: You may. 22 22 MR. HERSCHEND: What's -- you answered the frameworks, and we cross-categorized 23 23 everything so that we could say that we looked the question well on what's the most important 24 24 at everything and we chose the strongest addition. What is the least effective part; 25 25 standard that we felt needed to be addressed. what would you take out?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## Page 13

MR. DUNKESON: Currently I wouldn't take out anything. But we spent a lot of time going through this. I mean, we've been given a year, and this group has met numerous times. We've met, you know, on weekends. We've met on -- you know, during school days. We've met quite a bit. And truly anything that we felt was something that could be incorporated or removed, we've already accomplished that. We've already done that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HERSCHEND: Thank you, sir. PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Any further

MR. LENZ: Is your group pretty well in accordance with this? I mean, everyone agreed, your whole committee, with the final product?

MR. DUNKESON: Everybody agreed with the final product. We didn't agree all along at all. This group worked -- you know, I think if you have a group that everyone just kind of nods their head then you wouldn't have done the job. Ann and I -- it's kind of ironic we're sitting side by side because usually they kept us

We did see eye to eye in the end

farther apart at the meetings.

Page 15 1

before you. We started with some different 2 resource documents. We started with NGSS and 3 then the Massachusetts State Science Standards

4 from 2006 and 2012, and then the South Carolina 5 State Standards. And the reason we chose those

6 four documents is that based on any research

7 that we had seen they allowed for student 8 success and achievement.

> We also used the Curriculum Alignment Initiative, or the CAI document, to ensure that our standards were such that our students were ready for higher education.

We started by creating a spreadsheet where all four of those original documents that I just mentioned; NGSS, Massachusetts, Massachusetts Revised and South Carolina, they were all along a row together, and that way we could compare the wording and the depth of knowledge level and just any little thing that was different or similar, not everything aligned perfectly. There were some times where Massachusetts may address something that NGSS did not or so on and so forth.

But what we decided then at that point was that we needed more input than just the 15

## Page 14

because we all decided what is absolutely the best at each level. And I think when we were

done, and I think I can speak for the people

4 sitting behind me back here, and Ann, that we do

feel like this is the best document that we could come up with in that amount of time.

PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Okay. Well, we appreciate your testimony and appreciate you answering questions. Also thank you for a lot of work. We know what went into this, and it's very much appreciated. Thank you.

MR. DUNKESON: Thank you. PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Next will be Science 6-12.

Good afternoon.

MS. BENZ: Good afternoon. My name is Kimberly Benz. I am a parent in the Ferguson-Florissant School District and vice chair of the Secondary Science work group.

MS. BENNION: I am Clara Bennion. I am a teacher in the Camdenton R-3 School District and currently teach high school Science. And I am the committee chair for our secondary group.

We wanted to kind of give you a rundown of how we got to the document that you see

Page 16

committee members that were participating in our

work group so we decided to send it out for a public survey. We did that in mid-January and

4 allowed for different representatives --5

representative groups to send that out.

6 We contacted DESE -- let's see where else did we

7 -- DESE, MASSA, MSBA, MSTA, NEA, STOM, SHE, the

8 Chamber of Commerce, the St. Louis Science

Consortium. We contacted all of them and asked

them to forward it on. That way we could get public comment from educators, citizens and

businessmen as well.

Once we got that survey back and the results, we looked at our spreadsheet and we compared the survey results and started working towards that final product. We took into consideration what we thought was the best wording from that comparison, and then we looked at survey responses as well and took those into

consideration so we could get a good wording.

We also made sure that we were aligning the core ideas and the cross-cutting concepts in the Science and Engineering practices, because we had started with NGSS as our base just as --

to give something to work with, and then we used

2

8

9

10

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## Page 17

those same three-dimensional learning designs. We used those same things to support the college and career readiness that we're looking for here in the State, and from there we really just kind of put things together.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We got our wording together and decided that okay, now we've got something together, we want to send that back out to our constituents, I guess you would say. So we sent a second survey out in mid-August, and this was our proposed standards. Once again, we got those surveys or those results back after about a month of them being sent out to the same groups, and we did have quite a bit of response from both of those surveys.

We were able to align everything and reviewed our proposed wording and finalized those standards, and that's what you see in the document before you. All of our standards were approved by a majority vote from our group. We did try to take care that we were vertically aligned. We met with the K-5 Science group a few times to try and make sure that we were in alignment there. And, of course, we used the Curriculum Alignment Initiative document to make

1 there are performance expectations, we want our

- students not just to be able to memorize
- 3 Science, we want them to go in there and do it;
- 4 use the project-based learning strategies, use
- 5 inquiry, design, experimentation. We want them
- 6 to be doing Science and not just memorizing 7 things.

DR. MARTIN: Very good. Thank you.

Page 19

Page 20

MS. BENZ: You're welcome.

PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Further guestions?

11 MR. HERSCHEND: I'll ask the same 12 question I did before. What's the most

important change that you're recommending?

14 MS. BENNION: Do you mind if I take 15

this?

MS. BENZ: Go right ahead.

MS. BENNION: The fact we are changing from memorization to actually doing more labs, etc., and we do understand that that might -that will require more professional development possibly. Well, definitely. For our staff. However, we feel that it's important that the kids actually do these hands-on projects rather than just memorizing out of a book.

MR. HERSCHEND: That's exactly what

Page 18

sure that we were aligned in terms of higher education.

The new Missouri Learning Standards, just as HB1490 has set out, they've been designed and developed by Missouri educators and parents, and they are clearly outlined as performance expectations with these higher expectations; STEM focused, college and career ready, and we feel like they're in a pretty user-friendly format. They're set up very similar to the NGSS because we have the performance expectation, a clarification statement and an assessment boundary, and then those three-dimensional learning aspects of disciplinary core ideas, cross-cutting concepts and Science and engineering practices.

PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Okay. Questions from the Board? Dr. Martin?

DR. MARTIN: Just looking through this I notice that all of your standards start off -proposed standards, develop, apply, analyze, etc. Do you want to speak to that; to the fact

MS. BENZ: Well, Science is a doing thing. You do Science. And with the fact that

that you're using action verbs for all?

John asked, right?

MS. BENNION: Yes.

MR. HERSCHEND: Good. Good answer.

PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Any other

questions? Seeing none, again, thank you for

6 really a great effort and a lot of work that

7 went into this and for working well together as 8 a committee. Thank you. Appreciate it very

9 much.

MS. BENZ: Thank you.

PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Next we have Social Studies K-5.

MR. CARSON: Good afternoon. Craig

Carson, Ozark Schools assistant superintendent.

MR. FISHER: I'm Patrick Fisher. I'm an elementary school principal in the Rockwood School District.

MR. CARSON: So first, we appreciate you allowing us this opportunity to work on these committees. This has been very enlightening, and we've worked with a lot of parents and teachers and higher ed, and it's been a great experience for all of us. And I think you will -- the product is consensus driven, and it's better for our K-5 students.

Page 21

Since the -- well, what you have in front of you are -- the working documents is a K-5 standards document where it's grouped by grade level. So all the Kindergarten standards are together for Kindergarten teachers to see what they teach. Then it's for each grade level that way. There's also included, for curriculum directors and instructional leaders, a matrix that shows how concepts develop appropriately over time from K to 5. You also have in front of you, included in the GLE's, inquiry questions that teachers can use to make sense of the curriculum for Kindergarten teach -- for a Kindergarten classroom or for a Fifth Grade classroom.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, for example, what we've done, we've given the -- for Kindergarten how do citizens show patriotism. And so we've grouped all the GLE's that might include how -- a teacher might include when they're writing local curriculum. Or for Second Grade, what does it mean to act as a responsible citizen in our community and nation. So we've included all those. One more example for Fourth Grade. How did the founders balance freedom with rule of law. So there are

1 were needing to be updated. They're over 10

years old. There was some praise for the

3 placement of where we put some standards at new

Page 23

Page 24

- 4 grade levels. We follow Expanding Horizons
- 5 framework, and in following that that simply
- 6 means for Kindergarten they understand their
- 7 family, their classroom. And so you develop
- 8 Kindergarten curriculum about what they
- 9 understand, and then you work that out to the
- 10 community and to the state and to the nation.
- 11 But doing that meant we had to rearrange some of
- 12 it, and so there was some reservations from 13
  - teachers, and we got those comments about moving
- 14 standards. So for the last two decades Fourth
- 15 Grade has done Missouri History. So in this set
- 16 of proposed standards it's now Third Grade, and 17
  - it takes it back to 20 years ago Third Grade used to teach Missouri History.

19 So there's some angst about that. 20

- They'll have to develop new resources, find new pieces, switch inside the school who teaches
- 21 22 what. So I understand the angst to that. A
- 23 very big takeaway that we got over and over
- 24 again in the 650 comments is that teachers 25
  - around the State want to teach Social Studies

Page 22

1

6

7

8

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

18

but they don't really perceive that they can.

some great overarching inquiry questions that 2 teachers can group how they might use to group.

2 3 And so it's just some guiding thoughts for

districts as they develop local curriculum. And

also we have a glossary of terms that are hyperlinked within the document so teachers can

easily find definitions.

The process since I last updated you, we sent out our documents for public comment, and we had over 650 comments come in. And they were sent through Social Studies groups. We sent them to -- DESE sent it out -- sent it to curriculum groups that sent it out. So several Listservs. Those voices really mattered, and so the committee revised the proposed standards based off the 650 comments. We had comments from K-12 teachers, parents, homeschool parents, administrators, collegiate professors. We had a whole array of people. And so those things that we may have written in that were a stretch, we tried to really listen to the teachers and put that back into something that they could support as well.

The general trends is we had praise for the update. They recognized that the standards There's a lot of time given to Math and ELA.

3 And so what I ask you, and what I pose 4 from the work group, is what are you doing as 5 leaders to promote the understanding of Social

Sciences beyond just teaching Math and ELA?

There's a time crunch. We have crowded

curriculum. And so we have to do a better job

9 teaching Social Studies. Some would even argue

10 that this whole process was brought about by an

11 abusive government in the first place. Yet we

12 squeeze out the time to lay the foundations of 13 active citizenship. Somehow we expect active

14 and informed citizens to emerge at the end of

K-12 education, yet we don't put an emphasis on

training Social Studies to develop -- to train

students to develop that solid foundation of Social Studies.

With the founding fathers we agree we want kids to have public education and learn to think, to question, to be informed and to act. And so we encourage you to -- as you look at MSIP 5 over the next few months, that you take

into account how else can we prove that we're doing well beyond Math and ELA so we can take

Page 25

time to teach our kids how to be informed citizens.

PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Excellent.

Questions from the Board?

MR. JONES: Yeah. I'm just curious about that, and in a little bit more detail some of the discussion about how you create and inform a citizenry when we have right now, in a cultural, political context, such wide lack of consensus on what Social Science or some kind of historical perspective on the empirical data that might make up history to begin with. So what was the discussion about that like?

MR. CARSON: Sure. There was a lot of active discussion. You know, we came as a group, what we really have to teach students are some basic content. And then we ask -- we teach students to ask good questions and to get into original documents and try to find some answers and to come up with good conclusions. So it's about the thinking process. How do we do inquiry and have kids just dig in and try to come up with what they believe with the data. But they also have to take time to learn the data.

contributions of famous people. If you're looking at a school or community that may be entirely different than when you're looking at the nation. So it depends a lot on the grade level.

Page 27

Page 28

We did make an effort, as we looked through some of those examples, to pull people that would hit various different types of groups. So it may be we look for -- it could be women, it could be people of color. It could be a lot of different things that would have contributed to that event depending on what aspect of history we were looking at.

DR. MARTIN: Okay. But you leave it open so that there's a lot of local control?

MR. FISHER: Uh-huh.

DR. MARTIN: Meaning that locally they could put out certain sections?

MR. FISHER: It's not that they would be able to put out a certain section. It would be how they're meeting that standard. How they're meeting that standard would be based upon -- would be up to that local school.

DR. MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.
PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Further questions

Page 26

```
PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Other questions?
Dr. Martin?
```

DR. MARTIN: Looking at this, I just looked at one, it says contributions -- significant contributions of persons in history. I was noticing that this is not a diverse -- well, yeah. It's just a little bit diverse. But how do you get diversity into it? Because this country was built by immigrants from all portions of the world. Is that reflected in your --

MR. FISHER: Part of what we -- a lot of what we tried to do is to incorporate what we could think of depending on the age level. So, for example, that could be Second Grade or Fourth Grade that's going to be looking at what those contributions were. But we also had the feeling that what we don't want to do is infringe upon the local control. And so some of those are decisions that at a local level the schools will be making on those -- on a lot of those things as well. So our thing is that we were listing examples of people that could be used, but that we don't want to get into saying it has to be taught this way. It's the

from the Board? Seeing none, we appreciate your testimony, and also thank you for a lot of work that went into this.

Next we have Social Studies 6-12.

MR. SCHULTZ: Good afternoon.

PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Good afternoon.

MR. SCHULTZ: My name is Brian Schultz.

I hail from beautiful Independence, Missouri.

I'm here to speak to you today on behalf of the Secondary Social Studies work group. I too would like to thank you for your interest in Social Studies and for the time that you've given us to speak today about the standards that we've submitted.

We've worked really hard over the past year. We had a wonderful group of about 12 active members throughout the continuum of our year. We worked really hard to develop a culture of trust where people were free to speak their mind. And there were diverse opinions shared from our group, from all persuasions, and we were able to work through those discussions And I agree with those who spoke earlier that those discussions made our work stronger than having people that all agreed all at the same

Page 29

time.

I'd like to point out that when the work group first met we first had to establish goals for our work group and really decide on the decision-making process for how we would move forward. The first thing we did is we looked at current standards, and we really decided that those standards which were really -- hail back to 2004 and really have not been addressed much since then were really not sufficient for the needs of teachers and districts to plan curriculum today.

We agreed with a 2011 State of the State US History Standards report from the Fordham Institute which gave Missouri standards a score of 1 out of 10 points. They said, "Missouri Social Studies standards focus on themes and concepts to the near exclusion of substance. Content items are generally so broad as to be useless. The few historic specifics that appear are wedged together under thematic headings with scant regard for chronological coherence. Teachers and students are left with little sense of what they're expected to teach or learn".

a lot of comments back. We quantified several responses and noted the overall level of support for the standards we had submitted, but we also got a lot of comments and suggestions and we took those in mind as we finished our documents and then went back to revise them.

Page 31

We had several comments that went like this: Overall a massive improvement over existing GLE's and CLE's both in terms of quality, yours are more rigorous, more 21st Century skills-based and more specific without becoming too time constraining. In organization: It's a much more user-friendly document with lots of helpful suggestions for application.

You have received a crosswalk that I prepared to help compare old and new standards. This isn't necessarily the best view to see the change in the document and how it looks compared to what it did look like, but I'd like to highlight one example of what I was speaking of when I was speaking of really just general ideas. We have one existing standard. It lies in the Eleventh Grade American History year, and a lot of our standards sound like this. It

Page 30

Page 30

And so we looked at these standards, which are really quite old and really did not give a lot of direction to folks on what to teach, and we tried to apply some specific ideas to those. And so as we looked at those challenges we decided to create a document that is organized to benefit curricular planning, looking at a year-by-year approach rather than 27 pages of one column for one theme, applied general thematic concepts to more specific historic understandings. I'll give you an example here in a minute. And also to encourage thinking rather than simply the acquisition of factual knowledge.

I agree with the Science group. Social Studies, too, should be a subject that we do, not that we just receive information. We want our students to come to Social Studies and to be exposed to those sources of information, and like Craig said, critically analyze those and come to conclusions about them.

We also gave a survey to teachers across the State. By May 2015 we had three full grade levels completed that we submitted across the State of Missouri with DESE's help. We got

Page 32

goes, describe and evaluate the evolution of United States domestic and foreign policies from reconstruction to the present. Now, that is a standard. And then underneath it lies a series of examples of where you should apply that.

So one of those examples, for instance, is two world wars. And so you're supposed to do all of that with two world wars, and that's all the guidance that teachers get. And so what we try to do is take those to those specific time periods and say what does that look like in World War II. And so our more specific standard is evaluate the motivations for United State's abandonment of isolationism and entry into World War II. Nothing earth shattering or ground breaking. It is simply more specific and applied to a time period or place.

I color coded all similar changes yellow. These changes are more specific than current standards but likely a common interpretation by teachers across the States. On the crosswalk there are some things that are coded in green simply because I felt like those were truly new ideas, not prompted by current wording.

	Page 33		Page 35
1	There are many competing perspectives	1	your work?
2	today about history, it's purpose and the	2	MR. SCHULTZ: Today in Social Studies
3	message it should give to students. I believe,	3	there's a great conversation going on about how
4	and we believe, our committee believes,	4	do you do Social Studies and about what are the
5	standards should lie above these arguments. Not	5	skills of history, what are the skills about
6	prescribing a set of beliefs but simply	6	geography and government, and we've tried to
7	presenting learning standards worthy of	7	imply them we've tried to build those in
8	investigation. I hope that the State Board	8	rather than sort of hide them at the end of the
9	carefully considers the standards our work group	9	document. We tried to build them into every
10	has submitted because it's past time for	10	single part of our document so that teachers are
11	Missouri to have and value Social Studies	11	encouraged to take students through and think
12	standards that could encourage critical thinking	12	about the why and the how, to look about change
13	in our youth.	13	to look at change over time, look at
14	PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Great presentation.	14	perspectives and things like that.
15	Questions from the Board? Mr. Still?	15	MR. HERSCHEND: You are thereby talking
16	MR. STILL: Thank you for your	16	about a larger a higher degree of student
17	presentation. I don't know who Lewis Hine is.	17	involvement as opposed to student listening?
18	Do you? Can you tell me who that is?	18	MR. SCHULTZ: Right. And there's some
19	MR. SCHULTZ: Are you looking at the	19	great models about historical thinking and
20	sources that we included?	20	history labs where we do Social Studies,
21	MR. STILL: Yeah. That's a new one for	21	MR. HERSCHEND: Thank you very much.
22	me.	22	PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Further questions?
23	MR. SCHULTZ: So in our document with	23	Seeing none, again, thank you for really
24	each time period at each grade level we included	24	tremendous work.
25	primary sources and secondary sources of diverse	25	MR. HERSCHEND: Good work.
	Page 34		Page 36
1	-	1	•
1 2	opinions, diverse perspectives, things that our	1 2	MR. SCHULTZ: Thank you.
	opinions, diverse perspectives, things that our students and teachers might go to. I couldn't		•
2	opinions, diverse perspectives, things that our	2	MR. SCHULTZ: Thank you. PRESIDENT SHIELDS: English Language
2	opinions, diverse perspectives, things that our students and teachers might go to. I couldn't speak to all of them, but because we had such an	2 3	MR. SCHULTZ: Thank you. PRESIDENT SHIELDS: English Language Arts K-5.
2 3 4	opinions, diverse perspectives, things that our students and teachers might go to. I couldn't speak to all of them, but because we had such an expertise in our work group; college professors	2 3 4	MR. SCHULTZ: Thank you. PRESIDENT SHIELDS: English Language Arts K-5. MS. HILL: Good afternoon. My name is
2 3 4 5	opinions, diverse perspectives, things that our students and teachers might go to. I couldn't speak to all of them, but because we had such an expertise in our work group; college professors and people that are just passionate about	2 3 4 5	MR. SCHULTZ: Thank you. PRESIDENT SHIELDS: English Language Arts K-5. MS. HILL: Good afternoon. My name is Jennifer Hill. I'm a teacher in the Hazelwood
2 3 4 5 6	opinions, diverse perspectives, things that our students and teachers might go to. I couldn't speak to all of them, but because we had such an expertise in our work group; college professors and people that are just passionate about history, we put together things that a teacher	2 3 4 5 6	MR. SCHULTZ: Thank you. PRESIDENT SHIELDS: English Language Arts K-5. MS. HILL: Good afternoon. My name is Jennifer Hill. I'm a teacher in the Hazelwood School District. This is Linda Shippy, one of
2 3 4 5 6 7	opinions, diverse perspectives, things that our students and teachers might go to. I couldn't speak to all of them, but because we had such an expertise in our work group; college professors and people that are just passionate about history, we put together things that a teacher could pull to help teach their students about	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. SCHULTZ: Thank you. PRESIDENT SHIELDS: English Language Arts K-5. MS. HILL: Good afternoon. My name is Jennifer Hill. I'm a teacher in the Hazelwood School District. This is Linda Shippy, one of my co-workers on the work group.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	opinions, diverse perspectives, things that our students and teachers might go to. I couldn't speak to all of them, but because we had such an expertise in our work group; college professors and people that are just passionate about history, we put together things that a teacher could pull to help teach their students about history, and there's lots of exciting sources in there. And I see they're in Fifth Grade. They would try to learn who the Wright Brothers are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. SCHULTZ: Thank you. PRESIDENT SHIELDS: English Language Arts K-5. MS. HILL: Good afternoon. My name is Jennifer Hill. I'm a teacher in the Hazelwood School District. This is Linda Shippy, one of my co-workers on the work group. We want to thank you all so much for the opportunity to work on this after the House Bill was passed. I think all of us on our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	opinions, diverse perspectives, things that our students and teachers might go to. I couldn't speak to all of them, but because we had such an expertise in our work group; college professors and people that are just passionate about history, we put together things that a teacher could pull to help teach their students about history, and there's lots of exciting sources in there. And I see they're in Fifth Grade. They would try to learn who the Wright Brothers are and who Al Capone was and then Lewis Hine. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. SCHULTZ: Thank you. PRESIDENT SHIELDS: English Language Arts K-5. MS. HILL: Good afternoon. My name is Jennifer Hill. I'm a teacher in the Hazelwood School District. This is Linda Shippy, one of my co-workers on the work group. We want to thank you all so much for the opportunity to work on this after the House Bill was passed. I think all of us on our committee, after a little bit of contention in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	opinions, diverse perspectives, things that our students and teachers might go to. I couldn't speak to all of them, but because we had such an expertise in our work group; college professors and people that are just passionate about history, we put together things that a teacher could pull to help teach their students about history, and there's lots of exciting sources in there. And I see they're in Fifth Grade. They would try to learn who the Wright Brothers are and who Al Capone was and then Lewis Hine. I just didn't know Lewis Hine. Does somebody know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. SCHULTZ: Thank you. PRESIDENT SHIELDS: English Language Arts K-5. MS. HILL: Good afternoon. My name is Jennifer Hill. I'm a teacher in the Hazelwood School District. This is Linda Shippy, one of my co-workers on the work group. We want to thank you all so much for the opportunity to work on this after the House Bill was passed. I think all of us on our committee, after a little bit of contention in the beginning, were all able to come together in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	opinions, diverse perspectives, things that our students and teachers might go to. I couldn't speak to all of them, but because we had such an expertise in our work group; college professors and people that are just passionate about history, we put together things that a teacher could pull to help teach their students about history, and there's lots of exciting sources in there. And I see they're in Fifth Grade. They would try to learn who the Wright Brothers are and who Al Capone was and then Lewis Hine. I just didn't know Lewis Hine. Does somebody know who Lewis Hine is?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. SCHULTZ: Thank you. PRESIDENT SHIELDS: English Language Arts K-5. MS. HILL: Good afternoon. My name is Jennifer Hill. I'm a teacher in the Hazelwood School District. This is Linda Shippy, one of my co-workers on the work group. We want to thank you all so much for the opportunity to work on this after the House Bill was passed. I think all of us on our committee, after a little bit of contention in the beginning, were all able to come together in our work group and work cohesively to come up
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	opinions, diverse perspectives, things that our students and teachers might go to. I couldn't speak to all of them, but because we had such an expertise in our work group; college professors and people that are just passionate about history, we put together things that a teacher could pull to help teach their students about history, and there's lots of exciting sources in there. And I see they're in Fifth Grade. They would try to learn who the Wright Brothers are and who Al Capone was and then Lewis Hine. I just didn't know Lewis Hine. Does somebody know who Lewis Hine is?  MR. CARSON: It's a Fifth Grade	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. SCHULTZ: Thank you. PRESIDENT SHIELDS: English Language Arts K-5. MS. HILL: Good afternoon. My name is Jennifer Hill. I'm a teacher in the Hazelwood School District. This is Linda Shippy, one of my co-workers on the work group. We want to thank you all so much for the opportunity to work on this after the House Bill was passed. I think all of us on our committee, after a little bit of contention in the beginning, were all able to come together in our work group and work cohesively to come up with a document that we're really proud of.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	opinions, diverse perspectives, things that our students and teachers might go to. I couldn't speak to all of them, but because we had such an expertise in our work group; college professors and people that are just passionate about history, we put together things that a teacher could pull to help teach their students about history, and there's lots of exciting sources in there. And I see they're in Fifth Grade. They would try to learn who the Wright Brothers are and who Al Capone was and then Lewis Hine. I just didn't know Lewis Hine. Does somebody know who Lewis Hine is?  MR. CARSON: It's a Fifth Grade standard. Lewis Hine is the one who documented	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. SCHULTZ: Thank you. PRESIDENT SHIELDS: English Language Arts K-5. MS. HILL: Good afternoon. My name is Jennifer Hill. I'm a teacher in the Hazelwood School District. This is Linda Shippy, one of my co-workers on the work group. We want to thank you all so much for the opportunity to work on this after the House Bill was passed. I think all of us on our committee, after a little bit of contention in the beginning, were all able to come together in our work group and work cohesively to come up with a document that we're really proud of. Our philosophy from the beginning, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	opinions, diverse perspectives, things that our students and teachers might go to. I couldn't speak to all of them, but because we had such an expertise in our work group; college professors and people that are just passionate about history, we put together things that a teacher could pull to help teach their students about history, and there's lots of exciting sources in there. And I see they're in Fifth Grade. They would try to learn who the Wright Brothers are and who Al Capone was and then Lewis Hine. I just didn't know Lewis Hine. Does somebody know who Lewis Hine is?  MR. CARSON: It's a Fifth Grade standard. Lewis Hine is the one who documented and photographed all of the child labor issues	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. SCHULTZ: Thank you. PRESIDENT SHIELDS: English Language Arts K-5. MS. HILL: Good afternoon. My name is Jennifer Hill. I'm a teacher in the Hazelwood School District. This is Linda Shippy, one of my co-workers on the work group. We want to thank you all so much for the opportunity to work on this after the House Bill was passed. I think all of us on our committee, after a little bit of contention in the beginning, were all able to come together in our work group and work cohesively to come up with a document that we're really proud of. Our philosophy from the beginning, and as was stated at the last hearing, our overall
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	opinions, diverse perspectives, things that our students and teachers might go to. I couldn't speak to all of them, but because we had such an expertise in our work group; college professors and people that are just passionate about history, we put together things that a teacher could pull to help teach their students about history, and there's lots of exciting sources in there. And I see they're in Fifth Grade. They would try to learn who the Wright Brothers are and who Al Capone was and then Lewis Hine. I just didn't know Lewis Hine. Does somebody know who Lewis Hine is?  MR. CARSON: It's a Fifth Grade standard. Lewis Hine is the one who documented and photographed all of the child labor issues from the 1890's to 1910, that time period.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. SCHULTZ: Thank you. PRESIDENT SHIELDS: English Language Arts K-5. MS. HILL: Good afternoon. My name is Jennifer Hill. I'm a teacher in the Hazelwood School District. This is Linda Shippy, one of my co-workers on the work group. We want to thank you all so much for the opportunity to work on this after the House Bill was passed. I think all of us on our committee, after a little bit of contention in the beginning, were all able to come together in our work group and work cohesively to come up with a document that we're really proud of. Our philosophy from the beginning, and as was stated at the last hearing, our overall goal was to provide developmentally appropriate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	opinions, diverse perspectives, things that our students and teachers might go to. I couldn't speak to all of them, but because we had such an expertise in our work group; college professors and people that are just passionate about history, we put together things that a teacher could pull to help teach their students about history, and there's lots of exciting sources in there. And I see they're in Fifth Grade. They would try to learn who the Wright Brothers are and who Al Capone was and then Lewis Hine. I just didn't know Lewis Hine. Does somebody know who Lewis Hine is?  MR. CARSON: It's a Fifth Grade standard. Lewis Hine is the one who documented and photographed all of the child labor issues from the 1890's to 1910, that time period.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Who says you can't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. SCHULTZ: Thank you. PRESIDENT SHIELDS: English Language Arts K-5. MS. HILL: Good afternoon. My name is Jennifer Hill. I'm a teacher in the Hazelwood School District. This is Linda Shippy, one of my co-workers on the work group. We want to thank you all so much for the opportunity to work on this after the House Bill was passed. I think all of us on our committee, after a little bit of contention in the beginning, were all able to come together in our work group and work cohesively to come up with a document that we're really proud of. Our philosophy from the beginning, and as was stated at the last hearing, our overall goal was to provide developmentally appropriate standards that will promote academic success in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	opinions, diverse perspectives, things that our students and teachers might go to. I couldn't speak to all of them, but because we had such an expertise in our work group; college professors and people that are just passionate about history, we put together things that a teacher could pull to help teach their students about history, and there's lots of exciting sources in there. And I see they're in Fifth Grade. They would try to learn who the Wright Brothers are and who Al Capone was and then Lewis Hine. I just didn't know Lewis Hine. Does somebody know who Lewis Hine is?  MR. CARSON: It's a Fifth Grade standard. Lewis Hine is the one who documented and photographed all of the child labor issues from the 1890's to 1910, that time period.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Who says you can't learn anything at a State Board meeting?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. SCHULTZ: Thank you. PRESIDENT SHIELDS: English Language Arts K-5. MS. HILL: Good afternoon. My name is Jennifer Hill. I'm a teacher in the Hazelwood School District. This is Linda Shippy, one of my co-workers on the work group. We want to thank you all so much for the opportunity to work on this after the House Bill was passed. I think all of us on our committee, after a little bit of contention in the beginning, were all able to come together in our work group and work cohesively to come up with a document that we're really proud of. Our philosophy from the beginning, and as was stated at the last hearing, our overall goal was to provide developmentally appropriate standards that will promote academic success in children with skills for college and careers.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	opinions, diverse perspectives, things that our students and teachers might go to. I couldn't speak to all of them, but because we had such an expertise in our work group; college professors and people that are just passionate about history, we put together things that a teacher could pull to help teach their students about history, and there's lots of exciting sources in there. And I see they're in Fifth Grade. They would try to learn who the Wright Brothers are and who Al Capone was and then Lewis Hine. I just didn't know Lewis Hine. Does somebody know who Lewis Hine is?  MR. CARSON: It's a Fifth Grade standard. Lewis Hine is the one who documented and photographed all of the child labor issues from the 1890's to 1910, that time period.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Who says you can't learn anything at a State Board meeting?  MR. STILL: That's right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. SCHULTZ: Thank you. PRESIDENT SHIELDS: English Language Arts K-5. MS. HILL: Good afternoon. My name is Jennifer Hill. I'm a teacher in the Hazelwood School District. This is Linda Shippy, one of my co-workers on the work group. We want to thank you all so much for the opportunity to work on this after the House Bill was passed. I think all of us on our committee, after a little bit of contention in the beginning, were all able to come together in our work group and work cohesively to come up with a document that we're really proud of. Our philosophy from the beginning, and as was stated at the last hearing, our overall goal was to provide developmentally appropriate standards that will promote academic success in children with skills for college and careers. And I feel like we maintain that throughout our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	opinions, diverse perspectives, things that our students and teachers might go to. I couldn't speak to all of them, but because we had such an expertise in our work group; college professors and people that are just passionate about history, we put together things that a teacher could pull to help teach their students about history, and there's lots of exciting sources in there. And I see they're in Fifth Grade. They would try to learn who the Wright Brothers are and who Al Capone was and then Lewis Hine. I just didn't know Lewis Hine. Does somebody know who Lewis Hine is?  MR. CARSON: It's a Fifth Grade standard. Lewis Hine is the one who documented and photographed all of the child labor issues from the 1890's to 1910, that time period.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Who says you can't learn anything at a State Board meeting?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. SCHULTZ: Thank you. PRESIDENT SHIELDS: English Language Arts K-5. MS. HILL: Good afternoon. My name is Jennifer Hill. I'm a teacher in the Hazelwood School District. This is Linda Shippy, one of my co-workers on the work group. We want to thank you all so much for the opportunity to work on this after the House Bill was passed. I think all of us on our committee, after a little bit of contention in the beginning, were all able to come together in our work group and work cohesively to come up with a document that we're really proud of. Our philosophy from the beginning, and as was stated at the last hearing, our overall goal was to provide developmentally appropriate standards that will promote academic success in children with skills for college and careers.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	opinions, diverse perspectives, things that our students and teachers might go to. I couldn't speak to all of them, but because we had such an expertise in our work group; college professors and people that are just passionate about history, we put together things that a teacher could pull to help teach their students about history, and there's lots of exciting sources in there. And I see they're in Fifth Grade. They would try to learn who the Wright Brothers are and who Al Capone was and then Lewis Hine. I just didn't know Lewis Hine. Does somebody know who Lewis Hine is?  MR. CARSON: It's a Fifth Grade standard. Lewis Hine is the one who documented and photographed all of the child labor issues from the 1890's to 1910, that time period.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Who says you can't learn anything at a State Board meeting?  MR. STILL: That's right.  MR. SCHULTZ: It's the details about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. SCHULTZ: Thank you. PRESIDENT SHIELDS: English Language Arts K-5. MS. HILL: Good afternoon. My name is Jennifer Hill. I'm a teacher in the Hazelwood School District. This is Linda Shippy, one of my co-workers on the work group. We want to thank you all so much for the opportunity to work on this after the House Bill was passed. I think all of us on our committee, after a little bit of contention in the beginning, were all able to come together in our work group and work cohesively to come up with a document that we're really proud of. Our philosophy from the beginning, and as was stated at the last hearing, our overall goal was to provide developmentally appropriate standards that will promote academic success in children with skills for college and careers. And I feel like we maintain that throughout our process.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	opinions, diverse perspectives, things that our students and teachers might go to. I couldn't speak to all of them, but because we had such an expertise in our work group; college professors and people that are just passionate about history, we put together things that a teacher could pull to help teach their students about history, and there's lots of exciting sources in there. And I see they're in Fifth Grade. They would try to learn who the Wright Brothers are and who Al Capone was and then Lewis Hine. I just didn't know Lewis Hine. Does somebody know who Lewis Hine is?  MR. CARSON: It's a Fifth Grade standard. Lewis Hine is the one who documented and photographed all of the child labor issues from the 1890's to 1910, that time period.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Who says you can't learn anything at a State Board meeting?  MR. STILL: That's right.  MR. SCHULTZ: It's the details about history that's exciting.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. SCHULTZ: Thank you. PRESIDENT SHIELDS: English Language Arts K-5. MS. HILL: Good afternoon. My name is Jennifer Hill. I'm a teacher in the Hazelwood School District. This is Linda Shippy, one of my co-workers on the work group. We want to thank you all so much for the opportunity to work on this after the House Bill was passed. I think all of us on our committee, after a little bit of contention in the beginning, were all able to come together in our work group and work cohesively to come up with a document that we're really proud of. Our philosophy from the beginning, and as was stated at the last hearing, our overall goal was to provide developmentally appropriate standards that will promote academic success in children with skills for college and careers. And I feel like we maintain that throughout our process. In order to meet that goal we began by

#### Page 37 Page 39 1 1 and Stotzky. Once we kind of had gone over all PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Dr. Martin? 2 2 of those standards together and looked through DR. MARTIN: One thing. I'd like to 3 them, we decided that the best way for us to 3 compliment you for putting cursive writing back 4 work throughout the remaining month was to 4 in the standard. 5 divide into subgroups. So we came up with our 5 MS. SHIPPY: That would be another one. 6 strands of reading, language, speaking and 6 yes. listening and writing and research. And so 7 DR. MARTIN: The loss of that standard 8 8 there were about four members on each of those just didn't make sense to me. Thank you. 9 9 MS. SHIPPY: You're welcome. subgroup strands. 10 10 We met a total of 16 times in full PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Thank you and an 11 meetings, and then we had a couple of the 11 Amen. Further questions for the Board? 12 subgroups that also met in different places 12 DR. MARTIN: Good work. 13 outside of Jefferson City for a total of 19 13 PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Thank you so much. 14 14 Appreciate it. So English Language Arts 6-12? meetings. Each strand was then subsequently 15 reviewed and voted on by the whole group at our 15 MS. SKEETERS: Good afternoon. 16 next meeting, and we made sure to have a quorum 16 PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Good afternoon. 17 at each meeting before any decisions or votes 17 MS. SKEETERS: My name is Carrie 18 18 were made. Skeeters. I am from the Wentzville School 19 Upon completion of all of the 19 District, and I am the chair for the 6-12 ELA 20 substrands we got back together. We rechecked, 20 work group. Our work group has submitted 21 21 double-checked, triple checked for horizontal standards for reading, both literary and 22 22 and vertical alignment within our grade span. informational texts, writing and speaking and 23 23 Unfortunately, we weren't able to meet with 6-12 listening. Each strand begins with a very brief narrative statement about the strand itself and 24 to get alignment there. We did try, but we did 24 25 25 the best we could within our grade span to find then also includes what we consider to be anchor Page 38 Page 40 1 1 standards for each strand. These are then alignment. 2 2 Our final product; we had a vote out of followed by the standards that are specific to 3 our 16 members of 15 yeses, 1 no. We worked 3 each grade level 6 through 12. 4 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Our final product; we had a vote out of our 16 members of 15 yeses, 1 no. We worked within the time line we were given and submitted our standards on time. And, again, we just want to thank everyone for the opportunity that we had to work on this.

PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Thank you. Questions from the Board? I'll ask Mr. Herschend's question. What's the biggest change in your mind?

 $\label{eq:MS.SHIPPY:} \ \ \mbox{Do you want me to address} \\ \ \mbox{that?}$ 

MS. HILL: Go right ahead.

MS. SHIPPY: Well, we had several, but probably one of the biggest changes is that we inserted poetry and drama. We have standards that actually address those particular genres. Another thing that is, I think, beneficial in our document is that we have along the way, even for the substandards, many places where we inserted examples of what was meant by the standard so it's not left to guess what was meant by the standard but rather give a

Throughout our work we considered the following: The Missouri Department of Higher Education Curriculum Alignment Initiative, Career Ready Practices, The Missouri Show-Me Content Standards for ELA, The National Council of Teachers of English. We considered much of their research. The current Missouri Learning Standards for ELA, the Missouri GLE's and CLE's 2.0, Massachusetts Standards 2001, 2004, South Carolina Standards 2008, and Stanizewski 2013.

What we have submitted for your consideration is the product of our very best effort to develop standards that will best serve the students of the State of Missouri.

PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Questions? Mr. Wallace?

MR. WALLACE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. As I understood the K-5 people, they had not met with you people?

MS. SKEETERS: That is correct. We were unable to accomplish that within the time we had.

Fax: 314.644.1334

particular exemplar.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

	Page 41		Page 43
1	MR. WALLACE: Well, I hope there's	1	They really sit down and start to write a novel
2	plans to rectify that before we come back again.	2	or poem that's a reflection of what their
3	This is critical as far as I'm concerned.	3	observation is. So did you guys get as you
4	MS. SKEETERS: Oh. We would agree that	4	were putting this stuff together did you get a
5	alignment is important. We just did not have	5	chance to think about or talk about that at all?
6	the opportunity to do that within the time we	6	MS. SKEETERS: In the 6-12 ELA room,
7	had.	7	yes. We had lots of conversation about that
8	MR. WALLACE: So you're going to have	8	because we agree with you that the historical
9	some more time before we met again. Let's hope	9	perspective is incredibly important, and usually
10	we get that done. Both of you.	10	the writing that happens is a reflection of what
11	MS. SKEETERS: Okay.	11	is happening during the time period. So we do
12	MR. WALLACE: I mean, I'll if you	12	actually have standards within our literary text
13	haven't met jumping from, what, Fifth Grade	13	and our informational text to address that,
14	to Sixth Grade?	14	analyze how historical and/or cultural context
15	MS. SKEETERS: We would agree with you.	15	informed the text.
16	MS. VANDEVEN: I think their concern is	16	PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Mr. Herschend?
17	that this is their final report. So we'll have	17	MR. HERSCHEND: Mr. President. Of all
18	to get that handled through the public comment	18	the changes that you wrought which one will make
19	period	19	the biggest difference? In other words, what
20	MR. WALLACE: But it's going to be	20	are you proudest of in your changes from where
21	awhile before we adopt these.	21	we were?
22	MS. VANDEVEN: That's correct.	22	MS. SKEETERS: Okay. Well, we have a
23	MR. WALLACE: That's what I'm talking	23	few. I'd say that was one of ours that we were
24	about. To me this is crucial. Thank you.	24	most happy with, kind of refining that. We felt
25	MS. VANDEVEN: No problem.	25	like it was implied in the previous standards
	Page 42		
	rage 42		Page 44
1	_	1	•
1 2	PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Mr. Jones?	1 2	but not well defined. So we defined that
	PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Mr. Jones? MR. JONES: Yes. Thank you,		but not well defined. So we defined that further. We are particularly proud of the
2	PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Mr. Jones?	2	but not well defined. So we defined that further. We are particularly proud of the integration of writing and language standards.
2	PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Mr. Jones? MR. JONES: Yes. Thank you, Mr. President. Just curious, and given the	2 3	but not well defined. So we defined that further. We are particularly proud of the
2 3 4	PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Mr. Jones? MR. JONES: Yes. Thank you, Mr. President. Just curious, and given the volume of material I could have missed this	2 3 4	but not well defined. So we defined that further. We are particularly proud of the integration of writing and language standards. We don't have a strand just for grammar and
2 3 4 5	PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Mr. Jones? MR. JONES: Yes. Thank you, Mr. President. Just curious, and given the volume of material I could have missed this somewhere along the way. But in the language	2 3 4 5	but not well defined. So we defined that further. We are particularly proud of the integration of writing and language standards. We don't have a strand just for grammar and conventions. We have that integrated in with
2 3 4 5 6	PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Mr. Jones? MR. JONES: Yes. Thank you, Mr. President. Just curious, and given the volume of material I could have missed this somewhere along the way. But in the language arts, particularly relative to literature, and there was a conversation, I think, in the Fifth Grade where we were talking about drama and	2 3 4 5 6	but not well defined. So we defined that further. We are particularly proud of the integration of writing and language standards. We don't have a strand just for grammar and conventions. We have that integrated in with the writing. And then also one of our goals we
2 3 4 5 6 7	PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Mr. Jones? MR. JONES: Yes. Thank you, Mr. President. Just curious, and given the volume of material I could have missed this somewhere along the way. But in the language arts, particularly relative to literature, and there was a conversation, I think, in the Fifth Grade where we were talking about drama and poetry. Was there any and I guess this is an	2 3 4 5 6 7	but not well defined. So we defined that further. We are particularly proud of the integration of writing and language standards. We don't have a strand just for grammar and conventions. We have that integrated in with the writing. And then also one of our goals we set out to accomplish was to have fewer standards, and we achieved that so we're pretty happy about that as well.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Mr. Jones? MR. JONES: Yes. Thank you, Mr. President. Just curious, and given the volume of material I could have missed this somewhere along the way. But in the language arts, particularly relative to literature, and there was a conversation, I think, in the Fifth Grade where we were talking about drama and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	but not well defined. So we defined that further. We are particularly proud of the integration of writing and language standards. We don't have a strand just for grammar and conventions. We have that integrated in with the writing. And then also one of our goals we set out to accomplish was to have fewer standards, and we achieved that so we're pretty happy about that as well.  MR. HERSCHEND: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Mr. Jones? MR. JONES: Yes. Thank you, Mr. President. Just curious, and given the volume of material I could have missed this somewhere along the way. But in the language arts, particularly relative to literature, and there was a conversation, I think, in the Fifth Grade where we were talking about drama and poetry. Was there any and I guess this is an open question to the Social Science group as well.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	but not well defined. So we defined that further. We are particularly proud of the integration of writing and language standards. We don't have a strand just for grammar and conventions. We have that integrated in with the writing. And then also one of our goals we set out to accomplish was to have fewer standards, and we achieved that so we're pretty happy about that as well.  MR. HERSCHEND: Thank you. PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Further questions?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Mr. Jones? MR. JONES: Yes. Thank you, Mr. President. Just curious, and given the volume of material I could have missed this somewhere along the way. But in the language arts, particularly relative to literature, and there was a conversation, I think, in the Fifth Grade where we were talking about drama and poetry. Was there any and I guess this is an open question to the Social Science group as well. Was there any discussion between	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	but not well defined. So we defined that further. We are particularly proud of the integration of writing and language standards. We don't have a strand just for grammar and conventions. We have that integrated in with the writing. And then also one of our goals we set out to accomplish was to have fewer standards, and we achieved that so we're pretty happy about that as well.  MR. HERSCHEND: Thank you.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Further questions? Seeing none, thank you, again, for great work.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Mr. Jones? MR. JONES: Yes. Thank you, Mr. President. Just curious, and given the volume of material I could have missed this somewhere along the way. But in the language arts, particularly relative to literature, and there was a conversation, I think, in the Fifth Grade where we were talking about drama and poetry. Was there any and I guess this is an open question to the Social Science group as well.  Was there any discussion between inside the groups, because you couldn't have had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	but not well defined. So we defined that further. We are particularly proud of the integration of writing and language standards. We don't have a strand just for grammar and conventions. We have that integrated in with the writing. And then also one of our goals we set out to accomplish was to have fewer standards, and we achieved that so we're pretty happy about that as well.  MR. HERSCHEND: Thank you.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Further questions? Seeing none, thank you, again, for great work.  MS. SKEETERS: Thank you very much.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Mr. Jones? MR. JONES: Yes. Thank you, Mr. President. Just curious, and given the volume of material I could have missed this somewhere along the way. But in the language arts, particularly relative to literature, and there was a conversation, I think, in the Fifth Grade where we were talking about drama and poetry. Was there any and I guess this is an open question to the Social Science group as well.  Was there any discussion between inside the groups, because you couldn't have had it between groups, about the relationship	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	but not well defined. So we defined that further. We are particularly proud of the integration of writing and language standards. We don't have a strand just for grammar and conventions. We have that integrated in with the writing. And then also one of our goals we set out to accomplish was to have fewer standards, and we achieved that so we're pretty happy about that as well.  MR. HERSCHEND: Thank you.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Further questions? Seeing none, thank you, again, for great work.  MS. SKEETERS: Thank you very much.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Great presentation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Mr. Jones? MR. JONES: Yes. Thank you, Mr. President. Just curious, and given the volume of material I could have missed this somewhere along the way. But in the language arts, particularly relative to literature, and there was a conversation, I think, in the Fifth Grade where we were talking about drama and poetry. Was there any and I guess this is an open question to the Social Science group as well.  Was there any discussion between inside the groups, because you couldn't have had it between groups, about the relationship between literature and Social Studies? Because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	but not well defined. So we defined that further. We are particularly proud of the integration of writing and language standards. We don't have a strand just for grammar and conventions. We have that integrated in with the writing. And then also one of our goals we set out to accomplish was to have fewer standards, and we achieved that so we're pretty happy about that as well.  MR. HERSCHEND: Thank you.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Further questions? Seeing none, thank you, again, for great work.  MS. SKEETERS: Thank you very much.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Great presentation.  Mathematics K-5.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Mr. Jones? MR. JONES: Yes. Thank you, Mr. President. Just curious, and given the volume of material I could have missed this somewhere along the way. But in the language arts, particularly relative to literature, and there was a conversation, I think, in the Fifth Grade where we were talking about drama and poetry. Was there any and I guess this is an open question to the Social Science group as well.  Was there any discussion between inside the groups, because you couldn't have had it between groups, about the relationship between literature and Social Studies? Because if you take most of the literature we study, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	but not well defined. So we defined that further. We are particularly proud of the integration of writing and language standards. We don't have a strand just for grammar and conventions. We have that integrated in with the writing. And then also one of our goals we set out to accomplish was to have fewer standards, and we achieved that so we're pretty happy about that as well.  MR. HERSCHEND: Thank you.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Further questions? Seeing none, thank you, again, for great work.  MS. SKEETERS: Thank you very much.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Great presentation. Mathematics K-5.  MS. REYNOLDS: Good afternoon. My name
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Mr. Jones? MR. JONES: Yes. Thank you, Mr. President. Just curious, and given the volume of material I could have missed this somewhere along the way. But in the language arts, particularly relative to literature, and there was a conversation, I think, in the Fifth Grade where we were talking about drama and poetry. Was there any and I guess this is an open question to the Social Science group as well.  Was there any discussion between inside the groups, because you couldn't have had it between groups, about the relationship between literature and Social Studies? Because if you take most of the literature we study, and I'll just take Dickens for just a minute, 19th	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	but not well defined. So we defined that further. We are particularly proud of the integration of writing and language standards. We don't have a strand just for grammar and conventions. We have that integrated in with the writing. And then also one of our goals we set out to accomplish was to have fewer standards, and we achieved that so we're pretty happy about that as well.  MR. HERSCHEND: Thank you.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Further questions? Seeing none, thank you, again, for great work.  MS. SKEETERS: Thank you very much.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Great presentation. Mathematics K-5.  MS. REYNOLDS: Good afternoon. My name is Lori Reynolds, and I'm an assistant principal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Mr. Jones? MR. JONES: Yes. Thank you, Mr. President. Just curious, and given the volume of material I could have missed this somewhere along the way. But in the language arts, particularly relative to literature, and there was a conversation, I think, in the Fifth Grade where we were talking about drama and poetry. Was there any and I guess this is an open question to the Social Science group as well.  Was there any discussion between inside the groups, because you couldn't have had it between groups, about the relationship between literature and Social Studies? Because if you take most of the literature we study, and I'll just take Dickens for just a minute, 19th Century. We teach him as literature in English	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	but not well defined. So we defined that further. We are particularly proud of the integration of writing and language standards. We don't have a strand just for grammar and conventions. We have that integrated in with the writing. And then also one of our goals we set out to accomplish was to have fewer standards, and we achieved that so we're pretty happy about that as well.  MR. HERSCHEND: Thank you.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Further questions? Seeing none, thank you, again, for great work.  MS. SKEETERS: Thank you very much.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Great presentation. Mathematics K-5.  MS. REYNOLDS: Good afternoon. My name is Lori Reynolds, and I'm an assistant principal for an elementary school in the Blue Springs
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Mr. Jones? MR. JONES: Yes. Thank you, Mr. President. Just curious, and given the volume of material I could have missed this somewhere along the way. But in the language arts, particularly relative to literature, and there was a conversation, I think, in the Fifth Grade where we were talking about drama and poetry. Was there any and I guess this is an open question to the Social Science group as well.  Was there any discussion between inside the groups, because you couldn't have had it between groups, about the relationship between literature and Social Studies? Because if you take most of the literature we study, and I'll just take Dickens for just a minute, 19th Century. We teach him as literature in English but it's really commentary on 19th Century	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	but not well defined. So we defined that further. We are particularly proud of the integration of writing and language standards. We don't have a strand just for grammar and conventions. We have that integrated in with the writing. And then also one of our goals we set out to accomplish was to have fewer standards, and we achieved that so we're pretty happy about that as well.  MR. HERSCHEND: Thank you. PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Further questions? Seeing none, thank you, again, for great work. MS. SKEETERS: Thank you very much. PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Great presentation. Mathematics K-5.  MS. REYNOLDS: Good afternoon. My name is Lori Reynolds, and I'm an assistant principal for an elementary school in the Blue Springs,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Mr. Jones? MR. JONES: Yes. Thank you, Mr. President. Just curious, and given the volume of material I could have missed this somewhere along the way. But in the language arts, particularly relative to literature, and there was a conversation, I think, in the Fifth Grade where we were talking about drama and poetry. Was there any and I guess this is an open question to the Social Science group as well.  Was there any discussion between inside the groups, because you couldn't have had it between groups, about the relationship between literature and Social Studies? Because if you take most of the literature we study, and I'll just take Dickens for just a minute, 19th Century. We teach him as literature in English but it's really commentary on 19th Century British industrial economy. And so and it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	but not well defined. So we defined that further. We are particularly proud of the integration of writing and language standards. We don't have a strand just for grammar and conventions. We have that integrated in with the writing. And then also one of our goals we set out to accomplish was to have fewer standards, and we achieved that so we're pretty happy about that as well.  MR. HERSCHEND: Thank you. PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Further questions? Seeing none, thank you, again, for great work. MS. SKEETERS: Thank you very much. PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Great presentation. Mathematics K-5.  MS. REYNOLDS: Good afternoon. My name is Lori Reynolds, and I'm an assistant principal for an elementary school in the Blue Springs, Missouri. I'm also the chairperson of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Mr. Jones? MR. JONES: Yes. Thank you, Mr. President. Just curious, and given the volume of material I could have missed this somewhere along the way. But in the language arts, particularly relative to literature, and there was a conversation, I think, in the Fifth Grade where we were talking about drama and poetry. Was there any and I guess this is an open question to the Social Science group as well.  Was there any discussion between inside the groups, because you couldn't have had it between groups, about the relationship between literature and Social Studies? Because if you take most of the literature we study, and I'll just take Dickens for just a minute, 19th Century. We teach him as literature in English but it's really commentary on 19th Century	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	but not well defined. So we defined that further. We are particularly proud of the integration of writing and language standards. We don't have a strand just for grammar and conventions. We have that integrated in with the writing. And then also one of our goals we set out to accomplish was to have fewer standards, and we achieved that so we're pretty happy about that as well.  MR. HERSCHEND: Thank you. PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Further questions? Seeing none, thank you, again, for great work. MS. SKEETERS: Thank you very much. PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Great presentation. Mathematics K-5.  MS. REYNOLDS: Good afternoon. My name is Lori Reynolds, and I'm an assistant principal for an elementary school in the Blue Springs,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Mr. Jones? MR. JONES: Yes. Thank you, Mr. President. Just curious, and given the volume of material I could have missed this somewhere along the way. But in the language arts, particularly relative to literature, and there was a conversation, I think, in the Fifth Grade where we were talking about drama and poetry. Was there any and I guess this is an open question to the Social Science group as well.  Was there any discussion between inside the groups, because you couldn't have had it between groups, about the relationship between literature and Social Studies? Because if you take most of the literature we study, and I'll just take Dickens for just a minute, 19th Century. We teach him as literature in English but it's really commentary on 19th Century British industrial economy. And so and it seems to me that part of what gets lost in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	but not well defined. So we defined that further. We are particularly proud of the integration of writing and language standards. We don't have a strand just for grammar and conventions. We have that integrated in with the writing. And then also one of our goals we set out to accomplish was to have fewer standards, and we achieved that so we're pretty happy about that as well.  MR. HERSCHEND: Thank you. PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Further questions? Seeing none, thank you, again, for great work. MS. SKEETERS: Thank you very much. PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Great presentation. Mathematics K-5.  MS. REYNOLDS: Good afternoon. My name is Lori Reynolds, and I'm an assistant principal for an elementary school in the Blue Springs School District located in Blue Springs, Missouri. I'm also the chairperson of the elementary K-5 math group. And this is Marilyn
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Mr. Jones? MR. JONES: Yes. Thank you, Mr. President. Just curious, and given the volume of material I could have missed this somewhere along the way. But in the language arts, particularly relative to literature, and there was a conversation, I think, in the Fifth Grade where we were talking about drama and poetry. Was there any and I guess this is an open question to the Social Science group as well.  Was there any discussion between inside the groups, because you couldn't have had it between groups, about the relationship between literature and Social Studies? Because if you take most of the literature we study, and I'll just take Dickens for just a minute, 19th Century. We teach him as literature in English but it's really commentary on 19th Century British industrial economy. And so and it seems to me that part of what gets lost in education, and I'll say mine too, is the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	but not well defined. So we defined that further. We are particularly proud of the integration of writing and language standards. We don't have a strand just for grammar and conventions. We have that integrated in with the writing. And then also one of our goals we set out to accomplish was to have fewer standards, and we achieved that so we're pretty happy about that as well.  MR. HERSCHEND: Thank you. PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Further questions? Seeing none, thank you, again, for great work. MS. SKEETERS: Thank you very much. PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Great presentation. Mathematics K-5. MS. REYNOLDS: Good afternoon. My name is Lori Reynolds, and I'm an assistant principal for an elementary school in the Blue Springs School District located in Blue Springs, Missouri. I'm also the chairperson of the elementary K-5 math group. And this is Marilyn Cannon, an educator from the Raytown School
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Mr. Jones? MR. JONES: Yes. Thank you, Mr. President. Just curious, and given the volume of material I could have missed this somewhere along the way. But in the language arts, particularly relative to literature, and there was a conversation, I think, in the Fifth Grade where we were talking about drama and poetry. Was there any and I guess this is an open question to the Social Science group as well.  Was there any discussion between inside the groups, because you couldn't have had it between groups, about the relationship between literature and Social Studies? Because if you take most of the literature we study, and I'll just take Dickens for just a minute, 19th Century. We teach him as literature in English but it's really commentary on 19th Century British industrial economy. And so and it seems to me that part of what gets lost in education, and I'll say mine too, is the inability to integrate literature with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	but not well defined. So we defined that further. We are particularly proud of the integration of writing and language standards. We don't have a strand just for grammar and conventions. We have that integrated in with the writing. And then also one of our goals we set out to accomplish was to have fewer standards, and we achieved that so we're pretty happy about that as well.  MR. HERSCHEND: Thank you. PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Further questions? Seeing none, thank you, again, for great work. MS. SKEETERS: Thank you very much. PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Great presentation. Mathematics K-5.  MS. REYNOLDS: Good afternoon. My name is Lori Reynolds, and I'm an assistant principal for an elementary school in the Blue Springs School District located in Blue Springs, Missouri. I'm also the chairperson of the elementary K-5 math group. And this is Marilyn Cannon, an educator from the Raytown School District and a work group member.

2

4

6

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

9

14

15

16

19

21

22

25

## Page 45

- 1 September 2015. 12 members appointed to our 2
  - work group attended our scheduled meetings on a
- 3 regular basis. Two members, one appointed by
  - the President Pro Tem of the Senate and one
- 5 appointed by the Speaker of the House of
- 6 Representatives never attended any scheduled
- 7 meetings. And there was a fourth appointment
- 8 from the Speaker of the House of Representatives
- 9 that was never filled. The revised K-5

10 standards have been developed and adopted from 11 various aspects of the current Missouri Learning 12 Standards as well as standards from California,

13 Georgia, Massachusetts, Minnesota, and South

14 Carolina.

4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Other documents that we examined include the National Council of Teachers of Mathematics Principles and Standards for School Mathematics, Curriculum Focal Points for Pre-K to Grade 8, and Principles to Actions, the National Mathematics Advisory Panel report as well as the National Research Council report adding it up.

Our work group also met on several occasions and had an open dialogue with the 6-12 Mathematic work group. To enhance this

1 The final draft was submitted to the

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education

Page 47

Page 48

3 on September 29th, 2015. The proposed

elementary Mathematic standards are organized by

5 grade levels. Across the K-5 grade the

standards are organized into domains, big ideas

7 and then the content standards. Our group spent

8 at least one to one and a half days on each

9 grade level discussing and digging into really 10

understanding each standard and what it was asking our students to know and what we were

asking our students to understand.

Our group also spent time considering how our language could impact assessment writers in the future, attempting to ensure that our thoughts could be accurately translated into assessment items. We, along with the K-12 math work group, feel that it's important to emphasize not only the content contained within the standards but also the importance of the Mathematical practices for all students at all grade levels.

Attention must be given to learners engaging in the processes of Mathematics because it is essential in truly implementing the

## Page 46

- communication between the two groups an on-line drop box was created. All documents were shared
- in the drop box and were accessible to all
- 4 members of both the elementary and secondary
- 5 members. A working draft of our K-5 Mathematic
  - standards was posted during the summer of 2015
- 7 on several state mathematic and government web
- 8 sites. A call for review was made to various
- 9 state venues from both K-12 and higher
- 10 education. Every effort was made to make the
- 11 survey accessible to as many people as possible.
- 12 Over 1600 responses were collected from 13
- respondents consisting of K-12 educators, 14
  - members of the higher education community,
- 15 parents and other interested parties.

one member not returning a vote.

The work group met to review the survey results and modify our draft; modify our draft in response to the feedback that we received. Our final meeting was held on September 24th. 2015. The proposed elementary standards were sent to the elementary work group members who attended the standard work group sessions for a final vote. Eleven out of these twelve members approved the proposed Mathematics standards with content standards. If the content standards are

- not implemented within the view of the
- Mathematical practices, the ultimate impact on
- 4 student Mathematical learning may be minimal.
- 5 The members of the K-5 math work group feel as
- 6 if we have fulfilled our obligation to the work 7
- committee to the best of our ability with much 8 research and dialogue to produce the best
  - standards we possibly could in the time
- 10 allotted. Our group has given much time and
- 11 energy to this work because we care about the
- 12 mathematic education and academic success of the
- 13 young mathematicians across the State of
  - Missouri. Thank you for your time this
    - afternoon.

PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Thank you.

17 Questions from the Board? 18

MR. STILL: Mr. President?

PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Yes. MR. STILL: I know before your group

20 started there was some discussion of the

Missouri standards, and there was some thought

23 that the standards at the elementary level were 24 too difficult, and that some at the later --

high school level were maybe too easy. I mean,

#### HEARING 10/26/2015 Page 51 Page 49 1 1 did your group come into that kind of -- did you from the 1950's, you know. Did your group 2 2 discuss that or do you think that was -- that's discuss that, how this would be kind of 3 3 true that maybe the current standard was too presented to people so that they would benefit 4 difficult there at the elementary level or not? 4 from the new standard? 5 MS. CANNON: We made revisions to 5 MS. CANNON: Yes. We discussed it 6 certain standards at different grade levels 6 dramatically because we had several instances 7 7 based off of the research that we had -- through where it was an interpretation. I mean, 8 8 the Curriculum Focal Points. The Curriculum Mathematics hasn't changed. It's probably how 9 9 we are using the Mathematics that has changed Focal Points is a national document that was put 10 10 out and well researched, but it was recommended and how our students, to be college and career 11 11 -- it made recommendations by grade level. So ready, would need to change. 12 12 we made sure the Missouri Learning Standards So we did discuss, for instance, 13 reflected that kind of information. 13 fractions; what would be the fundamental part of 14 14 MR. STILL: Okay. Did it -- well -fractions in Third Grade that would lead to the 15 MS. CANNON: So we did have a lot of 15 developmentally appropriate use of fractions in 16 16 discussion. A lot of times what would come Fourth Grade and how that would then progress 17 about was the wording could be interpreted in 17 into the middle and high school levels. So in 18 18 multiple ways. So we tried to make the wording looking at the research and the documents that 19 more clear and concise on the interpretation and 19 we were kind of consulting as we were making our 20 take some of the ambiguity out of it. 20 decisions, we felt like some of those standards 21 21 MR. STILL: I mean, it sounds like you that had gotten some heat from the public really 22 22 didn't think that the Missouri standard that you was a misunderstanding of what was expected at 23 23 started with and that you were going to revise, that grade level. 24 24 that that was really too difficult at the MR. STILL: Well, we appreciate your 25 25 elementary level and may have been ambiguous in work on it. Page 50 Page 52 1 1 PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Dr. Martin? some places. 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
2
            MS. CANNON: An example that came to
 3
       mind is one of the standards, for instance, at
 4
       Kindergarten or First Grade would include the
 5
       "or equation". The words "or equation" was in
 6
       there. And then we had some conversation like
 7
       would equation be where we would start.
 8
       Absolutely not. We wouldn't start -- that isn't
 9
       developmentally appropriate. But that could be
10
       a way as they progress through Kindergarten or
11
       First Grade that they could illustrate that
12
       standard. But the word "or equation "was in
13
       there. So we needed to really highlight some of
14
       that wording and make sure that we understood
15
       that that wasn't an expectation of every student
16
       in every situation. They had options.
```

MR. STILL: Okay.

MS. CANNON: So that one comes to mind but each grade level has their own special area of, you know, what -- just learning what exactly is intended by the standard.

MR. STILL: For some reason math education, particularly elementary, has attracted more political heat than other subjects for some reason. I remember New Math

PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Dr. Martin?
DR. MARTIN: Just a quickie. I was looking at the standards and noticed a reference to Base 10; working with numerals in Base 10.
Any consideration for introduction of other bases at maybe Fourth or Fifth Grade, because, you know, we live in a digital society.
Everything we do now is on Base 2, and I was just wondering if helping and understanding Base 10, if there would be any exploration of other bases.

MS. CANNON: Well, we actually hit some of that information in conversions with our

MS. CANNON: Well, we actually hit some of that information in conversions with our measurement standards. So we did consider converting in measurement standards, and then also time is off -- a different base. And so using that kind of -- those standards are still in there.

DR. MARTIN: Thank you.

PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Mr. Herschend, do you have a guestion?

MR. HERSCHEND: Two questions. How many of your group were/are classroom teachers in elementary math?

MS. REYNOLDS: I believe three.

Fax: 314.644.1334

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

#### Page 53 Page 55 1 1 MS. CANNON: And then there were at within a standard, but we didn't really talk 2 2 least three of us that had experience as about --3 3 elementary math teachers at one time. So that MR. HERSCHEND: Okay. What are you 4 would be six of us about. 4 most pleased about in what your recommendations 5 MR. HERSCHEND: Okay. Thank you. Six 5 carry forward? What's the best thing you found? 6 out of ten? 6 MS. REYNOLDS: I think just the focus 7 MS. REYNOLDS: Twelve that attended our 7 that we had on the mathematical practices. 8 work group meetings regularly. 8 Again, that we -- you can't have a math 9 MS. CANNON: There was probably more 9 curriculum without the ways and means to teach 10 that had elementary experience but now are --10 them. All the math content with the 11 like I'm a curriculum coordinator. She's a 11 mathematical practices. So that was something 12 principal. Some of them are at higher ed but 12 that I think was in agreement with every member 13 they were in the elementary classroom. 13 of our work group. 14 MR. HERSCHEND: It just struck me as 14 MR. HERSCHEND: Thank you. 15 important that here we are talking about this --15 PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Thank you again for 16 MS. CANNON: Yes. With elementary 16 the great work. So Mathematics 6-12. 17 background. 17 MS. BERGFELD: Good afternoon. My name 18 MR. HERSCHEND: -- and the person in 18 is Nancy Bergfeld. I was a classroom teacher 19 the classroom is the one who is going to know. 19 for 37 years. I am currently a Board of 20 MS. CANNON: And we have at least three 20 Education member in the Northwest R-1 School 21 that are currently -- like two of them are 21 District for the past nine years. 22 teaching Fifth Grade right now. 22 MS. JONES: My name is Tiffany Jones. 23 MR. HERSCHEND: Which leads me to my 23 I am a co-chair for the 6-12 Mathematics group, 24 second question. I heard throughout the 24 and I teach Seventh and Eighth Grade math in 25 presentations the idea presented of students --25 Nixa. Page 54 Page 56 1 1 if a student is proficient at Third Grade Math Since the last report to the Board, our 2 2 -- or let's move my numbers better. He's in group has had six different whole group 3 Third Grade and he can do Fifth Grade Math. To 3 meetings; two in May, two in July, one in 4 4 moving that student -- making provision for that August, and one in September. After a year of 5 5 student to be taught at the Fifth Grade level. work our committee has submitted a set of 6 6 Any thought given to that? It's the ungraded standards that we feel are best for Missouri 7 7 classroom approach, and I'm not here to advocate students. We had a goal but there was no 8 for that. I've just heard it in several 8 predetermined plan of action. It was quite a 9 9 journey, and many bumps were encountered along presentations.

10 MS. REYNOLDS: I'm not sure we really 11 had -- we talked about differentiation within 12 the classroom but then that -- I mean, actually 13 removing the kid from that grade level and 14 putting him in another grade level's Math I 15 would think would be a local decision. That 16 would be -- that would have to take place at 17 that school district. 18 MR. HERSCHEND: It could be a 19

recommendation. I hear --

MS. REYNOLDS: But we did not address that.

Mr. HERSCHEND: I don't disagree with classes --

MS. REYNOLDS: We talked about differentiation and how -- like the spectrum the way.

Although we did not always see eye to eye, our committee was ultimately able to put political differences aside and focus on what is best for students. We researched and reviewed standards from several states such as California, Massachusetts, Georgia, South Carolina and Minnesota, as well as the Common Core standards for Mathematics. We also reviewed the NCTM Focal Points, the Gais Report. the Higher Education Curricular Alignment Initiative Report and the ACT standards which were revisited up until our very last meeting.

The 6-12 work group did meet as well as communicate electronically with the K-5 work group as you heard before. In June our work

Fax: 314.644.1334

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

20

21

22

23

24

25

15

16

19

24

25

2

3

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## Page 57

- 1 group published a survey for the public to leave
- 2 feedback of our drafted proposed standards.
- 3 Those who responded to the survey were middle
- 4 school teachers, high school teachers, parents,
- 5 administrators, higher ed faculty and staff and
- 6 others. And we had over 800 responses. The
- 7 results of the survey were analyzed during our 8
- July meeting and some of the changes were made 9

to the standards based on those results. 10 Overall the proposed standards were very well

received by those who responded to the survey.

The standards being presented are focused and coherent with attention to conceptual understanding, procedural fluency and applications through problem solving. There are similarities to the current standards as well as standards of other states. The presented document provides clarity of content for Grades Six through 8, Algebra 1, Geometry and Algebra 2. We've also included a suggested pathways for the Seventh Grade accelerated course and an

Eighth Grade Algebra 1 course if districts

22 23 choose to take that path.

24

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

What students should know is contained in the content standards. What students should Page 59

Page 60

1 Missouri schools are meeting State 2 standards while expectations continue to 3 increase. Missouri students can reach higher 4 expectations when asked. The standards 5 presented will take students to higher levels. 6 Students will be career and college ready to 7 solve problems, to reason and communicate and to 8 use appropriate tools and technology. Our 9 entire work group went over each and every 10 standard word for word that is being presented 11 multiple times. During our year of meetings, as 12 well as at the final meeting, no one present 13 voted not to accept the standards that have been 14

> PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Okay. Questions from the Board? Mr. Herschend?

17 MR. HERSCHEND: Which state has the 18 best standards in 6-12 math?

MS. BERGFELD: We will next year.

20 MR. HERSCHEND: That's why I asked you. 21 I deeply wish to believe -- to accept that that 22 is the case. So my question goes from whom did 23

you learn the most?

submitted to you.

MS. BERGFELD: We started with Massachusetts, because there was a contingency

Page 58

1 who wanted to start with their, I believe, 2000

> standards. And we read through every single one of those, and we drew from those what we thought

4 was a good basis. But then we also looked at

5 South Carolina. We loved the organization that

6 they had, and we felt that their content was

7 good but the organization added to the clarity.

8 And that's what we felt that our current

9 standards were lacking was the clarity because

10 the organization, particularly at the high

11 school, was very confusing for teachers and they

had to use outside sources, such as the

appendix, to know what type of function to

teach.

For example, the same -- it would say one standard, and you had to know, if you're an Algebra 1 teacher, whether you were to do a linear, an exponential, a quadratic, a rational function, and you had to go to an appendix to find that. We reorganized it so an Algebra 1 teacher can find what he or she needs. So an Algebra 2 teacher can do that.

So we truly did take -- I think we -we also looked at Minnesota and California because they had some high points, but I think

be able to do is highlighted in the standards

for Mathematical practice which are stated at the introduction of every course. The most

3 4 significant changes are in the organization of

Algebra 1 and Algebra 2 to allow teachers to be

able to identify the need of standards without

the aid of an appendix. Standards have also been separated into smaller groups to bring

emphasis to each part of the standard. Both of these changes have been very well received by

the public based on the survey results.

We realize that the more time teachers spend learning new expectations and combing through resources the less time they have to develop quality lessons for our students. Because we want our students to have the best lessons possible we did try to keep coding similar to the current standards to allow teachers to find existing resources and professional development that align to the proposed standards. 98 percent of districts in

22 the State scored at least 70 percent of the 23 possible points on their APR which places those

districts in fully accredited range. This is a considerable increase from the previous year.

#### Page 61 Page 63 1 1 we drew mainly from the current Missouri House. So I'm very interested in this process. 2 Learning Standard, which are Common Core, and 2 PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Thank you. 3 3 REPRESENTATIVE DOHRMAN: Dean Dohrman, South Carolina and Massachusetts. 4 MR. HERSCHEND: Very helpful answer. 4 51st District, Vice chair of Higher Ed and also 5 MS. BERGFELD: We also relied heavily 5 chair of K-12. 6 on the GAIS document, which are Guidelines for 6 REPRESENTATIVE WOOD: David Wood. I 7 7 Assessment and Instruction and Statistical represent the 58th District. I sit on the 8 8 Education. That comes from the American select committee of education as vice chair. 9 9 I'm on the emerging issues committee, and I'm Statistical Society. So it is not pure 10 10 education people. It's statisticians that are chair of the joint committee on education. 11 11 lendina. REPRESENTATIVE SWAN: Kathy Swan, 12 MR. HERSCHEND: Very good. Good 12 Representative from Cape Girardeau, current 13 answer. Thank you very much. 13 chair of elementary and secondary education, 14 14 MS. BERGFELD: Thank you. former school member from Cape Girardeau and 15 PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Any further 15 former member of coordinating board for higher questions from the Board? Seeing none, again, 16 16 education. 17 17 thank you for your great work. REPRESENTATIVE HUBRECHT: Tila 18 MS. BERGFELD: Thank you so much. 18 Hubrecht. I'm the representative from the 151st 19 19 PRESIDENT SHIELDS: We're going to move District. 20 into public testimony here in just a second, but 20 PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Okay. Thank you 21 21 again for coming and your work on this. So I just wanted to say a couple things. 22 22 First of all, given where we were at a let's go into public testimony. And I would ask 23 23 year ago at this time, this is truly amazing those to come forward that would like to give 24 24 testimony. I'll make sure I get my notes so I work; the thought, the energy, excitement around 25 25 the standards that are being proposed is really know that we do this right. Page 62 Page 64 1 1 -- it's just an amazing journey over the last We have witness forms up front. If 2 2 year. you'll fill out those witness forms. We give 3 The second thing is I want to say thank 3 each person about three minutes. Anyone that --4 4 you. The incredible amount of time that members if we run out of time, I don't think we will, 5 5 of those groups and committees have put forward. but they'll have the opportunity to provide 6 You know, when you hear people meeting 16, 19 written testimony or they can e-mail their 7 7 times throughout the course of a year, during testimony at 1490 comments at DESE.mo.gov. 8 the summer months, on the weekends, that is 8 So please introduce yourself, state the 9 very, very impressive. And I believe deep in my 9 name and a group that you might be representing 10 10 heart that Missouri students will be well and proceed. 11 served by the process and the work that you've 11 MS. BYRNE: My name is Dr. Mary Byrne. 12 12 gone through. I'll say that. I'm co-founder of the Missouri Coalition Against 13 The second thing that I want to do is 13 Common Core. I want to thank you for the 14 14 that the process was created by a piece of opportunity to be here and honor the fact that 15 15 legislation, House Bill 1490. We have a number you are at a crossroads for our country. Common

Fax: 314.644.1334

Core doesn't become a presidential issue because

issue, and there are national commentaries about

concerned about how Common Core came into the

State but is concerned about the content, but I

am making the case that you must be concerned

it's a pet peeve of just a small group of people

I heard in a radio interview that at

in the State of Missouri. It is a national

least a small portion of this Board isn't

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

it.

to this Board.

of legislators in the room, and I would ask --

appreciate that very much. But ask that they

stand and introduce themselves. And we'll start

with Representative Cookson who is no stranger

Representative Steve Cookson. I'm the chairman

REPRESENTATIVE COOKSON: I'm

of the Higher Ed Committee in the House and

former chairman of the K-12 committee in the

first of all, thank you for being here. I

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

	Page 65		Page 67
1	about the "how".	1	about are violations of HB002 and Judge Green's
2	On October 7 of this month, Bill and	2	ruling in the Sauer versus Nixon case with
3	Melinda Gates convened an exclusive,	3	respect to the SBAC membership committed by
4	Gates-sponsored conference limited to about 250	4	certain Department personnel. In conclusion, I
5	education policymakers and politicians to mark	5	believe, as members of Missouri's State Board of
6	their 15 years of investment in public education	6	Ed, you are at a crossroads with respect to
7	where he said he remained unhappy about	7	adoption of Common Core Standards and upholding
8	America's taxpayer funded schools. Take that	8	the rule of law. Your choice will affect not
9	in. A billionaire oligarch is unhappy with	9	only you and the children and families of this
10	America's public schools so he has an exclusive	10	State but the future of our republic.
11	invitation to a conference to discuss his views.	11	PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Thank you, Dr.
12	And therein, is the quintessential reason why	12	Byrne. Next?
13	you should focus on the "how" of the Common Core	13	DR. BYRNE: Thank you.
14	State Standards adoption. The development,	14	MR. JONES: Just one comment,
15	deployment, adoption and integration of Common	15	Mr. President. It would seem that based on Dr.
16	Core State Standards with the structural	16	Byrne's testimony that a major teaching
17	development of the statewide longitudinal data	17	component of the social standards Social
18	systems is the agenda of billionaire oligarchs	18	Science Standards would be a thorough discussion
19	and international corporations who hand pick	19	of citizens united and what constitutes speech
20	their agents and influence state boards of	20	in a democracy, because depending on how you
21	education through NASBE, the National Governors	21	feel about that particular ruling, one, you got
22	Association, the Council of Chief State School	22	somebody exercising an unlimited amount of free
23	Officers, and the U.S. Department of Ed, as	23	speech, and another one is you've got a
24	documented by the NASBE 2010 to 2014 990s, a DoE	24	corruption of a democratic process, but it can't
25	publication on the structural presence of	25	be both. So if somebody wants to teach a
	Daga 66		Page 69
	Page 66		Page 68
1	corporate foundations in the Department, and a	1	segment on that for some Sixth to Twelfth
2	corporate foundations in the Department, and a list of Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation	2	segment on that for some Sixth to Twelfth Graders, I think it would be fairly useful.
2 3	corporate foundations in the Department, and a list of Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation employees who were appointed to leadership	2 3	segment on that for some Sixth to Twelfth Graders, I think it would be fairly useful. DR. BYRNE: Is that a comment?
2 3 4	corporate foundations in the Department, and a list of Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation employees who were appointed to leadership positions in the U.S. Department of Ed and the	2 3 4	segment on that for some Sixth to Twelfth Graders, I think it would be fairly useful. DR. BYRNE: Is that a comment? MR. JONES: Yeah. That was a comment.
2 3 4 5	corporate foundations in the Department, and a list of Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation employees who were appointed to leadership positions in the U.S. Department of Ed and the College Board to realize an agenda of their	2 3 4 5	segment on that for some Sixth to Twelfth Graders, I think it would be fairly useful. DR. BYRNE: Is that a comment? MR. JONES: Yeah. That was a comment. PRESIDENT SHIELDS: You want that in
2 3 4 5 6	corporate foundations in the Department, and a list of Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation employees who were appointed to leadership positions in the U.S. Department of Ed and the College Board to realize an agenda of their making, not the making of taxpayers and students	2 3 4 5 6	segment on that for some Sixth to Twelfth Graders, I think it would be fairly useful.  DR. BYRNE: Is that a comment?  MR. JONES: Yeah. That was a comment.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: You want that in the K-5 standards or the 6-12?
2 3 4 5 6 7	corporate foundations in the Department, and a list of Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation employees who were appointed to leadership positions in the U.S. Department of Ed and the College Board to realize an agenda of their making, not the making of taxpayers and students of this state.	2 3 4 5 6 7	segment on that for some Sixth to Twelfth Graders, I think it would be fairly useful.  DR. BYRNE: Is that a comment?  MR. JONES: Yeah. That was a comment.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: You want that in the K-5 standards or the 6-12?  MR. JONES: No. Give them a chance to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	corporate foundations in the Department, and a list of Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation employees who were appointed to leadership positions in the U.S. Department of Ed and the College Board to realize an agenda of their making, not the making of taxpayers and students of this state.  The "how" of the Common Core Standards	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	segment on that for some Sixth to Twelfth Graders, I think it would be fairly useful.  DR. BYRNE: Is that a comment?  MR. JONES: Yeah. That was a comment.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: You want that in the K-5 standards or the 6-12?  MR. JONES: No. Give them a chance to grow up a little bit before you start making
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	corporate foundations in the Department, and a list of Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation employees who were appointed to leadership positions in the U.S. Department of Ed and the College Board to realize an agenda of their making, not the making of taxpayers and students of this state.  The "how" of the Common Core Standards Initiative undermines the rule of law, which is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	segment on that for some Sixth to Twelfth Graders, I think it would be fairly useful.  DR. BYRNE: Is that a comment?  MR. JONES: Yeah. That was a comment.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: You want that in the K-5 standards or the 6-12?  MR. JONES: No. Give them a chance to grow up a little bit before you start making them do the real heavy lifting.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	corporate foundations in the Department, and a list of Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation employees who were appointed to leadership positions in the U.S. Department of Ed and the College Board to realize an agenda of their making, not the making of taxpayers and students of this state.  The "how" of the Common Core Standards Initiative undermines the rule of law, which is my favorite topic given that I was on the Social	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	segment on that for some Sixth to Twelfth Graders, I think it would be fairly useful.  DR. BYRNE: Is that a comment?  MR. JONES: Yeah. That was a comment.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: You want that in the K-5 standards or the 6-12?  MR. JONES: No. Give them a chance to grow up a little bit before you start making them do the real heavy lifting.  DR. BYRNE: I would like to say to you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	corporate foundations in the Department, and a list of Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation employees who were appointed to leadership positions in the U.S. Department of Ed and the College Board to realize an agenda of their making, not the making of taxpayers and students of this state.  The "how" of the Common Core Standards Initiative undermines the rule of law, which is my favorite topic given that I was on the Social Studies committee. Two cases this corruption	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	segment on that for some Sixth to Twelfth Graders, I think it would be fairly useful.  DR. BYRNE: Is that a comment?  MR. JONES: Yeah. That was a comment.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: You want that in the K-5 standards or the 6-12?  MR. JONES: No. Give them a chance to grow up a little bit before you start making them do the real heavy lifting.  DR. BYRNE: I would like to say to you that the recognition of the diverse population
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	corporate foundations in the Department, and a list of Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation employees who were appointed to leadership positions in the U.S. Department of Ed and the College Board to realize an agenda of their making, not the making of taxpayers and students of this state.  The "how" of the Common Core Standards Initiative undermines the rule of law, which is my favorite topic given that I was on the Social Studies committee. Two cases this corruption or this problem of bypassing the Democratic	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	segment on that for some Sixth to Twelfth Graders, I think it would be fairly useful.  DR. BYRNE: Is that a comment?  MR. JONES: Yeah. That was a comment.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: You want that in the K-5 standards or the 6-12?  MR. JONES: No. Give them a chance to grow up a little bit before you start making them do the real heavy lifting.  DR. BYRNE: I would like to say to you that the recognition of the diverse population that contributed to the founding of this country
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	corporate foundations in the Department, and a list of Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation employees who were appointed to leadership positions in the U.S. Department of Ed and the College Board to realize an agenda of their making, not the making of taxpayers and students of this state.  The "how" of the Common Core Standards Initiative undermines the rule of law, which is my favorite topic given that I was on the Social Studies committee. Two cases this corruption or this problem of bypassing the Democratic process filters from the national level to our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	segment on that for some Sixth to Twelfth Graders, I think it would be fairly useful.  DR. BYRNE: Is that a comment?  MR. JONES: Yeah. That was a comment.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: You want that in the K-5 standards or the 6-12?  MR. JONES: No. Give them a chance to grow up a little bit before you start making them do the real heavy lifting.  DR. BYRNE: I would like to say to you that the recognition of the diverse population that contributed to the founding of this country was built into our 6-12 standards through the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	corporate foundations in the Department, and a list of Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation employees who were appointed to leadership positions in the U.S. Department of Ed and the College Board to realize an agenda of their making, not the making of taxpayers and students of this state.  The "how" of the Common Core Standards Initiative undermines the rule of law, which is my favorite topic given that I was on the Social Studies committee. Two cases this corruption or this problem of bypassing the Democratic process filters from the national level to our state level, and two cases that I have outlined	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	segment on that for some Sixth to Twelfth Graders, I think it would be fairly useful.  DR. BYRNE: Is that a comment?  MR. JONES: Yeah. That was a comment.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: You want that in the K-5 standards or the 6-12?  MR. JONES: No. Give them a chance to grow up a little bit before you start making them do the real heavy lifting.  DR. BYRNE: I would like to say to you that the recognition of the diverse population that contributed to the founding of this country was built into our 6-12 standards through the literature we recommended reading, including our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	corporate foundations in the Department, and a list of Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation employees who were appointed to leadership positions in the U.S. Department of Ed and the College Board to realize an agenda of their making, not the making of taxpayers and students of this state.  The "how" of the Common Core Standards Initiative undermines the rule of law, which is my favorite topic given that I was on the Social Studies committee. Two cases this corruption or this problem of bypassing the Democratic process filters from the national level to our state level, and two cases that I have outlined for you in this list of materials of infractions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	segment on that for some Sixth to Twelfth Graders, I think it would be fairly useful.  DR. BYRNE: Is that a comment?  MR. JONES: Yeah. That was a comment.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: You want that in the K-5 standards or the 6-12?  MR. JONES: No. Give them a chance to grow up a little bit before you start making them do the real heavy lifting.  DR. BYRNE: I would like to say to you that the recognition of the diverse population that contributed to the founding of this country was built into our 6-12 standards through the literature we recommended reading, including our Jewish treasurer who helped fund the American
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	corporate foundations in the Department, and a list of Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation employees who were appointed to leadership positions in the U.S. Department of Ed and the College Board to realize an agenda of their making, not the making of taxpayers and students of this state.  The "how" of the Common Core Standards Initiative undermines the rule of law, which is my favorite topic given that I was on the Social Studies committee. Two cases this corruption or this problem of bypassing the Democratic process filters from the national level to our state level, and two cases that I have outlined for you in this list of materials of infractions against Missouri State Law concern HB1490,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	segment on that for some Sixth to Twelfth Graders, I think it would be fairly useful.  DR. BYRNE: Is that a comment?  MR. JONES: Yeah. That was a comment.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: You want that in the K-5 standards or the 6-12?  MR. JONES: No. Give them a chance to grow up a little bit before you start making them do the real heavy lifting.  DR. BYRNE: I would like to say to you that the recognition of the diverse population that contributed to the founding of this country was built into our 6-12 standards through the literature we recommended reading, including our Jewish treasurer who helped fund the American Revolution, the black surveyor and scientist who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	corporate foundations in the Department, and a list of Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation employees who were appointed to leadership positions in the U.S. Department of Ed and the College Board to realize an agenda of their making, not the making of taxpayers and students of this state.  The "how" of the Common Core Standards Initiative undermines the rule of law, which is my favorite topic given that I was on the Social Studies committee. Two cases this corruption or this problem of bypassing the Democratic process filters from the national level to our state level, and two cases that I have outlined for you in this list of materials of infractions against Missouri State Law concern HB1490, Section 160.526.3 that mandates the Commissioner	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	segment on that for some Sixth to Twelfth Graders, I think it would be fairly useful.  DR. BYRNE: Is that a comment?  MR. JONES: Yeah. That was a comment.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: You want that in the K-5 standards or the 6-12?  MR. JONES: No. Give them a chance to grow up a little bit before you start making them do the real heavy lifting.  DR. BYRNE: I would like to say to you that the recognition of the diverse population that contributed to the founding of this country was built into our 6-12 standards through the literature we recommended reading, including our Jewish treasurer who helped fund the American Revolution, the black surveyor and scientist who laid out the parameters of the Washington Mall
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	corporate foundations in the Department, and a list of Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation employees who were appointed to leadership positions in the U.S. Department of Ed and the College Board to realize an agenda of their making, not the making of taxpayers and students of this state.  The "how" of the Common Core Standards Initiative undermines the rule of law, which is my favorite topic given that I was on the Social Studies committee. Two cases this corruption or this problem of bypassing the Democratic process filters from the national level to our state level, and two cases that I have outlined for you in this list of materials of infractions against Missouri State Law concern HB1490, Section 160.526.3 that mandates the Commissioner of Education to establish a procedure by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	segment on that for some Sixth to Twelfth Graders, I think it would be fairly useful.  DR. BYRNE: Is that a comment?  MR. JONES: Yeah. That was a comment.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: You want that in the K-5 standards or the 6-12?  MR. JONES: No. Give them a chance to grow up a little bit before you start making them do the real heavy lifting.  DR. BYRNE: I would like to say to you that the recognition of the diverse population that contributed to the founding of this country was built into our 6-12 standards through the literature we recommended reading, including our Jewish treasurer who helped fund the American Revolution, the black surveyor and scientist who laid out the parameters of the Washington Mall in Washington D.C., the black poet who a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	corporate foundations in the Department, and a list of Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation employees who were appointed to leadership positions in the U.S. Department of Ed and the College Board to realize an agenda of their making, not the making of taxpayers and students of this state.  The "how" of the Common Core Standards Initiative undermines the rule of law, which is my favorite topic given that I was on the Social Studies committee. Two cases this corruption or this problem of bypassing the Democratic process filters from the national level to our state level, and two cases that I have outlined for you in this list of materials of infractions against Missouri State Law concern HB1490, Section 160.526.3 that mandates the Commissioner of Education to establish a procedure by December 31, 2014, for the State Board to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	segment on that for some Sixth to Twelfth Graders, I think it would be fairly useful.  DR. BYRNE: Is that a comment?  MR. JONES: Yeah. That was a comment.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: You want that in the K-5 standards or the 6-12?  MR. JONES: No. Give them a chance to grow up a little bit before you start making them do the real heavy lifting.  DR. BYRNE: I would like to say to you that the recognition of the diverse population that contributed to the founding of this country was built into our 6-12 standards through the literature we recommended reading, including our Jewish treasurer who helped fund the American Revolution, the black surveyor and scientist who laid out the parameters of the Washington Mall in Washington D.C., the black poet who a female who wrote to George Washington and gave
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	corporate foundations in the Department, and a list of Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation employees who were appointed to leadership positions in the U.S. Department of Ed and the College Board to realize an agenda of their making, not the making of taxpayers and students of this state.  The "how" of the Common Core Standards Initiative undermines the rule of law, which is my favorite topic given that I was on the Social Studies committee. Two cases this corruption or this problem of bypassing the Democratic process filters from the national level to our state level, and two cases that I have outlined for you in this list of materials of infractions against Missouri State Law concern HB1490, Section 160.526.3 that mandates the Commissioner of Education to establish a procedure by December 31, 2014, for the State Board to receive regular input from various stakeholders,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	segment on that for some Sixth to Twelfth Graders, I think it would be fairly useful.  DR. BYRNE: Is that a comment?  MR. JONES: Yeah. That was a comment.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: You want that in the K-5 standards or the 6-12?  MR. JONES: No. Give them a chance to grow up a little bit before you start making them do the real heavy lifting.  DR. BYRNE: I would like to say to you that the recognition of the diverse population that contributed to the founding of this country was built into our 6-12 standards through the literature we recommended reading, including our Jewish treasurer who helped fund the American Revolution, the black surveyor and scientist who laid out the parameters of the Washington Mall in Washington D.C., the black poet who a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	corporate foundations in the Department, and a list of Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation employees who were appointed to leadership positions in the U.S. Department of Ed and the College Board to realize an agenda of their making, not the making of taxpayers and students of this state.  The "how" of the Common Core Standards Initiative undermines the rule of law, which is my favorite topic given that I was on the Social Studies committee. Two cases this corruption or this problem of bypassing the Democratic process filters from the national level to our state level, and two cases that I have outlined for you in this list of materials of infractions against Missouri State Law concern HB1490, Section 160.526.3 that mandates the Commissioner of Education to establish a procedure by December 31, 2014, for the State Board to receive regular input from various stakeholders, including parents and general assembly whenever	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	segment on that for some Sixth to Twelfth Graders, I think it would be fairly useful.  DR. BYRNE: Is that a comment?  MR. JONES: Yeah. That was a comment.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: You want that in the K-5 standards or the 6-12?  MR. JONES: No. Give them a chance to grow up a little bit before you start making them do the real heavy lifting.  DR. BYRNE: I would like to say to you that the recognition of the diverse population that contributed to the founding of this country was built into our 6-12 standards through the literature we recommended reading, including our Jewish treasurer who helped fund the American Revolution, the black surveyor and scientist who laid out the parameters of the Washington Mall in Washington D.C., the black poet who a female who wrote to George Washington and gave him the gift of poetry.  We believe that there has been an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	corporate foundations in the Department, and a list of Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation employees who were appointed to leadership positions in the U.S. Department of Ed and the College Board to realize an agenda of their making, not the making of taxpayers and students of this state.  The "how" of the Common Core Standards Initiative undermines the rule of law, which is my favorite topic given that I was on the Social Studies committee. Two cases this corruption or this problem of bypassing the Democratic process filters from the national level to our state level, and two cases that I have outlined for you in this list of materials of infractions against Missouri State Law concern HB1490, Section 160.526.3 that mandates the Commissioner of Education to establish a procedure by December 31, 2014, for the State Board to receive regular input from various stakeholders, including parents and general assembly whenever the state board develops, evaluates, modifies or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	segment on that for some Sixth to Twelfth Graders, I think it would be fairly useful.  DR. BYRNE: Is that a comment?  MR. JONES: Yeah. That was a comment.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: You want that in the K-5 standards or the 6-12?  MR. JONES: No. Give them a chance to grow up a little bit before you start making them do the real heavy lifting.  DR. BYRNE: I would like to say to you that the recognition of the diverse population that contributed to the founding of this country was built into our 6-12 standards through the literature we recommended reading, including our Jewish treasurer who helped fund the American Revolution, the black surveyor and scientist who laid out the parameters of the Washington Mall in Washington D.C., the black poet who a female who wrote to George Washington and gave him the gift of poetry.  We believe that there has been an omission of the great diversity that established
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	corporate foundations in the Department, and a list of Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation employees who were appointed to leadership positions in the U.S. Department of Ed and the College Board to realize an agenda of their making, not the making of taxpayers and students of this state.  The "how" of the Common Core Standards Initiative undermines the rule of law, which is my favorite topic given that I was on the Social Studies committee. Two cases this corruption or this problem of bypassing the Democratic process filters from the national level to our state level, and two cases that I have outlined for you in this list of materials of infractions against Missouri State Law concern HB1490, Section 160.526.3 that mandates the Commissioner of Education to establish a procedure by December 31, 2014, for the State Board to receive regular input from various stakeholders, including parents and general assembly whenever	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	segment on that for some Sixth to Twelfth Graders, I think it would be fairly useful.  DR. BYRNE: Is that a comment?  MR. JONES: Yeah. That was a comment.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: You want that in the K-5 standards or the 6-12?  MR. JONES: No. Give them a chance to grow up a little bit before you start making them do the real heavy lifting.  DR. BYRNE: I would like to say to you that the recognition of the diverse population that contributed to the founding of this country was built into our 6-12 standards through the literature we recommended reading, including our Jewish treasurer who helped fund the American Revolution, the black surveyor and scientist who laid out the parameters of the Washington Mall in Washington D.C., the black poet who a female who wrote to George Washington and gave him the gift of poetry.  We believe that there has been an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	corporate foundations in the Department, and a list of Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation employees who were appointed to leadership positions in the U.S. Department of Ed and the College Board to realize an agenda of their making, not the making of taxpayers and students of this state.  The "how" of the Common Core Standards Initiative undermines the rule of law, which is my favorite topic given that I was on the Social Studies committee. Two cases this corruption or this problem of bypassing the Democratic process filters from the national level to our state level, and two cases that I have outlined for you in this list of materials of infractions against Missouri State Law concern HB1490, Section 160.526.3 that mandates the Commissioner of Education to establish a procedure by December 31, 2014, for the State Board to receive regular input from various stakeholders, including parents and general assembly whenever the state board develops, evaluates, modifies or revises academic performance standards, learning	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	segment on that for some Sixth to Twelfth Graders, I think it would be fairly useful.  DR. BYRNE: Is that a comment?  MR. JONES: Yeah. That was a comment.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: You want that in the K-5 standards or the 6-12?  MR. JONES: No. Give them a chance to grow up a little bit before you start making them do the real heavy lifting.  DR. BYRNE: I would like to say to you that the recognition of the diverse population that contributed to the founding of this country was built into our 6-12 standards through the literature we recommended reading, including our Jewish treasurer who helped fund the American Revolution, the black surveyor and scientist who laid out the parameters of the Washington Mall in Washington D.C., the black poet who a female who wrote to George Washington and gave him the gift of poetry.  We believe that there has been an omission of the great diversity that established this country, and part of that is because we've

	Page 69		Page 71
1	creativity and expertise of the teachers of this	1	which Governor Nixon is a board member.
2	state, and we intend to remedy that through our	2	Utilizing the NGSS was outside the parameters of
3	new standards.	3	our charge per 1490 that restricted the use of
4	PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Thank you, Dr.	4	standards not available in the public domain.
5	Byrne. Anyone else wishing to testify?	5	Governor Nixon's position on the board
6	MS. BECKER: Good afternoon.	6	of Achieve, the group responsible for creating
7	PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Good afternoon.	7	the NGSS, as well as the CCSS in Math and ELA,
8	MS. BECKER: My name is Toni Becker. I	8	indicates a conflict of interests and introduces
9	was appointed to the secondary Science work	9	bias in the decision making of this state board.
10	group by former Speaker Tim Jones. I cannot	10	That could be interpreted as malfeasance if the
11	lend my name in support of our "New Missouri	11	NGSS are adopted and public domain over
12	Learning (Science) Standards".	12	standards for public education is not restored.
13	DESE conspired to control the outcome	13	Missouri's Constitution defines the aim
14	of the work groups as borne out by emails	14	of education as "a general diffusion of
15	released from a FOIA request. DESE sought	15	knowledge and intelligence. Sadly, developing
16	guidance and financial assistance from publicly	16	knowledge and intelligence has been relegated to
17	funded NGOs committed to the advancement of	17	the back burner as schools struggle harder to
18	Common Core who had had success in other states	18	meet DESE's demands than meeting the educational
19	as to how to control the outcomes of the work	19	needs of our students. Standards aren't the
20	groups. DESE trained facilitators and certain	20	problem in education. DESE is. No standard and
21	work group members to steer the groups toward	21	no amount of "rigor" is going to enable our
22	their pre-determined outcome.	22	students to reach their potential as long as the
23	My work group's first meetings were	23	root of the current decay is allowed to set the
24	hosted by a paid facilitator employing	24	fence line.
25	ridiculously manipulative Delphi tactics.	25	The voices of taxpaying citizens are
	Page 70		Page 72
1	Page 70  Thousands of emails proved that DESE strategized	1	
1 2	•	1 2	Page 72 silenced by this corrupt bureaucracy that relies on our money but maliciously supplants our will.
	Thousands of emails proved that DESE strategized		silenced by this corrupt bureaucracy that relies
2	Thousands of emails proved that DESE strategized with NGOs, legislators, facilitators and work group members before 1490 was even signed into law. The integrity of this work group process	2	silenced by this corrupt bureaucracy that relies on our money but maliciously supplants our will.
2	Thousands of emails proved that DESE strategized with NGOs, legislators, facilitators and work group members before 1490 was even signed into law. The integrity of this work group process was corrupted by the very same bureaucracy that	2 3	silenced by this corrupt bureaucracy that relies on our money but maliciously supplants our will. I'm almost done. I demand a public investigation into our Department of Education for this unfettered usurpation of individual,
2 3 4 5 6	Thousands of emails proved that DESE strategized with NGOs, legislators, facilitators and work group members before 1490 was even signed into law. The integrity of this work group process was corrupted by the very same bureaucracy that helped create this educational chaos we are	2 3 4 5 6	silenced by this corrupt bureaucracy that relies on our money but maliciously supplants our will. I'm almost done. I demand a public investigation into our Department of Education for this unfettered usurpation of individual, parental and state sovereignty over the
2 3 4 5 6 7	Thousands of emails proved that DESE strategized with NGOs, legislators, facilitators and work group members before 1490 was even signed into law. The integrity of this work group process was corrupted by the very same bureaucracy that helped create this educational chaos we are desperate to correct today.	2 3 4 5 6 7	silenced by this corrupt bureaucracy that relies on our money but maliciously supplants our will. I'm almost done. I demand a public investigation into our Department of Education for this unfettered usurpation of individual, parental and state sovereignty over the education of our children, in particular how
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Thousands of emails proved that DESE strategized with NGOs, legislators, facilitators and work group members before 1490 was even signed into law. The integrity of this work group process was corrupted by the very same bureaucracy that helped create this educational chaos we are desperate to correct today.  DESE and others committed our state to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	silenced by this corrupt bureaucracy that relies on our money but maliciously supplants our will. I'm almost done. I demand a public investigation into our Department of Education for this unfettered usurpation of individual, parental and state sovereignty over the education of our children, in particular how they hijacked 1490 in the work group process.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Thousands of emails proved that DESE strategized with NGOs, legislators, facilitators and work group members before 1490 was even signed into law. The integrity of this work group process was corrupted by the very same bureaucracy that helped create this educational chaos we are desperate to correct today.  DESE and others committed our state to the CCSSI. DESE helped steer 1490 from its pure	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	silenced by this corrupt bureaucracy that relies on our money but maliciously supplants our will. I'm almost done. I demand a public investigation into our Department of Education for this unfettered usurpation of individual, parental and state sovereignty over the education of our children, in particular how they hijacked 1490 in the work group process.  I demand an open, honest and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Thousands of emails proved that DESE strategized with NGOs, legislators, facilitators and work group members before 1490 was even signed into law. The integrity of this work group process was corrupted by the very same bureaucracy that helped create this educational chaos we are desperate to correct today.  DESE and others committed our state to the CCSSI. DESE helped steer 1490 from its pure intent as an anti-Common Core bill toward the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	silenced by this corrupt bureaucracy that relies on our money but maliciously supplants our will. I'm almost done. I demand a public investigation into our Department of Education for this unfettered usurpation of individual, parental and state sovereignty over the education of our children, in particular how they hijacked 1490 in the work group process.  I demand an open, honest and transparent process for genuinely bettering
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Thousands of emails proved that DESE strategized with NGOs, legislators, facilitators and work group members before 1490 was even signed into law. The integrity of this work group process was corrupted by the very same bureaucracy that helped create this educational chaos we are desperate to correct today.  DESE and others committed our state to the CCSSI. DESE helped steer 1490 from its pure intent as an anti-Common Core bill toward the work group process, controlled the outcome of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	silenced by this corrupt bureaucracy that relies on our money but maliciously supplants our will. I'm almost done. I demand a public investigation into our Department of Education for this unfettered usurpation of individual, parental and state sovereignty over the education of our children, in particular how they hijacked 1490 in the work group process.  I demand an open, honest and transparent process for genuinely bettering Missouri's educational system that actually
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Thousands of emails proved that DESE strategized with NGOs, legislators, facilitators and work group members before 1490 was even signed into law. The integrity of this work group process was corrupted by the very same bureaucracy that helped create this educational chaos we are desperate to correct today.  DESE and others committed our state to the CCSSI. DESE helped steer 1490 from its pure intent as an anti-Common Core bill toward the work group process, controlled the outcome of the work groups and set themselves up as the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	silenced by this corrupt bureaucracy that relies on our money but maliciously supplants our will. I'm almost done. I demand a public investigation into our Department of Education for this unfettered usurpation of individual, parental and state sovereignty over the education of our children, in particular how they hijacked 1490 in the work group process.  I demand an open, honest and transparent process for genuinely bettering Missouri's educational system that actually produces the high quality education our children
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Thousands of emails proved that DESE strategized with NGOs, legislators, facilitators and work group members before 1490 was even signed into law. The integrity of this work group process was corrupted by the very same bureaucracy that helped create this educational chaos we are desperate to correct today.  DESE and others committed our state to the CCSSI. DESE helped steer 1490 from its pure intent as an anti-Common Core bill toward the work group process, controlled the outcome of the work groups and set themselves up as the final arbiter of the products produced by the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	silenced by this corrupt bureaucracy that relies on our money but maliciously supplants our will. I'm almost done. I demand a public investigation into our Department of Education for this unfettered usurpation of individual, parental and state sovereignty over the education of our children, in particular how they hijacked 1490 in the work group process.  I demand an open, honest and transparent process for genuinely bettering Missouri's educational system that actually produces the high quality education our children deserve.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Thousands of emails proved that DESE strategized with NGOs, legislators, facilitators and work group members before 1490 was even signed into law. The integrity of this work group process was corrupted by the very same bureaucracy that helped create this educational chaos we are desperate to correct today.  DESE and others committed our state to the CCSSI. DESE helped steer 1490 from its pure intent as an anti-Common Core bill toward the work group process, controlled the outcome of the work groups and set themselves up as the final arbiter of the products produced by the work groups. This corrupted process renders the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	silenced by this corrupt bureaucracy that relies on our money but maliciously supplants our will. I'm almost done. I demand a public investigation into our Department of Education for this unfettered usurpation of individual, parental and state sovereignty over the education of our children, in particular how they hijacked 1490 in the work group process.  I demand an open, honest and transparent process for genuinely bettering Missouri's educational system that actually produces the high quality education our children deserve.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Thank you. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Thousands of emails proved that DESE strategized with NGOs, legislators, facilitators and work group members before 1490 was even signed into law. The integrity of this work group process was corrupted by the very same bureaucracy that helped create this educational chaos we are desperate to correct today.  DESE and others committed our state to the CCSSI. DESE helped steer 1490 from its pure intent as an anti-Common Core bill toward the work group process, controlled the outcome of the work groups and set themselves up as the final arbiter of the products produced by the work groups. This corrupted process renders the final products illegitimate as DESE circumvented	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	silenced by this corrupt bureaucracy that relies on our money but maliciously supplants our will. I'm almost done. I demand a public investigation into our Department of Education for this unfettered usurpation of individual, parental and state sovereignty over the education of our children, in particular how they hijacked 1490 in the work group process.  I demand an open, honest and transparent process for genuinely bettering Missouri's educational system that actually produces the high quality education our children deserve.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Thank you. So since Mike started the precedent, any questions
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Thousands of emails proved that DESE strategized with NGOs, legislators, facilitators and work group members before 1490 was even signed into law. The integrity of this work group process was corrupted by the very same bureaucracy that helped create this educational chaos we are desperate to correct today.  DESE and others committed our state to the CCSSI. DESE helped steer 1490 from its pure intent as an anti-Common Core bill toward the work group process, controlled the outcome of the work groups and set themselves up as the final arbiter of the products produced by the work groups. This corrupted process renders the final products illegitimate as DESE circumvented the will of the citizens expressed in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	silenced by this corrupt bureaucracy that relies on our money but maliciously supplants our will. I'm almost done. I demand a public investigation into our Department of Education for this unfettered usurpation of individual, parental and state sovereignty over the education of our children, in particular how they hijacked 1490 in the work group process.  I demand an open, honest and transparent process for genuinely bettering Missouri's educational system that actually produces the high quality education our children deserve.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Thank you. So since Mike started the precedent, any questions of this witness?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Thousands of emails proved that DESE strategized with NGOs, legislators, facilitators and work group members before 1490 was even signed into law. The integrity of this work group process was corrupted by the very same bureaucracy that helped create this educational chaos we are desperate to correct today.  DESE and others committed our state to the CCSSI. DESE helped steer 1490 from its pure intent as an anti-Common Core bill toward the work group process, controlled the outcome of the work groups and set themselves up as the final arbiter of the products produced by the work groups. This corrupted process renders the final products illegitimate as DESE circumvented the will of the citizens expressed in legislation passed by their elected	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	silenced by this corrupt bureaucracy that relies on our money but maliciously supplants our will. I'm almost done. I demand a public investigation into our Department of Education for this unfettered usurpation of individual, parental and state sovereignty over the education of our children, in particular how they hijacked 1490 in the work group process.  I demand an open, honest and transparent process for genuinely bettering Missouri's educational system that actually produces the high quality education our children deserve.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Thank you. So since Mike started the precedent, any questions of this witness?  MR. JONES: I'm good.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Thousands of emails proved that DESE strategized with NGOs, legislators, facilitators and work group members before 1490 was even signed into law. The integrity of this work group process was corrupted by the very same bureaucracy that helped create this educational chaos we are desperate to correct today.  DESE and others committed our state to the CCSSI. DESE helped steer 1490 from its pure intent as an anti-Common Core bill toward the work group process, controlled the outcome of the work groups and set themselves up as the final arbiter of the products produced by the work groups. This corrupted process renders the final products illegitimate as DESE circumvented the will of the citizens expressed in legislation passed by their elected representatives and imposed its own will upon	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	silenced by this corrupt bureaucracy that relies on our money but maliciously supplants our will. I'm almost done. I demand a public investigation into our Department of Education for this unfettered usurpation of individual, parental and state sovereignty over the education of our children, in particular how they hijacked 1490 in the work group process.  I demand an open, honest and transparent process for genuinely bettering Missouri's educational system that actually produces the high quality education our children deserve.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Thank you. So since Mike started the precedent, any questions of this witness?  MR. JONES: I'm good. PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Okay. Thank you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Thousands of emails proved that DESE strategized with NGOs, legislators, facilitators and work group members before 1490 was even signed into law. The integrity of this work group process was corrupted by the very same bureaucracy that helped create this educational chaos we are desperate to correct today.  DESE and others committed our state to the CCSSI. DESE helped steer 1490 from its pure intent as an anti-Common Core bill toward the work group process, controlled the outcome of the work groups and set themselves up as the final arbiter of the products produced by the work groups. This corrupted process renders the final products illegitimate as DESE circumvented the will of the citizens expressed in legislation passed by their elected representatives and imposed its own will upon the process instead.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	silenced by this corrupt bureaucracy that relies on our money but maliciously supplants our will. I'm almost done. I demand a public investigation into our Department of Education for this unfettered usurpation of individual, parental and state sovereignty over the education of our children, in particular how they hijacked 1490 in the work group process.  I demand an open, honest and transparent process for genuinely bettering Missouri's educational system that actually produces the high quality education our children deserve.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Thank you. So since Mike started the precedent, any questions of this witness?  MR. JONES: I'm good.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Okay. Thank you for your testimony.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Thousands of emails proved that DESE strategized with NGOs, legislators, facilitators and work group members before 1490 was even signed into law. The integrity of this work group process was corrupted by the very same bureaucracy that helped create this educational chaos we are desperate to correct today.  DESE and others committed our state to the CCSSI. DESE helped steer 1490 from its pure intent as an anti-Common Core bill toward the work group process, controlled the outcome of the work groups and set themselves up as the final arbiter of the products produced by the work groups. This corrupted process renders the final products illegitimate as DESE circumvented the will of the citizens expressed in legislation passed by their elected representatives and imposed its own will upon the process instead.  As for the NGSS it is clearly the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	silenced by this corrupt bureaucracy that relies on our money but maliciously supplants our will. I'm almost done. I demand a public investigation into our Department of Education for this unfettered usurpation of individual, parental and state sovereignty over the education of our children, in particular how they hijacked 1490 in the work group process.  I demand an open, honest and transparent process for genuinely bettering Missouri's educational system that actually produces the high quality education our children deserve.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Thank you. So since Mike started the precedent, any questions of this witness?  MR. JONES: I'm good.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Okay. Thank you for your testimony.  MS. BECKER: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Thousands of emails proved that DESE strategized with NGOs, legislators, facilitators and work group members before 1490 was even signed into law. The integrity of this work group process was corrupted by the very same bureaucracy that helped create this educational chaos we are desperate to correct today.  DESE and others committed our state to the CCSSI. DESE helped steer 1490 from its pure intent as an anti-Common Core bill toward the work group process, controlled the outcome of the work groups and set themselves up as the final arbiter of the products produced by the work groups. This corrupted process renders the final products illegitimate as DESE circumvented the will of the citizens expressed in legislation passed by their elected representatives and imposed its own will upon the process instead.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	silenced by this corrupt bureaucracy that relies on our money but maliciously supplants our will. I'm almost done. I demand a public investigation into our Department of Education for this unfettered usurpation of individual, parental and state sovereignty over the education of our children, in particular how they hijacked 1490 in the work group process.  I demand an open, honest and transparent process for genuinely bettering Missouri's educational system that actually produces the high quality education our children deserve.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Thank you. So since Mike started the precedent, any questions of this witness?  MR. JONES: I'm good.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Okay. Thank you for your testimony.  MS. BECKER: Thank you.  MS. BECKER: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Thousands of emails proved that DESE strategized with NGOs, legislators, facilitators and work group members before 1490 was even signed into law. The integrity of this work group process was corrupted by the very same bureaucracy that helped create this educational chaos we are desperate to correct today.  DESE and others committed our state to the CCSSI. DESE helped steer 1490 from its pure intent as an anti-Common Core bill toward the work group process, controlled the outcome of the work groups and set themselves up as the final arbiter of the products produced by the work groups. This corrupted process renders the final products illegitimate as DESE circumvented the will of the citizens expressed in legislation passed by their elected representatives and imposed its own will upon the process instead.  As for the NGSS it is clearly the Science component for the Common Core. Math and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	silenced by this corrupt bureaucracy that relies on our money but maliciously supplants our will. I'm almost done. I demand a public investigation into our Department of Education for this unfettered usurpation of individual, parental and state sovereignty over the education of our children, in particular how they hijacked 1490 in the work group process.  I demand an open, honest and transparent process for genuinely bettering Missouri's educational system that actually produces the high quality education our children deserve.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Thank you. So since Mike started the precedent, any questions of this witness?  MR. JONES: I'm good.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Okay. Thank you for your testimony.  MS. BECKER: Thank you.  MS. LONG: Good afternoon.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Good afternoon.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Thousands of emails proved that DESE strategized with NGOs, legislators, facilitators and work group members before 1490 was even signed into law. The integrity of this work group process was corrupted by the very same bureaucracy that helped create this educational chaos we are desperate to correct today.  DESE and others committed our state to the CCSSI. DESE helped steer 1490 from its pure intent as an anti-Common Core bill toward the work group process, controlled the outcome of the work groups and set themselves up as the final arbiter of the products produced by the work groups. This corrupted process renders the final products illegitimate as DESE circumvented the will of the citizens expressed in legislation passed by their elected representatives and imposed its own will upon the process instead.  As for the NGSS it is clearly the Science component for the Common Core. Math and ELA are privately copyrighted to the NGA and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	silenced by this corrupt bureaucracy that relies on our money but maliciously supplants our will. I'm almost done. I demand a public investigation into our Department of Education for this unfettered usurpation of individual, parental and state sovereignty over the education of our children, in particular how they hijacked 1490 in the work group process.  I demand an open, honest and transparent process for genuinely bettering Missouri's educational system that actually produces the high quality education our children deserve.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Thank you. So since Mike started the precedent, any questions of this witness?  MR. JONES: I'm good.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Okay. Thank you for your testimony.  MS. BECKER: Thank you.  MS. BECKER: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Thousands of emails proved that DESE strategized with NGOs, legislators, facilitators and work group members before 1490 was even signed into law. The integrity of this work group process was corrupted by the very same bureaucracy that helped create this educational chaos we are desperate to correct today.  DESE and others committed our state to the CCSSI. DESE helped steer 1490 from its pure intent as an anti-Common Core bill toward the work group process, controlled the outcome of the work groups and set themselves up as the final arbiter of the products produced by the work groups. This corrupted process renders the final products illegitimate as DESE circumvented the will of the citizens expressed in legislation passed by their elected representatives and imposed its own will upon the process instead.  As for the NGSS it is clearly the Science component for the Common Core. Math and ELA are privately copyrighted to the NGA and the CCSSO of which Governor Nixon and Commissioner	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	silenced by this corrupt bureaucracy that relies on our money but maliciously supplants our will. I'm almost done. I demand a public investigation into our Department of Education for this unfettered usurpation of individual, parental and state sovereignty over the education of our children, in particular how they hijacked 1490 in the work group process.  I demand an open, honest and transparent process for genuinely bettering Missouri's educational system that actually produces the high quality education our children deserve.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Thank you. So since Mike started the precedent, any questions of this witness?  MR. JONES: I'm good. PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Okay. Thank you for your testimony.  MS. BECKER: Thank you.  MS. LONG: Good afternoon. PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Good afternoon. MS. LONG: It's lengthy so I'm just

6

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## Page 73

My name is Tonya Long. I was a member of the K-5 History & Government work group as part of HB1490. I was appointed by then Speaker of the House, Tim Jones. I am a parent of three children in Missouri public schools.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I am here today to express my thoughts surrounding the work groups and education in Missouri. I believe in the republic our founders gave us, and I speak today as one fighting for liberty for my posterity.

I agreed to work on the History and Government work group because I scarcely saw anything that resembled our founding republic in the actual standards our state had been teaching for decades in public education. Having the chance to give input into new standards for History and Government excited me. I thoroughly enjoyed meeting and working with members of my work group. Some of them have become my friends. Their dedication to the students that they work with and they seek to educate is wonderful, and I am grateful to them for that.

However, I speak today as a citizen of Missouri who is fed up with the status quo in education. Federal intrusion in education is

1 improved our education over the years. But then

2 I also don't believe the purpose of education is

3 to create college and career ready individuals,

or human capital as many people like to refer to

our students today. I'm an old school girl who

believes education is for the betterment of the

7 individual and through that the individual

8 positively impacts the society they live in.

Our own Missouri Constitution says the purpose
 of education is for, "A general diffusion of

knowledge and intelligence being essential to the preservation of the rights and liberties of

the people". I believe in that.

I will not lend my name or my support to the work presented to you through the process of this past year. From Day One it felt like something was wrong, but I couldn't put a finger on what it was. I knew having a paid facilitator wasn't part of the bill language, nor was her instruction as to our purpose of our group. Our work facilitator -- our facilitator made it clear we were simply reviewing the current grade level expectations tied to the current Social Studies standards, and if we saw a needed change, to make that recommendation.

Page 74

Page 76

Page 75

- status quo. HB1490 was a bill to eradicate
- 2 Common Core State Standards. That is no secret.
  - Common Core State Standards were not written by
- 4 Missouri teachers or anyone in Missouri but
- 5 rather private NGO's as part of a federal push
- to create national standards for every state.
  - Our governor and Department of Education signed
  - onto these standards through the Common Core
  - State Standards Initiative in exchange for
    - federal dollars without input from those most closely involved, namely the citizens of the

State.

As I recall from our country's founding, the main job of an elected employee is to protect the liberty of the people, not sell it in exchange for money. The idea of convening work groups for HB1490 was taken from our own previous statutes where Missouri educators would write our standards. It expanded on that by including parents for which I was grateful. The goal was to write Missouri's standards, not use privately owned and copyrighted standards.

I must confess I am personally opposed to standards dictating education. I have not seen studies that show that it's actually

When I questioned that by expressing the bill does in fact speak of developing, I was corrected.

With respect to my work group, I ask myself how do we teach Missouri students the principles of this great republic when our state standards we have to work with use the term constitutional democracy? How does the term liberty never find its way onto the pages of any GLE's within the document? Or how does ever using the term "authoritative decisions" when writing expectations on the process of governmental system in decision making within our republic remain in this new document? I'm almost done. Perhaps the Governor and the Department of Education used "authoritative decision making" when they sold our education to the feds. Unfortunately for them, that isn't how things work in this country.

Fast forward to the final stages of the work groups and the release of emails between DESE and members of the ELA 6-12 work group, as well as legislators and NGOs that were obtained through the Freedom of Information Act. I don't personally have those with me today. I forgot

4

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 77

- 1 them in getting my kids ready for school, but if
- 2 you'd like those, I can give you the link to
- 3 where you can find them. Those emails reveal
- 4 DESE's attempts to control the process of a bill
- 5 that was developed on the state level by seeking
  - help from out of state federally funded agencies
- to aid them in controlling the work group

6

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

begin.

- 8 process. Corruption is evil. What this state's
- 9 Department of Education did through this process
- 10 was deceptive and corrupt. I cannot and will
- 11 not lend my name to anything produced from these
- 12 groups. To do so would go against my
- 13 conscience. What the Department of Education 14 did was wrong, and to think that so many people,
- 15 including those I worked alongside with, spent 16
- countless hours for Missouri students to then 17 find out about this deceit and corruption is why 18 I am here today.

Our time was wasted if we all walk away today leaving the status quo. I know what it means to live in this republic, and as an employer to the general assembly, as well as any agencies created to aid the general assembly, I

am here to tell you your job is on the line.

You aren't doing the job you were hired to do,

1 best they could do within the structure

provided. We want to acknowledge that there are

Page 79

Page 80

- 3 certainly areas to celebrate with the proposed
  - standards. For example, the call for our
- 5 students to read and think across a variety of
- 6 genres, thoughtful essential questions in the
- 7 elementary Social Studies, the crosscutting
- 8 concepts in Science at the secondary level,
- 9 increased focus on engineering, as well as an 10 emphasis on historical thinking with appropriate

resource suggestions at the secondary level.

We appreciate the opportunity that some of the work teams provided for teachers to give feedback during the past year. However, we still have some concerns. We will focus on three overarching concerns. First, the proposal includes more standards and substandards for some of the content areas and grade levels. Federal mandates require testing for breadth and depth of standards. Additional standards cause a risk of additional testing for our already over tested students. Though there are more standards, some of the most high leverage standards we currently have are omitted from

this proposal, which leads us to our second

Page 78

and if you don't make the necessary changes you can be replaced. I urge you to reject the work presented out of this corruption, and I also urge you to address the general assembly with this information and ask for a new process to

PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Questions of Ms. Long? Seeing none, thank you for your testimony.

MS. MEREDITH: Good afternoon, President Shields, Vice President Lenz and Members of the Missouri State Board of Education. Thank you for your service to the youth of Missouri.

I am Dr. Lisa Meredith, assistant superintendent for teaching, learning and accountability in the Parkway School District. Joining with me is, Liz Morrison, one of our curriculum coordinators. We appreciate the opportunity to speak this afternoon.

First, we would like to thank the committee members who spent numerous hours crafting the proposed standards. We recognize the commitment and dedication that went into this work. We truly believe they have done the

concern.

Our second concern is while there are bright spots, overall many of the standards are less rigorous. In order to prepare students for the future, high level rigor as well as application beyond the school is needed. We want our Missouri students to be competitive in the global workforce and to be prepared to take on the challenges of an ever changing world. There is a lack of scaffolding within the standards which results in a lack of increased complexibility that is built throughout the students years in school, which leads to our final concern.

The proposed standards have lack of vertical articulation as well as cross-content articulation. Based on review of the documents it does not appear that all work teams had the time to collaborate vertically, and we don't think any collaborated horizontally. For example, the K-5 standards do not appear to be aligned to the 6-12 standards. In addition, the documents are designed in different formats across the content areas making it challenging for our teachers, especially elementary teachers

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

# who teach multiple content areas, to use the

Page 81

documents to plan effectively for student learning. Another example of lack of alignment is in Social Studies.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Every time standards are reviewed there are varied opinions. We know you are challenged to make a critical decision for the students of Missouri. Thanks to our committee members we have a great start to new standards but may need a little more time for alignment and defined focus. In the coming months we ask you to critically consider the feedback that you will receive during the review process. Please ensure that Missouri learning standards are rigorous and designed to guarantee that our students are prepared to be active members of a democratic citizenry while also prepared to collaborate and engage in a global community. Thank you.

PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Any questions? Yeah. Russ?

MR. STILL: Can you -- what -- do you think some of our standards -- the proposed standards are less rigorous than the Missouri standards that we had, and what would be an were observing the new standards is that standard now goes at all grade levels, and we're not seeing that progression of where it gets

Page 83

4 more challenging for the kids. And so we're 5 missing that part where a standard clearly

showed how kids should grow over grade levels.

DR. MEREDITH: There are some Social Studies standards where if you read them grade level to grade level, they're almost identical. And particularly, with some of the elementary American History standards, and then you look at high school American History standards, it's very hard to delineate what the difference is in rigor. So I worry about the risk of repeated learning as opposed to accelerated learning.

MR. STILL: Okay. And then your other point is coordinate the whole -- all these parts together more?

DR. MEREDITH: Yes.

MR. STILL: Well, that seems like a good idea to me. I think Maynard was bringing it up before, but unfortunately we have some time -- but you don't object to the idea of standards so we could kind of see how Missouri students are doing compared to other states?

Page 82

example of that?

DR. MEREDITH: So, for example, in our current standards in ELA it's very clear that all students need to be progressing to grade level. One of the things that we've had in previous standards is this tug and pull between teaching the child where they are but not necessarily achieving grade level. So we've lost that clarity that they need to be on grade level, and we need to work with the student to raise them to that grade level. When you don't have that level of rigor outlined there can be, not always, but can be an unintentional lowering of standards and expectations.

Liz, do you have an example from Social Studies or Science?

MS. MORRISON: I was going to use another one from ELA. In Kindergarten right now we have --

MR. STILL: ELA is English Language Arts?

22 MS. MORRISON: Yes. I'm sorry. 23 English Language Arts. So there's text to text 24 and text to student, and that really was a 25 Kindergarten standard. And what we saw when we Page 84

You know, compared to New York and --DR. MEREDITH: We absolutely support the idea of common standards so that we can compare ourselves. And more importantly to me than compare, we can collaborate with the wonderful educators across our state because we're working towards the same standard with the idea of maintaining local control to design the actual learning experiences in the curriculum for each individual district, but standards that are common so that we can collaborate. If we can rely on each other across the State to build our expertise, that's how we will become top 10 by '20.

PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Any further questions? Mr. Jones? MR. JONES: Yes. Just kind of two, but you can. I think, roll it into one. I, like Russ, am very interested in the horizontal integration of all this subject matter, and that was the point of my question about Dickens and Social Studies.

MR. STILL: Okay. Thank you.

DR. MEREDITH: Absolutely. MR. JONES: What I am also curious

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 85 1 about is what -- when you're referring to the 2 lack of rigor or the lack of focus on grade 3 level, because we've spent a lot of time over 4 the last couple of years and in our current ARP 5 model about growth, and my issue on growth is I 6 think people ought to be given credit for 7 progress but progress is not the destination. 8 So I'm happy to be kind of -- I'm very glad that 9 you picked up on that, because I've said even if 10 you're running fast, that's great, but if you're 11 still too slow, you're still too slow. So I 12 think that's something we need to stay focused 13 on. Thank you. 14 DR. MEREDITH: I agree. I think 15 progress and growth is critical, but it has to 16 be an accelerated rate to get everybody to meet 17 or exceed the expected standards. 18 MR. JONES: All right. 19 PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Okay. Thank you

DR. MEREDITH: Thank you.

I'm a parent. I've had kids in the Francis

PRESIDENT SHIELD: Next? Good

MR. HARVEY: My name is Van Harvey.

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for your testimony.

afternoon.

That's good. That's the way it's supposed to work out. And we did a really good job. I'm proud of what we put together. And I think the State of Missouri will benefit from it. That's pretty much all that comes off the top of the head.

Page 87

Page 88

PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Harvey. Any questions? Seeing none, thank you.

MR. HARVEY: Thanks.
MR. MILLER: Bob Miller, St. Louis.
PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Good afternoon.
MR. MILLER: I'm very impressed by the
speakers that are on these groups here from
Social Studies and Social Science and Math and

Science talking about all this collaboration and whatever. I think collaboration, at the end of the day, I'm hoping is still being considered

the Common Core. I was here to mention for a
 few moments the pros of Common Core as I see

them. Internationally benchmarked. I like to be able to compare this country to other

countries. I like to be able to compare not only districts within the State of Missouri bu

only districts within the State of Missouri but districts in other states. I can't do that

districts in other states. I can't do that

Page 86

Howell School District, at least one at a time, for the last 20 years, and I served on the History 6-12 work group. Fortunately for you, I had not come prepared to make a statement so this will be fairly brief.

The idea, if we're going to have state standards for curriculum, and obviously we're going to, it's a matter of self-governance, and from that it should come from the people who are representative of the State; parents, teachers, everyone who has more than a financial interest in what is being taught to people. I think part of the problem with the idea of Common Core Standards, or anyone's standards, whatever the group is, is, 1, it kind of assumes that the people of the State are not able to develop their own standards that are good quality material for their children to learn and become better people and citizens from.

Our group was very productive. I'm proud of what we did. Our chair, Brian Schultz, deserves a lot of that credit. The entire group too. We all made a special effort to hear everyone out. We definitely had opposing views. I definitely lost a number of the issues.

without common exams.

We talk about collaboration. Well, statewide collaboration. Great. But I like maybe across the country collaboration. And I don't think they're going -- you know, to me Common Core includes ELA and Math. There's going to be some infringement, I guess, or maybe some restrictions, I'm not aware of it, on the Social Studies or -- but, you know, to me Math and ELA, English. In other countries, their languages, they're the same across the country. Why, why, worry about ELA. You know, I presume most of us teach in English here. Or that's what I'm thinking. But anyway, you know, and the Common Core Standards, internationally benchmarked. Now we'll be able to score -we'll be able to compare with the international standards which are every two years, but the way it is now we can't compare with the international standards.

This means that our standards will be favorably -- compared favorably to other countries and state to state. The Common Core will allow states to compare standardized test scores accurately. Well, there's 10 of these.

	Page 89		Page 91
1	If you want to look them up, there's all kinds	1	Studies content, and this could run throughout
2	of just do your Google search here. I've got	2	the curriculum, we have never resolved the
3	to hurry up. I'm always going to be running	3	argument between Mr. Jefferson and Mr. Hamilton,
4	over here. I know that. That's my spirit.	4	and they both cannot be right. Okay?
5	Anyway I wanted to mention something	5	Fundamentally thisexercise about the Common
6	here. I think I heard something earlier about	6	Core is goes fundamentally to the argument at
7	the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, 40	7	the founding of the country. And, you know, as
8	billion dollars over the last 15 years. This is	8	a matter of fact, I used to tell people that
9	some Bill and Melinda Gates pillow talk, but	9	Ronald Reagan wasn't a conservative Republican,
10	it's not that confidential. It's in the New	10	and Lyndon Johnson wasn't a liberal Democrat.
11	York Times. But anyway they acknowledged	11	That Reagan was a Jeffersonian Democrat and
12	over the 15 years they acknowledged the	12	Lyndon Johnson was a Hamiltonian Republican
13	Foundation's investments in education here in	13	because both of their views on how they viewed
14	the United States haven't paid off as well as	14	government interacting in society.
15	they would like.	15	So it would seem to me that this
16	Bill acknowledged there's no dramatic	16	exercise that we're going through is fundamental
17	change over 15 years, but they insist they're	17	to that debate. And since we never resolved it
18	not giving up. The Foundation is now going to	18	200 plus years ago, I don't even know if it's
19	further expand beyond K-12 to also invest	19	possible to resolve it in the 21st Century, but
20	nationwide in early childhood programs. Amen.	20	that is really at the heart of this argument.
21	Amen. I hope my Commissioner over there is	21	So to all the Social Studies teachers and at
22	behind this, and I know she is 15,000 percent.	22	the district level or whatever, when you start
23	He says, I'm a believer. I'm a believer that	23	thinking about the curriculum and we want to
24	helping children aged 0 to 5 I guess that	24	make it relevant to a discussion about it's not
25	means from birth or from the womb 0 to 5 when	25	about 200 years ago. It's about why we cannot
	Page 90		Page 92
1	Page 90 the brain is developing rapidly is crucial for	1	Page 92 resolve some of these rather intractable public
2	the brain is developing rapidly is crucial for the most at-risk children.	2	resolve some of these rather intractable public policy questions that we're confronted with.
2	the brain is developing rapidly is crucial for the most at-risk children.  So he's just kind of he likes to	2	resolve some of these rather intractable public policy questions that we're confronted with.  And when we make a decision somebody is going to
2 3 4	the brain is developing rapidly is crucial for the most at-risk children.  So he's just kind of he likes to you know, and I appreciate all of his 35 billion	2 3 4	resolve some of these rather intractable public policy questions that we're confronted with.  And when we make a decision somebody is going to be upset and fundamentally thinks it's an
2 3 4 5	the brain is developing rapidly is crucial for the most at-risk children.  So he's just kind of he likes to you know, and I appreciate all of his 35 billion dollars that he's donated to education even	2 3 4 5	resolve some of these rather intractable public policy questions that we're confronted with.  And when we make a decision somebody is going to be upset and fundamentally thinks it's an illegitimate decision because the premises on
2 3 4 5 6	the brain is developing rapidly is crucial for the most at-risk children.  So he's just kind of he likes to you know, and I appreciate all of his 35 billion dollars that he's donated to education even though I hated billionaires because I I	2 3 4 5 6	resolve some of these rather intractable public policy questions that we're confronted with.  And when we make a decision somebody is going to be upset and fundamentally thinks it's an illegitimate decision because the premises on which we're deciding are basically two
2 3 4 5 6 7	the brain is developing rapidly is crucial for the most at-risk children.  So he's just kind of he likes to you know, and I appreciate all of his 35 billion dollars that he's donated to education even though I hated billionaires because I I should even say the same thing for millionaires.	2 3 4 5 6 7	resolve some of these rather intractable public policy questions that we're confronted with.  And when we make a decision somebody is going to be upset and fundamentally thinks it's an illegitimate decision because the premises on which we're deciding are basically two irreconcilable points of view about the role of
2 3 4 5 6	the brain is developing rapidly is crucial for the most at-risk children.  So he's just kind of he likes to you know, and I appreciate all of his 35 billion dollars that he's donated to education even though I hated billionaires because I I should even say the same thing for millionaires. I'm not a millionaire either obviously.	2 3 4 5 6	resolve some of these rather intractable public policy questions that we're confronted with.  And when we make a decision somebody is going to be upset and fundamentally thinks it's an illegitimate decision because the premises on which we're deciding are basically two irreconcilable points of view about the role of government in a democratic society. So thanks,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the brain is developing rapidly is crucial for the most at-risk children.  So he's just kind of he likes to you know, and I appreciate all of his 35 billion dollars that he's donated to education even though I hated billionaires because I I should even say the same thing for millionaires. I'm not a millionaire either obviously.  Thank you very much.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	resolve some of these rather intractable public policy questions that we're confronted with.  And when we make a decision somebody is going to be upset and fundamentally thinks it's an illegitimate decision because the premises on which we're deciding are basically two irreconcilable points of view about the role of government in a democratic society. So thanks, Bob.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the brain is developing rapidly is crucial for the most at-risk children.  So he's just kind of he likes to you know, and I appreciate all of his 35 billion dollars that he's donated to education even though I hated billionaires because I I should even say the same thing for millionaires. I'm not a millionaire either obviously.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	resolve some of these rather intractable public policy questions that we're confronted with.  And when we make a decision somebody is going to be upset and fundamentally thinks it's an illegitimate decision because the premises on which we're deciding are basically two irreconcilable points of view about the role of government in a democratic society. So thanks,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the brain is developing rapidly is crucial for the most at-risk children.  So he's just kind of he likes to you know, and I appreciate all of his 35 billion dollars that he's donated to education even though I hated billionaires because I I should even say the same thing for millionaires. I'm not a millionaire either obviously.  Thank you very much.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Hold on just a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	resolve some of these rather intractable public policy questions that we're confronted with.  And when we make a decision somebody is going to be upset and fundamentally thinks it's an illegitimate decision because the premises on which we're deciding are basically two irreconcilable points of view about the role of government in a democratic society. So thanks, Bob.  MR. MILLER: Yeah. Could I respond
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the brain is developing rapidly is crucial for the most at-risk children.  So he's just kind of he likes to you know, and I appreciate all of his 35 billion dollars that he's donated to education even though I hated billionaires because I I should even say the same thing for millionaires. I'm not a millionaire either obviously.  Thank you very much.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Hold on just a second. Let's make sure we don't have any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	resolve some of these rather intractable public policy questions that we're confronted with.  And when we make a decision somebody is going to be upset and fundamentally thinks it's an illegitimate decision because the premises on which we're deciding are basically two irreconcilable points of view about the role of government in a democratic society. So thanks, Bob.  MR. MILLER: Yeah. Could I respond real quick?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the brain is developing rapidly is crucial for the most at-risk children.  So he's just kind of he likes to you know, and I appreciate all of his 35 billion dollars that he's donated to education even though I hated billionaires because I I should even say the same thing for millionaires. I'm not a millionaire either obviously.  Thank you very much.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Hold on just a second. Let's make sure we don't have any questions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	resolve some of these rather intractable public policy questions that we're confronted with.  And when we make a decision somebody is going to be upset and fundamentally thinks it's an illegitimate decision because the premises on which we're deciding are basically two irreconcilable points of view about the role of government in a democratic society. So thanks, Bob.  MR. MILLER: Yeah. Could I respond real quick?  MR. JONES: You can.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the brain is developing rapidly is crucial for the most at-risk children.  So he's just kind of he likes to you know, and I appreciate all of his 35 billion dollars that he's donated to education even though I hated billionaires because I I should even say the same thing for millionaires. I'm not a millionaire either obviously.  Thank you very much.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Hold on just a second. Let's make sure we don't have any questions.  MR. MILLER: I'm sure there's going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	resolve some of these rather intractable public policy questions that we're confronted with.  And when we make a decision somebody is going to be upset and fundamentally thinks it's an illegitimate decision because the premises on which we're deciding are basically two irreconcilable points of view about the role of government in a democratic society. So thanks, Bob.  MR. MILLER: Yeah. Could I respond real quick?  MR. JONES: You can.  MR. MILLER: I like the Social Studies.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the brain is developing rapidly is crucial for the most at-risk children.  So he's just kind of he likes to you know, and I appreciate all of his 35 billion dollars that he's donated to education even though I hated billionaires because I I should even say the same thing for millionaires. I'm not a millionaire either obviously.  Thank you very much.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Hold on just a second. Let's make sure we don't have any questions.  MR. MILLER: I'm sure there's going to be a lot of questions. Dr. Jones?  MR. JONES: Well, no. I didn't get that far in school. I wasn't that disciplined a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	resolve some of these rather intractable public policy questions that we're confronted with.  And when we make a decision somebody is going to be upset and fundamentally thinks it's an illegitimate decision because the premises on which we're deciding are basically two irreconcilable points of view about the role of government in a democratic society. So thanks, Bob.  MR. MILLER: Yeah. Could I respond real quick?  MR. JONES: You can.  MR. MILLER: I like the Social Studies.  I'm all for that. But my understanding is Common Core restricted to ELA and Math. And if these gentlemen and I love Economics. I love
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the brain is developing rapidly is crucial for the most at-risk children.  So he's just kind of he likes to you know, and I appreciate all of his 35 billion dollars that he's donated to education even though I hated billionaires because I I should even say the same thing for millionaires. I'm not a millionaire either obviously.  Thank you very much.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Hold on just a second. Let's make sure we don't have any questions.  MR. MILLER: I'm sure there's going to be a lot of questions. Dr. Jones?  MR. JONES: Well, no. I didn't get that far in school. I wasn't that disciplined a student. Thanks for your comments because I too	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	resolve some of these rather intractable public policy questions that we're confronted with.  And when we make a decision somebody is going to be upset and fundamentally thinks it's an illegitimate decision because the premises on which we're deciding are basically two irreconcilable points of view about the role of government in a democratic society. So thanks, Bob.  MR. MILLER: Yeah. Could I respond real quick?  MR. JONES: You can.  MR. MILLER: I like the Social Studies.  I'm all for that. But my understanding is  Common Core restricted to ELA and Math. And if these gentlemen and I love Economics. I love Social Studies. I love dance and sports and all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the brain is developing rapidly is crucial for the most at-risk children.  So he's just kind of he likes to you know, and I appreciate all of his 35 billion dollars that he's donated to education even though I hated billionaires because I I should even say the same thing for millionaires. I'm not a millionaire either obviously.  Thank you very much.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Hold on just a second. Let's make sure we don't have any questions.  MR. MILLER: I'm sure there's going to be a lot of questions. Dr. Jones?  MR. JONES: Well, no. I didn't get that far in school. I wasn't that disciplined a student. Thanks for your comments because I too am interested in how we compare around the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	resolve some of these rather intractable public policy questions that we're confronted with.  And when we make a decision somebody is going to be upset and fundamentally thinks it's an illegitimate decision because the premises on which we're deciding are basically two irreconcilable points of view about the role of government in a democratic society. So thanks, Bob.  MR. MILLER: Yeah. Could I respond real quick?  MR. JONES: You can.  MR. MILLER: I like the Social Studies.  I'm all for that. But my understanding is  Common Core restricted to ELA and Math. And if these gentlemen and I love Economics. I love Social Studies. I love dance and sports and all of this. I don't think Common Core is going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the brain is developing rapidly is crucial for the most at-risk children.  So he's just kind of he likes to you know, and I appreciate all of his 35 billion dollars that he's donated to education even though I hated billionaires because I I should even say the same thing for millionaires. I'm not a millionaire either obviously.  Thank you very much.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Hold on just a second. Let's make sure we don't have any questions.  MR. MILLER: I'm sure there's going to be a lot of questions. Dr. Jones?  MR. JONES: Well, no. I didn't get that far in school. I wasn't that disciplined a student. Thanks for your comments because I too am interested in how we compare around the world. But your comments juxtaposed to some of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	resolve some of these rather intractable public policy questions that we're confronted with.  And when we make a decision somebody is going to be upset and fundamentally thinks it's an illegitimate decision because the premises on which we're deciding are basically two irreconcilable points of view about the role of government in a democratic society. So thanks, Bob.  MR. MILLER: Yeah. Could I respond real quick?  MR. JONES: You can.  MR. MILLER: I like the Social Studies.  I'm all for that. But my understanding is  Common Core restricted to ELA and Math. And if these gentlemen and I love Economics. I love Social Studies. I love dance and sports and all of this. I don't think Common Core is going to interfere. If you want to teach democracy or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the brain is developing rapidly is crucial for the most at-risk children.  So he's just kind of he likes to you know, and I appreciate all of his 35 billion dollars that he's donated to education even though I hated billionaires because I I should even say the same thing for millionaires. I'm not a millionaire either obviously.  Thank you very much.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Hold on just a second. Let's make sure we don't have any questions.  MR. MILLER: I'm sure there's going to be a lot of questions. Dr. Jones?  MR. JONES: Well, no. I didn't get that far in school. I wasn't that disciplined a student. Thanks for your comments because I too am interested in how we compare around the world. But your comments juxtaposed to some of the other comments struck me. I was my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	resolve some of these rather intractable public policy questions that we're confronted with.  And when we make a decision somebody is going to be upset and fundamentally thinks it's an illegitimate decision because the premises on which we're deciding are basically two irreconcilable points of view about the role of government in a democratic society. So thanks, Bob.  MR. MILLER: Yeah. Could I respond real quick?  MR. JONES: You can.  MR. MILLER: I like the Social Studies.  I'm all for that. But my understanding is Common Core restricted to ELA and Math. And if these gentlemen and I love Economics. I love Social Studies. I love dance and sports and all of this. I don't think Common Core is going to interfere. If you want to teach democracy or socialism, well, that's why we have tenure;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the brain is developing rapidly is crucial for the most at-risk children.  So he's just kind of he likes to you know, and I appreciate all of his 35 billion dollars that he's donated to education even though I hated billionaires because I I should even say the same thing for millionaires. I'm not a millionaire either obviously.  Thank you very much.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Hold on just a second. Let's make sure we don't have any questions.  MR. MILLER: I'm sure there's going to be a lot of questions. Dr. Jones?  MR. JONES: Well, no. I didn't get that far in school. I wasn't that disciplined a student. Thanks for your comments because I too am interested in how we compare around the world. But your comments juxtaposed to some of the other comments struck me. I was my ambition in life, I never succeeded, but I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	resolve some of these rather intractable public policy questions that we're confronted with.  And when we make a decision somebody is going to be upset and fundamentally thinks it's an illegitimate decision because the premises on which we're deciding are basically two irreconcilable points of view about the role of government in a democratic society. So thanks, Bob.  MR. MILLER: Yeah. Could I respond real quick?  MR. JONES: You can.  MR. MILLER: I like the Social Studies.  I'm all for that. But my understanding is Common Core restricted to ELA and Math. And if these gentlemen and I love Economics. I love Social Studies. I love dance and sports and all of this. I don't think Common Core is going to interfere. If you want to teach democracy or socialism, well, that's why we have tenure; academic freedom. We talk. We'll keep up
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the brain is developing rapidly is crucial for the most at-risk children.  So he's just kind of he likes to you know, and I appreciate all of his 35 billion dollars that he's donated to education even though I hated billionaires because I I should even say the same thing for millionaires. I'm not a millionaire either obviously.  Thank you very much.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Hold on just a second. Let's make sure we don't have any questions.  MR. MILLER: I'm sure there's going to be a lot of questions. Dr. Jones?  MR. JONES: Well, no. I didn't get that far in school. I wasn't that disciplined a student. Thanks for your comments because I too am interested in how we compare around the world. But your comments juxtaposed to some of the other comments struck me. I was my ambition in life, I never succeeded, but I wanted to be a high school history teacher and a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	resolve some of these rather intractable public policy questions that we're confronted with.  And when we make a decision somebody is going to be upset and fundamentally thinks it's an illegitimate decision because the premises on which we're deciding are basically two irreconcilable points of view about the role of government in a democratic society. So thanks, Bob.  MR. MILLER: Yeah. Could I respond real quick?  MR. JONES: You can.  MR. MILLER: I like the Social Studies.  I'm all for that. But my understanding is Common Core restricted to ELA and Math. And if these gentlemen and I love Economics. I love Social Studies. I love dance and sports and all of this. I don't think Common Core is going to interfere. If you want to teach democracy or socialism, well, that's why we have tenure; academic freedom. We talk. We'll keep up talking socialism if you like. And I'll be in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the brain is developing rapidly is crucial for the most at-risk children.  So he's just kind of he likes to you know, and I appreciate all of his 35 billion dollars that he's donated to education even though I hated billionaires because I I should even say the same thing for millionaires. I'm not a millionaire either obviously.  Thank you very much.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Hold on just a second. Let's make sure we don't have any questions.  MR. MILLER: I'm sure there's going to be a lot of questions. Dr. Jones?  MR. JONES: Well, no. I didn't get that far in school. I wasn't that disciplined a student. Thanks for your comments because I too am interested in how we compare around the world. But your comments juxtaposed to some of the other comments struck me. I was my ambition in life, I never succeeded, but I wanted to be a high school history teacher and a basketball coach, and I didn't get a chance to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	resolve some of these rather intractable public policy questions that we're confronted with.  And when we make a decision somebody is going to be upset and fundamentally thinks it's an illegitimate decision because the premises on which we're deciding are basically two irreconcilable points of view about the role of government in a democratic society. So thanks, Bob.  MR. MILLER: Yeah. Could I respond real quick?  MR. JONES: You can.  MR. MILLER: I like the Social Studies.  I'm all for that. But my understanding is Common Core restricted to ELA and Math. And if these gentlemen and I love Economics. I love Social Studies. I love dance and sports and all of this. I don't think Common Core is going to interfere. If you want to teach democracy or socialism, well, that's why we have tenure; academic freedom. We talk. We'll keep up talking socialism if you like. And I'll be in Havana, Cuba this coming Tuesday, and I'll be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the brain is developing rapidly is crucial for the most at-risk children.  So he's just kind of he likes to you know, and I appreciate all of his 35 billion dollars that he's donated to education even though I hated billionaires because I I should even say the same thing for millionaires. I'm not a millionaire either obviously.  Thank you very much.  PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Hold on just a second. Let's make sure we don't have any questions.  MR. MILLER: I'm sure there's going to be a lot of questions. Dr. Jones?  MR. JONES: Well, no. I didn't get that far in school. I wasn't that disciplined a student. Thanks for your comments because I too am interested in how we compare around the world. But your comments juxtaposed to some of the other comments struck me. I was my ambition in life, I never succeeded, but I wanted to be a high school history teacher and a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	resolve some of these rather intractable public policy questions that we're confronted with.  And when we make a decision somebody is going to be upset and fundamentally thinks it's an illegitimate decision because the premises on which we're deciding are basically two irreconcilable points of view about the role of government in a democratic society. So thanks, Bob.  MR. MILLER: Yeah. Could I respond real quick?  MR. JONES: You can.  MR. MILLER: I like the Social Studies.  I'm all for that. But my understanding is Common Core restricted to ELA and Math. And if these gentlemen and I love Economics. I love Social Studies. I love dance and sports and all of this. I don't think Common Core is going to interfere. If you want to teach democracy or socialism, well, that's why we have tenure; academic freedom. We talk. We'll keep up talking socialism if you like. And I'll be in

#### Page 95 Page 93 1 Mr. Miller for providing a venue for a 1 students to high quality Science, but much work 2 2 frustrated want-to-be history teacher. I'm not is needed to be done with them before they 3 3 sure how we resolve the basketball coach thing. should be used. 4 4 MR. JONES: See, Mr. President, If we should teach the standards as is, 5 5 everybody presumes that because we're members of Science learning would be very disjointed, and 6 6 the State Board of Education we could not have if these are followed the transition from 7 7 elementary Science to middle school Science will known anything about education or else we 8 8 be very difficult. There's a clear omission of wouldn't have got appointed. So I just wanted 9 vertical alignment. Please consider to allow 9 to kind of clarify that, you know, every now and 10 continued work to happen on the elementary 10 then we might have an informed opinion. Okay? 11 standards. We would also like to tell you that 11 PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Welcome. 12 we, as STOM, are the only organization 12 MS. LAUNIUS: Thank you. 13 associated with National Science Teachers 13 PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Sorry you had to 14 Association, and we would like to offer you our 14 follow that. 15 support and expertise to help vet, improve and 15 MS. LAUNIUS: That's all right. Good 16 align the document so it would be in as good of 16 afternoon, Commissioner Vandeven and Missouri 17 shape as the high school. 17 School Board members. My name is Carrie 18 Thank you for your time. 18 Launius, and I'm the current president of STOM, 19 PRESIDENT SHIELD: Questions? 19 Science Teachers Of Missouri. STOM now has over 20 MR. STILL: Would your organization, 20 1700 members reaching all corners of the State. 21 would you be able to make specific 21 STOM would like to commend the HB1490 22 recommendations now that you've had -- you've 22 writing teams. We recognize the dedication and 23 reviewed the --23 commitment of the teams to ensure Missouri 24 MS. LAUNIUS: Yes, sir. 24 students have learning standards that are high 25 MR. STILL: -- proposed standards? 25 quality. Page 94 Page 96 1 1 Referring to Science, STOM feels that MS. LAUNIUS: Yes, sir. 2 2 the middle and high school standards are MR. STILL: Do you plan to do that? 3 powerful and are impressed with the draft. Our 3 MS. LAUNIUS: Yes, sir, we have. We've 4 4 concern is with the elementary standards. We got a team of people that have looked at it and 5 5 feel the standards are an excellent start, but -- working on some of the problems, and we have 6 documented and written down the things that we 6 there does need to be some major revisions. The 7 7 coherence of the standards need to be corrected. think clearly need to be changed. 8 The standards as currently written do not create 8 MR. STILL: Have you offered some 9 a progression of instruction in which it would 9 proposed changes? 10 10 MS. LAUNIUS: Well, we've not been able allow a lesson to build on previous lessons, 11 moving students from simpler concepts to more 11 to. That's what we're doing now. 12 12 complex and challenging concepts, from lower MR. STILL: You're doing that now. 13 13 level thinking to higher level thinking. Okay. 14 14 Learning progressions are missing. MS. LAUNIUS: That's what we're saying 15 15 The standards do not reflect clearly to you all. Sorry. 16 16 articulated sequences. That is each grade level MR. STILL: I know you haven't had it 17 17 learning expectations. As the high school very long. 18 18 standards are written in a way that supports MS. LAUNIUS: No, we have not. We 19 19 three-dimensional learning, we feel that this is assumed that the right process was to come to 20 20 missing mostly in the elementary document. The Dr. Vandeven and offer -- we have a long time 21 21 elementary standards also do not represent ago talked to Dr. Vandeven, and she's aware of 22 continuous opportunities for students to use 22 our organization. She gets all our --23 higher order thinking skills. STOM believes 23 everything we send out. But we are now offering 24 24 that the elementary standards as written is a -- because we do have -- many of our teachers

Fax: 314.644.1334

and our members of over 1700 members, we have

25

very good start towards moving all Missouri

25

Page 97

people that have degrees in elementary Science, degrees in Science curriculum. And when these committees were put together, not by fault of these people, they didn't have the strong background. Science is a beast. The way it needs to be taught for kids to understand it, and we believe strongly that we have the expertise to help make the standards better.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

They did a great job beginning, but without the -- you know, many of us have had studies -- you know, I'm finishing my doctorate in Science Education, my masters degree was in Science Education. It's not -- we don't want you to think that we don't think they did the best job they could with what knowledge, but some of the research is missing so we feel like we could very much help.

MR. STILL: Okay. Thank you. PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Further questions? Seeing none, thank you for your testimony. MS. LAUNIUS: Thank you. MR. SZYDLOWSKI: Good afternoon. Forgive me for reading. My name Mike

Szydlowski, and I am the Science Coordinator --

K-12 Science Coordinator for Columbia Public

1

2

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

these standards represent the latest trends in

high quality Science education. They have

3 research-based learning progressions tied to

4 content that has been found most appropriate at

5 each grade Level. All of their standards

6 represent examples of higher order thinking, and

7 all of their standards represent

8 three-dimensional learning which means the 9 processes of Science are included to every 10 standard. STOM strongly recommends that the

State School Board approve the secondary Science

12 standards for House Bill 1490.

> The elementary Science standards are also a great improvement over the current Missouri grade level expectations in Science. An obvious effort was made to incorporate higher level standards which will allow students to problem solve and apply Science. However, we did have some concerns about the elementary proposed Science standards. The three main concerns that we have are the way some of the standards were placed into grade level seems disjointed and does not reflect the current research on Science learning progressions.

Page 98

Schools in Columbia, Missouri, and I represent the interests of nearly 20,000 students and teachers that teach Science. I also happen to be the present-elect of Science Teachers of Missouri starting in January.

I'd like to talk to you about just a few things we have seen in the work groups. I'd like to thank both writing teams for their hard work. The process they had was not ideal, but I think they both did an incredible job with the amount of time they had. And we all know they gave up an incredible amount of personal time to do this. STOM and Columbia Public School Science department, we are excited to transition away from the current Missouri grade level expectations in Science. We believe those promote the memorization of Science facts without processes or problem-solving skills that are so essential to Science.

Currently the Missouri Science GLE'S and the assessment that goes with it can mostly be covered by a lot of worksheets, which is not the way to learn and apply Science. I would first like to talk about secondary Science, the committee for HB1490. STOM and I feel that

Page 100

Page 99

seems to stand alone with no background or support of other standards, particularly in the area of elementary Physical Science.

There are several examples in which the content

This will also make people in my position, a curriculum director, it will be difficult to find resources without costing a lot of money to fund a Physical Science standard to stand alone at one grade level. Amongst some of the higher order standards there's some very low level memorization type standards that are mixed in, particularly when the grade level expectations were attempted to be put back in with the next generation Science which are two completely different beasts there.

When the lower level standards were added they did not have the same three-dimensional learning opportunities as the other standards. And we want to make it clear we are not saying that these standards from the elementary should be thrown out or disregarded in any way. Just a little revision to the elementary Science standards will create a fantastic set of Kindergarten through 12th grade Science Missouri learning standards that would be a big improvement over the Missouri Science

Page 101

1 GLE's.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We are excited at the vision Missouri students and teachers will get the opportunity to go beyond memorization of Science facts simply for the stake of Science tests. This will be an exciting and well-needed change for Science in Missouri, and we very much thank you.

PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Thank you for your testimony. Any questions? Seeing none, thank

> MR. SZYDLOWSKI: Thank you. PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Welcome.

MS. LOGUE: Thank you. My name is Gretchen Logue. I appreciate the legislators being here today because I think many of the citizens -- I'm representing Missouri Coalition Against Common Core -- are very concerned about if the intent of House Bill 1490 was followed.

I would request that my supporting documents, which are the FOIA emails -- some of the FOIA emails previously mentioned would be considered part of the public testimony and be part of the public record.

My testimony today will focus on the intent of HB1490 and the comments and documents

intention. He was in close contact with DESE 2 regarding work group matters. Mr. Kremer was

3 the teacher who refused to leave the committee 4

even after he was relieved of his duties by the 5 Speaker. Sarah Potter of DESE alerted the

6 media, which was the AP, Columbia Tribune, St.

7 Louis Post-Dispatch, informing them of this

8 drama, while at the same time parents on that

9 committee were accused of contacting the media 10 and creating group chaos. The news coverage of

11 the chaos was orchestrated by DESE which legally 12 had no official role in work group matters. The

13 agency also recruited certain work group members 14

and held regroup sessions after official work 15 group meetings in an effort to shut down

16 constructive dialogue in the writing of new 17 standards.

> I've attended several State Board of Education meetings and have heard almost every member praise the standards. There appears to be a predisposition by many Board members to accept the pro Common Core NGO talking points which are not supported by research and data. At the same time, I have heard Board members

utter marginalizing statements about citizens

Page 102

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 104

Page 103

concern ELA 6-12 group. I believe that I would like them to be a historical record of what I believe occurred in this year-long process in that particular work group.

There was a predetermined outcome by DESE that Missouri would indeed retain Common Core Standards to a large extent and that the intent of 1490 was thwarted by that agency. I attended several of the meetings, and the educational professional organization appointees were openly unwilling and hostile to using other state standards as comparisons and national experts' opinions for writing new Missouri standards. Even as the legislation directed they could be used as resources for developing the new standards. You've heard about the FOIA emails. Just for this work group, they numbered 6500. As an aside, I understand there is an outstanding FOIA request for emails between Science K through -- 6-12, and they number 8,000 between work group members and DESE employees.

There are emails from work group member Nick Kremer, appointed by then Speaker Tim Jones, that clearly state his desire to retain the standards, and he informs DESE of this

opposed to the standards. Board members have indicated that minority reports will not be accepted or considered in its decision, meaning no other reports will be in the record opposing the DESE agenda of retaining the standards. I have been advised by minority group members that the State Board has refused to acknowledge receipt of its report which it has sent you.

A recent editorial by an English teacher explained what she tries to teach her students, illustrating what Missouri citizens expect from their political institutions, political appointees and bureaucrats, and this was not experienced in this work group. Learning is a valid lesson that is not political but is essential for politics; that one must support assertions with proofs, that one must consider counter arguments, that it's necessary to listen to what other say and that doing so may allow you to strength or force you to alter what you think.

We have heard one Missouri education reformer state that people are dissatisfied with the standards because things didn't go their way even as they were written and adopted via "the

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## Page 105

- 1 democratic process". The dissatisfaction from
- 2 those truly educated in the facts about the
- 3 Initiative stems from the proven fact, you can
- look at these emails, that the democratic 4
- 5 process was doomed from the beginning. Just
- 6 like "outcome based education" goals, the goal
- 7 to retain Common Core Standards was set in place 8 even before the work groups were constituted, 9 national NGOs were contacted by DESE for 10 assistants, and there was little to no chance

11 that higher standards would be adopted in 12 Missouri regardless of the passage of House Bill 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As the teacher continues in her op-ed, I'm reassured that I send forth young adults who, whatever political positions they adopt, will question before they conclude, and will respect others rights to question and conclude otherwise. This was not the case in ELA 6-12 deliberations, and if the State Board of Education adopts these standards recommendations and refuses to acknowledge the Minority Report, then I suggest that the State Board is circumventing the democratic process as well.

PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Okay. Any

1 standards as presented in the normal 6-12

- progression will not allow for the type of Math
- 3 truly needed to succeed in college level Math.
- 4 I highly encourage each of you personally to
- 5 speak with a member of the Mathematics in Higher
- 6 Education and ask them if they believe that the
- 7 standard pathway in this document will prepare

8 students for college Math success. 9

However, I will now draw your attention to Pages 26 through 42 in that document. That section is labeled Accelerated Pathway for Middle School. I believe this label is misleading. This pathway described in these 17 pages used to be the standard pathway for Mathematics progression where Algebra 1 is taught in the Eighth Grade for almost everyone. That is the pathway that will lead to preparedness for Calculus in high school which will give our children a real chance to succeed in STEM, coursework and college.

I'm not advocating that every student be prepared to take calculus in high school, but we owe our children and parents an honest story about the likelihood of their success if they do not get on this pathway in their district.

Page 106

questions for Ms. Logue? Seeing none, thank you for your testimony.

MS. GASSEL: Good afternoon, Members of the Board. My name is Anne Gassel. I was a parent on the Math 6-12 work group appointed by the Speaker. After watching the State Board for several months now, it's clear that you all are struggling with terms and programs that you have inherited but which you do not fully understand. For example, what we mean by top 10 by '20 plan, what is a highly effective teacher distribution, STEM readiness, and high quality college and teacher -- I'm sorry, college and career ready standards.

It is this last part, these standards, that you are being asked to approve in March, and as a member of the 6-12 work group, I want to point out a couple areas -- important areas in the document that was submitted to you.

Foremost, I want to mention or bring to light the fact that the document essentially ends with Algebra 2 standards. It would be lie to say that our students are college ready if they've only taken Math up through Algebra 2. It should be stressed that following the

Page 108

Page 107

- Districts should understand the importance of
- this pathway if they truly want to give students an opportunity to be successful in STEM. Even
- 3 4 students who take some Algebra past Algebra 2,
- the State would be doing a disservice to them by
- 5 6
- not stressing the benefits of taking Calculus in 7 high school where the course can be taught at a
- 8 slower pace, with a better teacher to student
- 9 ratio and where the teacher is most likely a
- 10 native English speaker. There are many students
- 11
- who did well in Math in 6-12 but did not take 12
  - Calculus in high school and were thrown into
  - Calculus class their freshman year only to
    - struggle. That struggle will be exacerbated by
    - the situation noted on Page 64 which is the last
    - page of our document, and I don't want the message there to be lost.

Missouri still only requires three years of high school Math in order for graduation. This missing year can be crucial to preparedness for college in any of the STEM fields. Math is like a foreign language. It

must be practiced regularly to be fluid. Even students who did well in high school Math will

be rusty when they take a college Math placement

Page 109

exam if their last year was over a year ago.

Lastly, I want to report that I saw a tremendous amount of resistance to change by Math teachers across the State in the surveys and in comments that were made to the work group. I think one of the reasons teachers have this trouble is because they themselves are not terribly comfortable with Math. This is a result of our teacher preparation programs. You can tell by the results of the recent certification exams that this is a problem. So I encourage you to look further at this issue, examine programs that would encourage students in the college Mathematics departments to consider careers in Math teaching.

PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Okay. Thank you. Any questions for Ms. Gassel? Seeing none, we appreciate your testimony.

MS. HEARNE: Good afternoon gentlemen and ladies. My name is Donna Hearne, and I am a schoolteacher. I served in the U.S. Federal Department of Education for 10 years, three presidential appointments. The last one being to what was then called America 2000. It ultimately became Goals 2000 and so forth. I

sitting for assessments that are being used to control them. We want the tests to be testing of their knowledge base so the teacher can help them when they have problems. That's what we're trying to do in the classroom.

Page 111

Page 112

You know, I grew up with the lowa Test of Basic Skills. I would recommend that we go back 50 something years to that. Tests aren't -- and tests, by the way, are not assessments. We're now going to something called adaptive assessments which means the questions change after the first question, the second question. You cannot compare apples and oranges. So don't tell us that it is the assessments we need to compare across the country because we're not doing it.

The second point that I would make is that we need to stop the money flow from Washington telling us what to do. Missouri is the Show-Me State, and I say let's stand and show the rest of the world. We can do it. Missouri's education has been exceptional. We need to get back to that. And I think we need to start saying no to the federal money.

In World War II, which I came out of,

Page 110

- have been involved in education all my life. My
- husband is a teacher, my daughter is a teacher.
- We are committed to the children, and that's why
- I'm here today. I'm also an author, and I will
- 5 have a book for all of you. It's called -- and
  - you don't need to be too upset when you read it
    - -- The Long War and Common Core. It's the story of what has happened to American education the last 70 years. I have read more textbooks, more assessments, more standards, probably because

I'm older than most everybody here, and I've been doing it all my life.

I'm here to make just three points. The first one is about the assessments, and I'm delighted to know that the President and I agree on this. We have too much. We have too many assessments. You only need to read Education Week and other publications to see what it is doing to our children. They are assessed and assessed and assessed and they're not learning. What is the purpose of assessments? Who are these assessments for? They really are the producers of statistics. Statistics are for control. That's not what we want in our

classrooms. We don't want our kids to be

education was a wonderful teacher and a great

- curriculum and you loved it, and it was great.
- And that's what we need to go back to. Let's go
- 4 back to that classroom teacher. We've got a lot
- of work ahead for us. We've got a lot of work
- 6 that we have to do, but I would say we need to
- first let's cut back to only one assessment a
- year, and let's get out of the federal money andstart saying no. Thank you.

10 PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Thank you, Ms.
11 Hearne Any questions? Seeing none, we

Hearne. Any questions? Seeing none, we appreciate your testimony.

MS. POBST: Good afternoon.

PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Good afternoon.

MS. POBST: Hi. My name is Tonya

Pobst. I'm actually speaking on behalf of Ed

Martin, president of the Phyllis Schlafly's

Eagle Forum, but I'm also the chairperson of the

ELA K-5 work group. Again, this is from Ed

Martin. Across the nation we are seeing

21 unprecedented assault on education by people who

wish to direct our children's future based on

their vision of good outcomes. Common Core is

degrading our children and insulting to our

parents. Here in Missouri where I live Common

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

## Page 113

Core is having a terrible impact, not only on education of the children but also by dividing our community, parents and teachers versus technocrats and those who benefit from the education industry.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I join the cause of parents, teachers and men and women of good will to the Missouri Board of Education and all who have influence, please stop Common Core and return power to parents, teachers and local boards of education. Thank you.

PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Thank you. Any questions? Seeing none, we appreciate your testimony.

nony. MR. KREMER: Good afternoon.

PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Good afternoon.
MR. KREMER: My name is Nick Kremer.
I'm the Coordinator of Language Arts and Social
Studies for Columbia Public Schools, also was a
member of the English Language Arts 6-12 work
group. I'd like to start again by thanking the
various committee chairs and work group members
that participated in this process. It was

difficult. It was time consuming work, often

brought very little gratitude, and that's

that, I think that's a lot easier said than done in the sense that to make the changes from one to the other is going to take a substantial amount of work and really revisioning, both in terms of the time involved and just the collaboration involved to make that happen.

Page 115

Page 116

I think every group wanted that to happen. I think we were working under the gun of the time, and I honestly think it's a fault in the legislation itself that created autonomous groups trying to put together a cohesive product, and I don't know how that would reconcile. So I very much would support that effort. I just -- I don't know what that solution would look like.

Also with the one minute I have left and with due respect to the K-5 Social Studies group and my position in Columbia Public Schools I would be -- I'm one of those angsty educators that is a little worried about the significant changes in scope and sequence that are being suggested in Grades fifth, Fourth, Third and Second. By moving -- essentially by moving content down a grade level and adding an entire new year of content in Fifth Grade, that puts

## Page 114

putting it mildly, but it's very important work with very real consequences for our students, and I think sometimes that gets forgotten.

I think what you heard time and time today, and certainly in my own evaluation of each of the standards in the four different disciplines that were produced, you see marked improvement over the existing GLE's and CLE's and Missouri Learning Standards that we had before. But I'd like to echo what a number of other educators have remarked this afternoon, and that is you have a disjointed system right now of eight very distinct, very well thought-out curriculum documents that have been put in front of you, standards documents that have been put in front of you that don't jive particularly well together. They have different philosophical -- they're foundations, they have different organizational structures and the learning objectives aren't always aligned from Kindergarten through Twelfth Grade like you

heard said. And though I certainly agree with

you that before any such documents could be put

into practice we would need to get groups back

together, you know, to talk about how to align

significant demands on local districts both in terms of buying new instructional textual

resources that are developmentally appropriate
 for grade levels, since we've moved that content

down, as well as training teachers who have not had a lot of experience in Social Studies

education before in that new content.

I think that's certainly important work to be done if the change warrants that need. I'm just not sure that a compelling case has been made about why we're changing. Thank you.

PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Thank you. Any questions for Mr. Kremer? Russ?

questions for Mr. Kreiner: Russ:

MR. STILL: That's the English Language Arts committee; is that what you're --

MR. KREMER: I'm sorry. What?

MR. STILL: Where we've moved

curriculum down and you say --

MR. KREMER: No. I was just talking particularly about the K-5 Social Studies.

MR. STILL: Social Studies. Okay.

MR. KREMER: Fifth Grade, Fourth Grade,

Third Grade.MR. STILL: Okay.

PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Mr. Jones?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 117

MR. JONES: Yeah. You kind of brought coherence to the issue of the lack of coherence

MR. KREMER: Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Q

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JONES: -- of all of this. And if it's going to get fixed, per your analysis, it would require somebody to make some arbitrary decisions about how to align both the standards as well as the philosophical foundations -competing philosophical foundations of some of these premises. So what does that do to the legitimacy of the policy on the back end? And I presume -- I think that it was the legitimacy question that started this whole process to begin with. So it seems like fixing it puts you right back to where we started.

MR. KREMER: Yeah. Let me say I don't envy your position at all.

MR. JONES: That's why we get \$25 a meeting.

MR. KREMER: Right. Exactly. If I were a legislator one change in the legislation I would make as soon as possible for the next time this process occurs is to have a single cohesive K-12 group in each discipline as

Page 119

they knew that local control for parents and teachers working together in tandem was the best outcome for children.

I know we like to sit on our fancy boards and we like to feel important and we have this and that and education is one of those things we all think we can get along about, but we're not going to. And I'm going to tell you something. I am the expert of my child. I am. And there are experts that are terrific in the education field, and I appreciate their input, and I want to know from them, I want to learn from them, but ultimately, as we've heard today, and as the woman who spoke a couple times before me so eloquently put it, we need to say no to federal dollars.

I was a classical pianist. I went to Interlochen Arts Academy in high school. My teacher went on to be a professor at Juilliard. I came back to Missouri and studied with Jane Allen. Do you all know who Jane Allen is? Well, she was 10 times better than the Juilliard teachers, and she was from Missouri. You know, and I just -- I really have a problem with this idea that they are so much better out there.

Page 118

opposed to two independent groups. Thank you. PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Thank you so much.

MS. THOMAS: If you want to know why I chose this seat, because I'm on the other side and I see the screen, and I'm thinking I think I'll look better on the camera to the right than to the left.

PRESIDENT SHIELDS: That's good enough for us.

MS. THOMAS: Anyway, Hi. I'm Julie Thomas. I'm a parent. I'm opinionated, and I'm sorry for that. But I was also on the History standards 6-12 work group, and it was a diverse group of people. Thank heavens. We had a fabulous time, I think, when it's all said and done, and I think our product is just fine.

We left room for districts to think for themselves a little bit. Nothing scares me more than when I hear these terms global and anything common because let me tell you something, there are -- how many experts have we had here today that have disagreed? How many? Lots. We have experts all across this country that disagree, and you know what, our founding fathers were 10 times more educated than most of us today, and

Page 120

Well, Washington D.C. does not know where I'm from. They do not know my identical twins learn differently, and they do not know how to reach them.

So I would encourage you that when you sit here and you look at what your job is, your job is as a servant. It's not to lord it over others. So just keep that in mind. I know you have a difficult job here in assessing everything going on. But, you know, Ben Carson is a great example of someone who did not know what he was because he was failing all the way through school until Eighth Grade. Please stop the teacher evals. Would you please stop the teacher evals in the Grades K-12? Kids grow at different rates.

I have one more thing to say. I have a sister who is teaching Special Ed, and the data collection that is required on these teachers. They have -- she doesn't teach in the classroom. It's the paras. And this is going across -this is happening all across the State. The teachers are not teaching because of the data collection requirements. It's the paras and those that aren't, quote/unquote, experts

## Page 121

because of what current education looks like. Thank you Bill Gates and your data collection dream. It is not improving children. Thank you.

PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Any questions for Ms. Thomas?

MR. HERSCHEND: I have one comment. That's fine. And I agree with what you said.

MS. THOMAS: Thank you.

MR. HERSCHEND: Your greater job, though, is we wouldn't have a problem in Missouri if 1 million kids in our schools had 1 million parents like you behind them.

MS. THOMAS: I agree.

MR. HERSCHEND: But they do not. And we have to somehow accommodate for the vast majority of students who are out there without your kind of knowledgeable enthusiastic background. It is a conundrum.

MS. THOMAS: Yes. And you know what? And part of the problem is it is, it is the breakup of the family, and it breaks my heart. And I hate to see Inner City schools failing the way that they are, but a lot of the failure is coming from top-down control, and the more

Page 123

it would be very healing for the United States of America. It's really important. Thank you very much for your comment. I appreciate that.

PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Thank you.

MS. SAIGHMAN: Good afternoon. My name is Lou Ann Saighman, and I am a member of the ELA 6-12 minority group. I come from Blue Springs where I have taught school for 33 years. I joined the work group in December when Tim Jones appointed me, the House Speaker appointed me to the group, because Mr. Kremer did not fit the qualifications to be working on that group.

The first meeting I went to was in December, and it was a big waste of time actually. More time was spent trying to figure out who should be there and who shouldn't and who could talk and who couldn't and do the courts need to get involved and the fact that he was released and I was there. That was a waste of time. The next meeting I went to was equally ridiculous. So there's two wasted meetings right here. It was trying to be decided -- someone said that I should not be allowed to speak at all. If I wanted to say something, I needed to write it on a note, pass it to the

Page 122

top-down we get, the worse it gets. You know, why not have the Ben -- Ben Carson, people who have come from that community that understand that culture be the experts of that community. They know best how to reach those kids better than Washington D.C.

But in light of that, I want to mention something. There is a new museum here called Jeff City Museum of Modern Art, and it's up the street here on Main Street, and right now they are featuring the work of Purvis Young, and he was an African-American who was not a very wealthy guy, but, you know, he is someone who came from that rough upbringing and has incredible art and is in the Smithsonian. And my family is responsible for that, and I'm very proud of that, that they took the opportunity to do that, but I would encourage our local educational people and DESE to look into that because they will be featuring different artists, but Purvis Young will be up and coming and he's very interesting.

And one more thing. If you haven't seen the movie Woodlawn, it's an amazing movie, and in the times in which we're living, I think

Page 124

person next to me and allow them to read it.

Okay. How is that going to work? They could be onto the next topic by time I got my note

written. So that was kind of a waste of time

I want you to know that the minority members did want to work with the K-5 for alignment but the other members said, no, we're not going to do that. So that didn't come to pass, but it was suggested that that should happen. This is an extremely dysfunctional group that you can kind of see why we pulled away and formed the minority group because we were getting nowhere fast. Everything we suggested was voted down, which doesn't work very well either.

If you look at what they have produced, when I joined that group I genuinely believed our job was to write new standards, not just rearrange the Common Core Standards we have. If you compare what they have to what Common Core is, a lot of the standards are exactly the same wording as they were when they were called Common Core. They are rearranged -- some of the words -- some of the things are new but some of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

8

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## Page 125

the words are just rearranged; something added, something subtracted. So I don't feel that those are standards that anybody should be supporting. Those are Common Core Standards they've given you. Look at them. Compare. You'll see that that is true.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Let me see here real quick what else I wanted to say to you. We all know where Common Core came from. We know who's behind it. We know who supports it. We know who's paying for it. We know that there has been deception and corruption on DESE's part. We know that this was a fixed thing top down. The work groups were kind of just to me almost a farce just to make you think you have a say in something when you don't really have a say in it, and that's

I am a firm believer, like the lady ahead of me, local control. Who knows their children better than parents. Nobody -- I don't care if it's federal government. I don't care if it's Bill Gates. I don't care if it's people writing standards. I don't care who it is. Nobody has the right to take the hearts and minds of children away from their parents.

it. Like I said, I taught for 32 years. I have been curriculum director of my school. I stayed in one school district all 32 years. I've seen curriculum come and go. I've seen standards come and go.

Page 127

My biggest question is this: Are we making standards and assessing those standards to compare ourselves to the rest of the world or are we making those standards and assessing those standards to meet the needs of our children; our students in this state? I was a product of the German school system until I was 16. They track. You take tests and you get tracked from there. The test results that we compare our students to, our general population, we educate 100 percent of anybody that walks into our public schools. They don't because they track. The test results that we keep comparing ourselves to are those higher level students. That needs to be understood. We have apples and oranges here.

We have to stop comparing our students to the rest of the world. Let's meet the needs of our students by going through these standards. One of our goals on the K-5

## Page 126

Totally wrong. Thank you.

PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Thank you for your testimony. Any questions? Seeing none, we appreciate it.

MS. SAIGHMAN: Thank you.

PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Good afternoon.

MR. TRUSTY: Good afternoon.

PRESIDENT SHIELDS: I think you have

the honor of being the last witness.

MR. TRUSTY: I actually had not planned on speaking here. However, my name is Ralf Trusty. I taught elementary school for 32 years, and they were my kids. They walked into the classroom, they became my kids at the beginning of the year. They're still my kids. My wife and I raised six kids. We now have seven grandchildren. We are very much involved with our education.

One of the things when -- I was appointed to the K-5 Social Studies committee, and we took our work very serious. I know there have been questions tossed around and innuendos and all that about not taking it serious. Our group took it very serious as I know the other groups did too. We put our heart and soul into

Page 128

committee was learner and age appropriate. That was our goal. I think we have accomplished

that. But again, the question begs, are we

making these standards, adopting these

standards, to compare ourselves or to meet the

6 educational needs of our students. That's the 7

underlying factor. That's where I put my heart

and soul in for 32 years. Thank you.

9 PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Thank you.

Questions for Mr. Trusty? What school district?

11 MR. TRUSTY: Iberia R-V.

PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Okay. Great.

Appreciate it. Thank you for your testimony.

That concludes our public testimony

piece of the program. I'm going to allow

Commissioner Vandeven to describe the next steps

in this process as outlined by law.

COMMISSIONER VANDEVEN: Before I get to the next steps I would like to echo President Shields and the rest of our Board members'

gratitude for the work of these work groups.

And for all of you who are here today, this is a

very, very important step for the State of

Missouri, and we are taking the work very

seriously so we thank you.

•		
	Page 129	Page 131
1	There are a couple of very significant	1 CERTIFICATION
2	next steps. As indicated in statute these	2
3	proposed standards will go to the Joint	3 I, Susan M. Fiala, Certified Court
4	Committee on Education. I too am thrilled to	4 Reporter, Registered Professional Reporter,
5	see the number of our legislators who are here	within and for the State of Missouri, DO HEREBY
6	today. Representative Wood is chairing that	6 CERTIFY that I was present for the proceedings
7	committee so we will be providing those	7 had in the above-entitled cause at the time and
8	standards to them for their review and for their	8 place set forth in the caption sheet thereof; 9 that I then and there took down in Stenotype the
9	comment. We also, per statute, will be	9 that I then and there took down in Stenotype the 10 proceedings had; and that the foregoing is a
10	preparing these for the academic researchers so	11 full, true and correct transcript of such
11	that they may provide comment on them as well.	12 Stenotype notes so made at such time and place.
12 13	And then outside of the statute, but per DESE,	13 Given at the Jefferson State Office
	we would like to provide an additional public	14 Building, State Board Room, 1st Floor, City of
14 15	comment period. So that will open on November	15 Jefferson City, state of Missouri.
15 16	the 2nd. It will run until December the 2nd.	16 In WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
17	It's a 30-day public comment period, but I would like to remind you all that that is very	subscribed my name this 12th day of November,
18	specific to the standards. So if you are	18 2015.
19	looking at and reading those standards, please	19
20	comment specifically on if changes need to be	
21	made to the proposed standards where and if	20
22	possible why, because that will certainly help	21 Susan M. Fiala, CCR, RPR, CSR
23	inform the process for us as well.	22
24	We are on a very tight time line. Our	23
25	goal is to really try to bring our	24
		25
	Page 130	
1	recommendations to this Board for a vote at the	
2	March meeting. Again, so we need to stay very,	
3	very focused on the standards themselves.	
4	Again, thank you very much, and look forward to	
5	continuing working with you.	
6	PRESIDENT SHIELDS: Okay. So I'm going	
7	to close by saying just a couple things. The	
8	fact that this room is packed and the room	
9	behind us is packed is a good thing that we have	
10	this many people gathered to talk about our	
11	children's education. So thank you for your	
12 13	participation in that.	
14	My last thing I'm going to say is this	
15	is the time of year when deer are very active on the road, and many of you are traveling back to	
16	far corners of the State so please, please be	
17	careful. Thanks so much.	
18	We're going to take about a 15-minute	
19	break. Then we will back into open session and	
20	vote ourselves into closed session.	
21	(Whereupon, the open session ended at	
22	3:29 p.m.)	
23	•	
24		
25		
		I .

A	achieved 44:8	adoption 65:14	<b>agreed</b> 13:15,17	amazing 61:23
abandonment	achievement	65:15 67:7	28:25 29:13	62:1 122:24
32:14	15:8	adopts 105:21	73:11	ambiguity 49:20
ability 48:7	achieving 82:8	adults 105:15	agreement	ambiguous
<b>able</b> 12:9 17:16	acknowledge	advancement	55:12	49:25
19:2 27:20	79:2 104:7	69:17	<b>ahead</b> 19:16	ambition 90:21
28:22 36:12	105:22	advised 104:6	38:14 112:5	<b>Amen</b> 39:11
37:23 56:12	acknowledged	Advisory 45:20	125:19	89:20,21
58:1,6 86:16	89:11,12,16	advocate 54:7	aid 58:7 77:7,23	amended 7:24
87:22,23 88:16	acquisition	advocating	<b>aim</b> 71:13	7:24
88:17 95:21	30:13	107:21	<b>Air</b> 9:10	<b>America</b> 109:24
96:10	act 21:21 24:21	affect 67:8	<b>Al</b> 34:11	123:2
above-entitled	56:21 76:24	African-Amer	alerted 103:5	America's 65:8
131:7	<b>action</b> 18:23	122:12	Algebra 57:19	65:10
absolutely 14:1	56:8	afternoon 5:2	57:19,22 58:5	American 31:24
50:8 84:2,24	Actions 45:19	8:20,21 14:15	58:5 60:17,20	61:8 68:15
<b>abusive</b> 24:11	active 24:13,13	14:16 20:13	60:22 106:22	83:11,12 110:8
academic 36:18	25:15 28:17	28:5,6 36:4	106:24 107:15	amount 14:6
48:12 66:23	70:24 81:16	39:15,16 44:16	108:4,4	62:4 67:22
92:21 129:10	130:14	48:15 55:17	<b>align</b> 17:16	71:21 98:11,12
Academy	actual 73:14	69:6,7 72:21	58:20 95:16	109:3 115:4
119:18	84:9	72:22 78:10,20	114:25 117:8	<b>amped</b> 9:22
accelerated	adaptive 111:10	85:23 87:12	aligned 15:20	analysis 117:6
57:21 83:15	<b>added</b> 60:7	93:16 97:22	17:22 18:1	analyze 18:21
85:16 107:11	100:16 125:1	106:3 109:19	80:22 114:20	30:20 43:14
accept 59:13,21	adding 45:22	112:13,14	aligning 16:21	analyzed 57:7
103:22	115:24	113:15,16	alignment 15:9	anchor 39:25
accepted 104:3	addition 12:24	114:11 123:5	17:24,25 37:22	and/or 43:14
accessible 46:3	80:22	126:6,7	37:24 38:1	angst 23:19,22
46:11	additional 79:20	age 26:14 128:1	40:6 41:5	angsty 115:19
accommodate	79:21 129:13	aged 89:24	56:20 81:3,10	Ann 3:4 8:22
121:16	address 15:22	agencies 77:6,23	95:9 124:8	9:10 13:22
· -	38:12,18 43:13	agency 102:8	<b>Allen</b> 119:21,21	14:4 123:6
<b>accomplish</b> 40:24 44:7	54:20 78:4	103:13	allotted 48:10	Anne 2:23 106:4
	addressed 10:25	agenda 65:18	allow 8:8 58:5	announced 9:1
accomplished 13:9 128:2	29:10	66:5 104:5	58:18 88:24	answer 9:2
account 24:24	administrator	agents 65:20	94:10 95:9	10:11 20:3
account 24:24 accountability	6:3	ago 23:17 61:23	99:17 104:20	61:4,13
78:17	administrators	91:18,25 96:21	107:2 124:1	answered 12:22
accredited	22:18 57:5	109:1	128:15	answering 14:9
58:24	adopt 41:21	agree 13:18	allowed 11:25	answers 8:9
	105:16	24:19 28:23	15:7 16:4	25:19
<b>accurately</b> 47:16 88:25	adopted 45:10	30:15 41:4,15	71:23 123:23	anti-Common
47:16 88:25 accused 103:9	71:11 104:25	43:8 85:14	allowing 20:19	70:10
	105:11	110:15 114:22	alongside 77:15	anybody 125:3
<b>Achieve</b> 70:25	adopting 128:4	121:8,14	alter 104:20	127:16
71:6	## Pung 120. T	121.0,11	=====================================	1 12/110

	•	1	1	1
anyone's 86:14	30:8 54:7	<b>aspect</b> 27:13	author 110:4	basically 10:1
anyway 88:14	appropriate	aspects 18:14	authoritative	92:6
89:5,11 118:10	12:2 36:17	45:11	76:11,16	basis 45:3 60:4
<b>AP</b> 103:6	50:9 51:15	assault 112:21	autonomous	basketball 90:23
<b>apart</b> 13:24	59:8 79:10	assembly 66:21	115:11	93:3
<b>appear</b> 29:21	99:4 116:3	77:22,23 78:4	available 8:3	beast 97:5
80:18,21	128:1	assertions	71:4	beasts 100:14
<b>appears</b> 103:20	appropriately	104:17	aware 88:8	beautiful 28:8
appendix 58:7	21:9	assessable 11:6	96:21	Becker 2:15
60:13,19	<b>approve</b> 99:11	assessed 110:19	awhile 41:21	69:6,8,8 72:20
apples 111:13	106:16	110:20,20		becoming 31:12
127:21	approved 17:20	assessing 120:9	B	<b>began</b> 36:22
application	46:24	127:7,9	<b>back</b> 9:16 14:4	beginning 36:12
31:15 80:6	<b>APR</b> 58:23	assessment	16:13 17:8,12	36:15 97:9
applications	arbiter 70:13	18:13 47:14,17	22:22 23:17	105:5 126:15
57:15	arbitrary 117:7	61:7 66:24	29:9 31:1,6	<b>begins</b> 39:23
applied 30:9	area 6:8 10:7	98:21 112:7	37:20 39:3	begs 128:3
32:17	11:10,24 50:19	assessments	41:2 71:17	behalf 28:9
apply 18:21	100:3	110:10,14,17	100:12 111:8	112:16
30:4 32:5	areas 79:3,18	110:21,22	111:23 112:3,4	beliefs 33:6
98:23 99:18	80:24 81:1	111:1,9,11,14	112:7 114:24	believe 25:23
appointed 45:1	106:18,18	assistance 69:16	117:12,16	33:3,4 52:25
45:3,5 66:3	argue 24:9	assistant 6:22	119:20 130:15	59:21 60:1
69:9 73:3 93:8	argument 91:3	20:14 44:17	130:19	62:9 67:5
102:23 106:5	91:6,20	78:15	background	68:21 73:8
123:10,10	arguments 33:5	assistants	7:15 53:17	75:2,13 78:25
126:20	104:18	105:10	97:5 100:1	97:7 98:16
appointees	<b>ARP</b> 85:4	associated 95:13	121:19	102:1,3 107:6
102:10 104:13	array 9:15 22:19	<b>Association</b> 7:1	backgrounds	107:12
appointment	art 122:9,15	65:22 95:14	9:8	believed 124:18
45:7	articulated	assumed 96:19	balance 21:25	believer 89:23
appointments	94:16	assumes 86:15	BARBOUR 5:8	89:23 125:18
109:23	articulation	at-risk 90:2	5:10,12,14,16	believes 33:4
appreciate 14:8	80:16,17	attempted	5:18	75:6 94:23
14:8 20:8,18	artists 122:21	100:12	<b>base</b> 9:10 16:24	<b>Ben</b> 120:10
28:1 39:14	arts 2:9,10 8:16	attempting	52:4,4,8,9,16	122:2,2
51:24 62:18	8:16 36:3	47:15	111:3	benchmarked
78:19 79:12	39:14 42:6	attempts 77:4	based 15:6	87:21 88:16
90:4 101:14	82:21,23	attended 45:2,6	22:16 27:22	beneficial 38:19
109:18 112:12	113:18,20	46:22 53:7	49:7 57:9	benefit 30:7
113:13 119:11	116:15 119:18	102:9 103:18	58:11 67:15	51:3 87:4
123:3 126:4	aside 56:13	attention 47:23	80:17 105:6	113:4
128:13	102:18	57:13 107:9	112:22	benefits 108:6
appreciated	asked 16:9 20:1	attracted 50:24	bases 52:6,11	Bennion 14:20
14:11	59:4,20 106:16	audience 5:22	<b>basic</b> 25:17	14:20 19:14,17
approach 12:4	asking 47:11,12	August 56:4	111:7	20:2
	,			
		1	1	1

				_
<b>Benz</b> 14:16,17	17:14 25:6	<b>brief</b> 39:23 86:5	109:24 110:5	CCSS 71:7
18:24 19:9,16	26:7 36:11	bright 80:3	111:10 122:8	CCSSI 70:9
20:10	68:8 118:18	<b>bring</b> 9:23 10:18	124:23	CCSSO 70:23
Bergfeld 55:17	<b>black</b> 68:16,18	10:19 58:8	Camdenton	celebrate 79:3
55:18 59:19,24	<b>Blue</b> 44:18,19	106:20 129:25	14:21	center 11:4
61:5,14,18	123:7	bringing 83:21	camera 118:6	Centers 7:21
best 10:4,11	<b>board</b> 1:11 2:2	British 42:20	<b>Cannon</b> 44:22	Century 31:11
14:2,5 16:17	4:2 6:14,17,24	<b>broad</b> 29:19	49:5,15 50:2	42:18,19 91:19
31:18 37:3,25	6:25 7:1,2,9,10	<b>Brothers</b> 34:10	50:18 51:5	<b>CEO</b> 7:20
40:15,16 48:7	7:11,14,16 8:9	brought 24:10	52:12 53:1,9	certain 27:18,20
48:8 55:5 56:6	10:13 11:21	113:25 117:1	53:16,20	49:6 67:4
56:14 58:16	12:17 18:18	<b>build</b> 35:7,9	Cape 63:12,14	69:20 103:13
59:18 79:1	25:4 28:1 33:8	84:12 94:10	capital 75:4	certainly 79:3
97:15 119:2	33:15 34:19	Building 1:11	Capone 34:11	114:5,22 116:8
122:5	38:9 39:11	131:14	caption 131:8	129:22
better 11:8	48:17 55:19	<b>built</b> 26:9 68:13	Cardinals 7:4	certification
20:25 24:8	56:1 59:16	80:12	care 17:21 48:11	109:11
54:2 86:19	61:16 62:21	<b>bumps</b> 56:9	125:21,21,22	Certified 131:3
97:8 108:8	63:15 64:22	bureaucracy	125:23	CERTIFY
118:6 119:22	66:5,19,22	70:5 72:1	career 17:3 18:8	131:6
119:25 122:5	67:5 71:1,5,9	bureaucrats	40:7 51:10	<b>chair</b> 14:19,23
125:20	78:12 93:6,17	104:13	59:6 75:3	39:19 40:20
bettering 72:10	99:11 103:18	<b>burner</b> 71:17	106:13	63:4,5,8,10,13
betterment 75:6	103:21,24	businessmen	careers 36:19	86:21
beyond 24:6,25	104:1,7 105:20	16:12	109:15	chairing 129:6
80:6 89:19	105:23 106:4,6	buying 116:2	careful 130:17	chairman 62:23
101:4	113:8 128:20	bypassing 66:12	carefully 33:9	62:25
<b>bias</b> 71:9	130:1 131:14	<b>Byrne</b> 2:14	Carolina 15:4	chairperson
<b>big</b> 23:23 47:6	<b>boards</b> 65:20	64:11,11 67:12	15:16 40:13	44:20 112:18
100:25 123:14	113:10 119:5	67:13 68:3,10	45:14 56:17	<b>chairs</b> 113:22
<b>biggest</b> 38:10,16	<b>Bob</b> 2:19 87:11	69:5	60:5 61:3	challenged 81:6
43:19 127:6	92:9	<b>Byrne's</b> 67:16	Carrie 2:20	challenges 30:6
<b>bill</b> 7:25 36:10	<b>book</b> 19:24		39:17 93:17	80:9
62:15 65:2	42:25 110:5	C	<b>carry</b> 55:5	challenging
66:2 70:10	<b>borne</b> 69:14	C 4:1 5:1 131:1	Carson 20:13,14	80:24 83:4
74:1 75:19	boundary 18:13	131:1	20:18 25:14	94:12
76:1 77:4 89:7	<b>box</b> 46:2,3	<b>CAI</b> 15:10	34:14 120:10	Chamber 16:8
89:9,16 99:12	<b>brain</b> 90:1	calculus 107:18	122:2	chance 43:5
101:18 105:12	Branson 7:7,9	107:22 108:6	case 59:22 64:25	68:7 73:16
121:2 125:22	breadth 79:19	108:12,13	66:25 67:2	90:23 105:10
<b>billion</b> 89:8 90:4	<b>break</b> 130:19	California 36:24	105:19 116:10	107:19
billionaire 65:9	breaking 32:16	45:12 56:16	cases 66:11,14	change 10:15
65:18	<b>breaks</b> 121:22	60:24	<b>cause</b> 79:20	19:13 31:19
billionaires 90:6	<b>breakup</b> 121:22	call 5:3,5 46:8	113:6 131:7	35:12,13 38:11
<b>birth</b> 89:25	<b>Brian</b> 28:7	79:4	<b>CCR</b> 4:21	51:11 75:25
<b>bit</b> 12:13 13:7	86:21	called 7:25	131:21	89:17 101:6
	•	•	•	•

	ī	1	1	1
109:3 111:11	81:17	Closing 3:7	30:9	committed 67:3
116:9 117:22	citizens 16:11	cluster 12:7	combined 10:2	69:17 70:8
changed 8:25	21:17 24:14	<b>co-chair</b> 55:23	<b>combing</b> 58:13	110:3
51:8,9 96:7	25:2 67:19	co-founder	<b>come</b> 9:8,17	committee
changes 32:18	70:16 71:25	64:12	14:6 22:10	13:16 14:23
32:19 38:16	74:11 86:19	co-workers 36:7	25:20,23 30:18	16:1 20:8
43:18,20 57:8	101:16 103:25	coach 6:3 90:23	30:21 36:12,13	22:15 33:4
58:4,10 78:1	104:11	93:3	41:2 49:1,16	36:11 48:7
96:9 115:2,21	citizenship	Coalition 64:12	63:23 86:4,9	56:5,12 62:24
129:20	24:13	101:16	96:19 122:3	62:25 63:8,9
changing 19:17	City 1:12 6:8	<b>coded</b> 32:18,23	123:7 124:9	63:10 66:11
80:9 116:11	7:8,21 37:13	<b>coding</b> 58:17	127:4,5	78:22 81:8
<b>chaos</b> 70:6	44:25 121:23	coherence 29:23	<b>comes</b> 9:10	98:25 103:3,9
103:10,11	122:9 131:14	94:7 117:2,2	50:18 61:8	113:22 116:15
charge 71:3	131:15	coherent 57:13	87:5	126:20 128:1
<b>Charlie</b> 4:4 7:13	<b>Clara</b> 14:20	cohesive 115:12	comfortable	129:4,7
checked 37:21	clarification	117:25	109:8	committees
Chief 65:22	18:12	cohesively 36:13	coming 7:8	20:20 62:5
<b>child</b> 34:16 82:7	clarify 93:9	collaborate	63:21 81:11	97:3
119:9	clarity 57:18	80:19 81:18	92:23 121:25	<b>common</b> 32:20
childhood 89:20	60:7,9 82:9	84:5,11	122:21	36:25 56:17
children 36:19	<b>class</b> 108:13	collaborated	commend 93:21	61:2 64:13,15
67:9 72:7,12	classes 54:23	80:20	comment 8:11	64:23 65:13,15
73:5 86:18	classical 119:17	collaboration	16:11 22:9	66:8 67:7
89:24 90:2	classroom 12:3	87:16,17 88:2	41:18 67:14	69:18 70:21
107:19,23	21:14,15 23:7	88:3,4 115:6	68:3,4 121:7	74:2,3,8 84:3
110:3,19	52:23 53:13,19	collected 46:12	123:3 129:9,11	84:11 86:13
112:24 113:2	54:7,12 55:18	collection	129:14,16,20	87:19,20 88:1
119:3 121:3	111:5 112:4	120:19,24	commentaries	88:6,15,23
125:20,25	120:20 126:14	121:2	64:19	91:5 92:15,18
127:11	classrooms	<b>college</b> 9:11 17:2	commentary	101:17 102:6
children's	110:25	18:8 34:4	42:19	103:22 105:7
112:22 130:11	<b>CLE's</b> 31:9	36:19 51:10	comments 3:6	110:7 112:23
choice 67:8	40:11 114:8	59:6 66:5 75:3	8:3 22:10,16	112:25 113:9
<b>choose</b> 57:23	<b>clear</b> 49:19	106:12,13,23	22:17 23:13,24	118:20 124:20
<b>chose</b> 10:24 15:5	75:22 82:3	107:3,8,20	31:1,4,7 64:7	124:21,24
118:4	95:8 100:18	108:21,25	90:17,19,20	125:4,8
chronological	106:7	109:14	101:25 109:5	communicate
29:22	clearly 18:6	collegiate 22:18	Commerce 16:8	56:24 59:7
circumvented	70:20 83:5	<b>color</b> 27:10	Commissioner	communication
70:15	94:15 96:7	32:18	3:6 4:5 66:17	46:1
circumventing	102:24	Columbia 6:13	70:23 89:21	community
105:24	<b>close</b> 103:1	6:14,16 97:25	93:16 128:16	21:22 23:10
citizen 21:22	130:7	98:1,13 103:6	128:18	27:2 46:14
73:23	<b>closed</b> 130:20	113:19 115:18	commitment	81:18 113:3
citizenry 25:8	closely 74:11	<b>column</b> 11:5,6	78:24 93:23	122:3,4
ľ		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
	1			1

compare 15:18	66:25 101:17	considering	continue 59:2	copyrighted
31:17 84:4,5	concerns 10:10	47:13	continued 95:10	70:22,25 74:22
87:22,23 88:17	79:15,16 99:19	considers 33:9	continues	core 16:22 18:15
88:19,24 90:18	99:21	consisting 46:13	105:14	36:25 56:18
111:13,15	concise 49:19	Consortium	continuing	61:2 64:13,16
124:21 125:5	conclude 105:17	16:9	130:5	64:23 65:13,16
127:8,15 128:5	105:18	conspired 69:13	continuous	66:8 67:7
compared 16:15	concludes	constituents	94:22	69:18 70:10,21
31:19 83:25	128:14	17:8	continuum	74:2,3,8 86:13
84:1 88:22	conclusion 67:4	constituted	28:17	87:19,20 88:6
comparing	conclusions	105:8	contributed	88:15,23 91:6
127:19,22	25:20 30:21	constitutes	27:12 68:12	92:15,18
comparison	conference 65:4	67:19	contributions	101:17 102:7
16:18	65:11	Constitution	26:4,5,17 27:1	103:22 105:7
comparisons	confess 74:23	71:13 75:9	control 26:19	110:7 112:23
102:12	confidential	constitutional	27:15 69:13,19	113:1,9 124:20
compelling	89:10	76:8	77:4 84:8	124:21,24
116:10	conflict 71:8	constraining	110:24 111:2	125:4,9
competing 33:1	confronted 92:2	31:12	119:1 121:25	corners 93:20
117:10	confusing 60:11	constructive	125:19	130:16
competitive	Congressional	103:16	controlled 70:11	corporate 66:1
80:7	6:1,13,20 7:7	consulting 51:19	controlling 77:7	corporations
completed 30:24	7:22	consuming	conundrum	65:19 68:25
completely	connections	113:24	121:19	correct 40:23
100:14	12:7,14	contact 103:1	convened 65:3	41:22 70:7
completion	conscience	contacted 16:6,9	convening 74:16	131:11
37:19	77:13	105:9	conventions	corrected 76:3
complex 94:12	consensus 20:24	contacting	44:5	94:7
complexibility	25:10	103:9	conversation	corrupt 72:1
80:12	consequences	contained 47:19	35:3 42:7 43:7	77:10
compliment	114:2	57:24	50:6	corrupted 70:5
39:3	conservative	content 25:17	conversions	70:14
component	91:9	29:19 40:8	52:13	corruption
67:17 70:21	consider 11:21	47:7,19 48:1,1	converting	66:11 67:24
concepts 16:22	11:22 39:25	55:10 57:18,25	52:15	77:8,17 78:3
18:15 21:9	52:14 81:12	60:6 64:24	Cookson 62:20	125:12
29:18 30:10	95:9 104:18	79:18 80:24	62:22,23	costing 100:6
79:8 94:11,12	109:15	81:1 91:1 99:4	coordinate	Council 40:8
conceptual	considerable	99:25 115:24	83:17	45:16,21 65:22
57:14	58:25	115:25 116:4,7	coordinating	counter 104:18
concern 41:16	consideration	contention	63:15	countless 77:16
66:16 80:1,2	16:17,20 40:15	36:11	coordinator	countries 87:23
80:14 94:4	52:5	context 25:9	53:11 97:24,25	88:10,23
102:1	considered 40:4	42:24 43:14	113:18	country 26:9
concerned 41:3	40:9 87:18	contingency	coordinators	64:15 68:12,23
64:23,24,25	101:22 104:3	59:25	78:19	76:19 87:22
, ,				
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	ı

	ı	 I	 I	 I
88:4,11 91:7	64:15 67:6	114:14 116:18	37:17 51:20	68:24
111:15 118:23	crosswalk 31:16	127:2,4	76:11 117:8	depending
<b>country's</b> 74:13	32:22	cursive 39:3	dedication	26:14 27:12
County 6:21	crowded 24:7	<b>cut</b> 112:7	73:20 78:24	67:20
<b>couple</b> 37:11	crucial 41:24		93:22	depends 27:4
61:21 85:4	90:1 108:20	<u>D</u>	<b>deep</b> 62:9	deployment
106:18 119:14	crunch 24:7	<b>D</b> 2:1 3:1 5:1	<b>deeply</b> 59:21	65:15
129:1 130:7	<b>CSR</b> 4:21	<b>D.C</b> 68:18 120:1	deer 130:14	<b>depth</b> 15:18
course 17:24	131:21	122:6	defined 44:1,1	79:20
57:21,22 58:3	<b>Cuba</b> 92:23	dance 92:17	81:10	describe 32:1
62:7 108:7	cultural 25:9	data 25:11,23	defines 71:13	128:16
coursework	43:14	25:25 65:17	definitely 19:21	described
107:20	culture 28:19	103:23 120:18	86:24,25	107:13
<b>Court</b> 4:20	122:4	120:23 121:2	definitions 22:7	<b>DESE</b> 16:6,7
131:3	curious 25:5	date 9:24	degrading	22:12 69:13,15
<b>courts</b> 123:18	42:3 84:25	daughter 110:2	112:24	69:20 70:1,8,9
coverage 103:10	current 6:20	<b>David</b> 63:6	<b>degree</b> 35:16	70:15 71:20
covered 98:22	10:19 11:4,11	day 7:18 75:16	97:12	76:22 102:6,21
crafting 78:23	29:7 32:20,24	87:18 131:17	degrees 97:1,2	102:25 103:1,5
<b>Craig</b> 20:13	40:10 45:11	days 13:6 44:24	deliberations	103:11 104:5
30:20	49:3 57:16	47:8	105:20	105:9 122:19
<b>create</b> 25:7 30:6	58:18 60:8	<b>Dean</b> 63:3	delighted	129:12
70:6 74:6 75:3	61:1 63:12	<b>debate</b> 91:17	110:15	<b>DESE's</b> 30:25
94:8 100:22	71:23 75:23,24	<b>decades</b> 23:14 73:15	delineate 83:13	71:18 77:4
created 46:2	82:3 85:4	decay 71:23	<b>Delphi</b> 69:25	125:12
62:14 77:23	93:18 98:15	decay 71.23 deceit 77:17	demand 72:3,9	DESE.mo.gov
115:10	99:14,23 121:1	<b>December</b> 66:19	<b>demands</b> 71:18	64:7
<b>creating</b> 15:13	currently 6:5	123:9,14	116:1	<b>deserve</b> 72:13
71:6 103:10	9:21,21 11:13	129:15	democracy	<b>deserves</b> 86:22
creativity 69:1	11:19 13:1	deception	67:20 76:8	<b>design</b> 19:5 84:8
credit 85:6	14:22 53:21	125:11	92:19	<b>designed</b> 11:3
86:22	55:19 79:24	<b>deceptive</b> 77:10	<b>Democrat</b> 91:10	18:5 80:23
critical 33:12	94:8 98:20 <b>curricular</b> 30:7	decide 29:4	91:11	81:15
41:3 81:7 85:15	56:20	decided 14:1	<b>democratic</b> 66:12 67:24	designs 17:1 desire 102:24
	curriculum 6:23	15:24 16:2		
critically 30:20 81:12		17:6 29:8 30:6	81:17 92:8 105:1,4,24	desperate 70:7 destination 85:7
cross-categori	15:9 17:25 21:7,13,20	37:3 123:22	department 1:1	detail 25:6
10:22	22:4,13 23:8	deciding 92:6	40:5 47:2	details 34:21
cross-content	24:8 29:12	decision 54:15	65:23 66:1,4	Detroit 6:15
80:16	40:6 45:18	71:9 76:13,17	67:4 72:4 74:7	develop 18:21
cross-cutting	49:8,8 53:11	81:7 92:3,5	76:16 77:9,13	21:9 22:4 23:7
16:22 18:15	55:9 78:19	104:3	98:14 109:22	23:20 24:16,17
crosscutting	84:9 86:7 91:2	decision-maki	departments	28:18 40:16
79:7	91:23 97:2	29:5	109:14	58:15 86:16
crossroads	100:5 112:2	decisions 26:20	dependent	<b>developed</b> 18:5
or opproduce	100.5 112.2		acpendent	developed 10.5
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	1	1	<u> </u>	ı
45:10 77:5	directors 21:8	districts 11:8	111:16	dysfunctional
developing	disagree 54:22	22:4 29:12	dollars 74:10	124:11
71:15 76:2	118:23	57:22 58:21,24	89:8 90:5	
90:1 102:15	disagreed	87:24,25 108:1	119:16	E
development	118:22	116:1 118:17	<b>domain</b> 71:4,11	<b>E</b> 2:1 3:1 4:1,1
19:20 58:20	disciplinary	<b>diverse</b> 26:6,7	domains 47:6	5:1,1 131:1
65:14,17	18:15	28:20 33:25	domestic 32:2	<b>e-mail</b> 64:6
developmenta	discipline	34:1 68:11	donated 90:5	<b>Eagle</b> 112:18
36:17 50:9	117:25	118:13	<b>Donna</b> 2:24	earlier 28:23
51:15 116:3	disciplined	diversity 26:8	109:20	89:6
develops 66:22	90:16	68:22	<b>doomed</b> 105:5	early 89:20
dialogue 45:24	disciplines	divide 37:5	double-checked	earth 32:15
48:8 103:16	114:7	divided 11:18	37:21	easier 115:1
Dickens 42:17	discuss 49:2	dividing 113:2	<b>Dr</b> 2:14,17 5:10	easily 22:7
84:22	51:2,12 65:11	doctorate 97:11	5:11,14,15 6:7	easy 48:25
dictating 74:24	discussed 51:5	document 10:3	18:18,19 19:8	<b>echo</b> 114:10
difference 43:19	discussing 47:9	14:5,25 15:10	26:2,3 27:14	128:19
83:13	discussion 25:7	17:19,25 21:3	27:17,24 39:1	Economics
differences	25:13,15 42:12	22:6 30:6	39:2,7,12 52:1	92:16
56:13	48:21 49:16	31:14,19 33:23	52:2,19 64:11	economy 42:20
different 9:8	67:18 91:24	35:9,10 36:14	67:11,13,15	ed 20:22 53:12
15:1,20 16:4	discussions	38:20 49:9	68:3,10 69:4	57:5 62:24
27:3,8,11	28:22,24	57:18 61:6	78:15 82:2	63:4 65:23
37:12 49:6	disjointed 95:5	76:10,14 94:20	83:7,19 84:2	66:4 67:6
52:16 56:2	99:23 114:12	95:16 106:19	84:24 85:14,21	112:16,19
80:23 100:14	disregarded	106:21 107:7	90:14 96:20,21	120:18
114:6,17,19	100:20	107:10 108:16	<b>draft</b> 46:5,17,17	editorial 104:9
120:16 122:20	dissatisfaction	documented	47:1 94:3	educate 73:21
differentiation	105:1	34:15 65:24	drafted 57:2	127:16
54:11,25	dissatisfied	96:6	<b>drama</b> 38:17	educated 105:2
differently	104:23	documents 15:2	42:8 103:8	118:25
120:3	disservice 108:5	15:6,14 21:2	dramatic 89:16	education 1:1
difficult 48:24	distinct 114:13	22:9 25:19	dramatically	4:3 6:3,6,9,21
49:4,24 95:8	distribution	31:5 45:15	51:6	7:15 15:12
100:6 113:24	106:11	46:2 51:18	<b>draw</b> 107:9	18:2 24:15,20
120:9	<b>district</b> 6:1,8,13	80:17,23 81:2	<b>dream</b> 7:19	40:6 42:22
diffusion 71:14	6:20 7:7,22	101:20,25	121:3	46:10,14 47:2
75:10	11:23 12:6,12	114:14,15,23	drew 60:3 61:1	48:12 50:23
dig 25:22	14:18,21 20:17	<b>DoE</b> 65:24	driven 20:25	55:20 56:20
digging 47:9	36:6 39:19	<b>Dohrman</b> 63:3,3	<b>drop</b> 46:2,3	61:8,10 63:8
digital 52:7	44:19,23 54:17	doing 18:24	due 115:17	63:10,13,16
direct 112:22	55:21 63:4,7	19:6,18 23:11	Dunkeson 8:21	65:5,6,21
directed 102:14	63:19 78:17	24:4,25 77:25	8:22,24 9:15	66:18 71:12,14
direction 30:3	84:10 86:1	83:25 96:11,12	10:16 13:1,17	71:20 72:4,7
director 100:5	91:22 107:25	104:19 108:5	14:12	72:12 73:7,15
127:2	127:3 128:10	110:12,19	duties 103:4	73:25,25 74:7
		, -		
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ı	ı

74:24 75:1,2,6	123:7	30:12 33:12	essentially	excitement
75:10 76:16,17	elected 70:17	107:4 109:12	106:21 115:23	61:24
77:9,13 78:13	74:14	109:13 120:5	establish 29:3	<b>exciting</b> 34:8,22
89:13 90:5	electronically	122:18	66:18	101:6
93:6,7 97:12	56:24	encouraged	established	exclusion 29:18
97:13 99:2	elementary 1:1	35:11	68:22	exclusive 65:3
103:19 104:22	20:16 44:18,21	<b>ended</b> 130:21	evals 120:14,15	65:10
105:6,21 107:6	46:4,20,21	ends 106:22	<b>evaluate</b> 32:1,13	exemplar 38:25
109:22 110:1,8	47:2,4 48:23	<b>energy</b> 48:11	evaluates 66:22	exercise 91:16
110:17 111:22	49:4,25 50:23	61:24	evaluation	exercising 67:22
112:1,21 113:2	52:24 53:3,10	engage 81:18	114:5	<b>existing</b> 31:9,23
113:5,8,10	53:13,16 63:13	engaging 47:24	<b>event</b> 27:12	58:19 114:8
116:7 119:6,11	79:7 80:25	engineering	everybody 5:22	<b>expand</b> 89:19
121:1 126:18	83:10 94:4,20	10:17 16:23	13:17 85:16	expanded 74:19
129:4 130:11	94:21,24 95:7	18:16 79:9	93:5 110:11	<b>Expanding</b> 23:4
educational	95:10 97:1	<b>English</b> 2:9,10	<b>evil</b> 77:8	expect 24:13
7:15 70:6	99:13,19 100:3	8:15,16 36:2	evolution 32:1	104:12
71:18 72:11	100:20,22	39:14 40:9	exacerbated	expectation
102:10 122:19	126:12	42:18 82:20,23	108:14	18:12 50:15
128:6	<b>Eleven</b> 46:23	88:10,13 104:9	exactly 19:25	expectations
educator 44:22	Eleventh 4:22	108:10 113:20	50:20 117:21	18:7,8 19:1
educators 16:11	31:24	116:14	124:22	58:13 59:2,4
18:5 46:13	eloquently	enhance 45:25	<b>exam</b> 109:1	75:23 76:12
74:18 84:6	119:15	enjoyed 73:18	<b>examine</b> 109:13	82:14 94:17
114:11 115:19	<b>emails</b> 69:14	<b>enjoying</b> 6:9,10	examined 45:15	98:16 99:15
effective 12:24	70:1 76:21	enlarge 11:14	example 21:16	100:12
106:11	77:3 101:20,21	enlightening 9:7	21:24 26:15	expected 29:24
effectively 81:2	102:17,19,22	20:21	30:12 31:21	51:22 85:17
<b>effort</b> 20:6 27:6	105:4	ensure 15:10	50:2 60:15	experience
40:16 46:10	emerge 24:14	47:15 81:14	79:4 80:21	20:23 53:2,10
86:23 99:16	emerging 63:9	93:23	81:3 82:1,2,15	116:6
103:15 115:14	emphasis 24:15	enthusiastic	106:10 120:11	experienced
<b>eight</b> 6:4 114:13	58:9 79:10	121:18	examples 26:23	104:14
Eighth 6:1	emphasize	entire 59:9	27:7 32:5,6	experiences 84:9
55:24 57:22	47:19	86:22 115:24	38:22 99:6,25	experimentati
107:16 120:13	empirical 25:11	entirely 27:3	exams 88:1	19:5
either 90:8,24	employee 74:14	entry 32:14	109:11	expert 119:9
124:16	employees 66:3	envy 117:18	exceed 85:17	expertise 34:4
ELA 24:2,6,25	102:21	equally 123:20	excellent 25:3	69:1 84:13
39:19 40:8,11	employer 77:22	<b>equation</b> 50:5,5	94:5	95:15 97:8
43:6 70:22	employing	50:7,12	exceptional	experts 118:21
71:7 76:22	69:24	eradicate 74:1	111:22	118:23 119:10
82:3,18,20	enable 71:21	especially 80:25	exchange 74:9	120:25 122:4
88:6,10,12	encountered	essential 47:25	74:16	experts' 102:13
92:15 102:1	56:9	75:11 79:6	excited 73:17	explained
105:19 112:19	encourage 24:22	98:19 104:16	98:14 101:2	104:10
		<u> </u>		l

exploration	farther 13:24	34:9,14 41:13	<b>Floor</b> 131:14	109:25 131:8
52:10	fast 76:20 85:10	42:7 52:6	flow 111:18	Fortunately
exponential	124:14	53:22 54:3,5	fluency 57:14	86:3
60:18	fathers 24:19	115:22,25	fluid 108:23	Forum 112:18
<b>exposed</b> 30:19	118:24	116:22	Focal 45:18 49:8	forward 5:23
_	fault 97:3 115:9	<b>fighting</b> 73:10	49:9 56:19	16:10 29:6
express 73:6 expressed 70:16	favorably 88:22	figure 123:15	focus 11:8 29:17	55:5 62:5
expressing 76:1	88:22	fill 64:2	55:6 56:13	63:23 76:20
extent 102:7	60.22 <b>favorite</b> 66:10	filled 45:9		130:4
		filters 66:13	65:13 79:9,15 81:11 85:2	found 55:5 99:4
extremely 124:11	<b>featuring</b> 122:11,20	final 13:16,18	101:24	foundation
· ·	fed 73:24	16:16 38:2	focused 18:8	24:17 66:2
eye 13:25,25				
56:11,12	federal 73:25	41:17 46:19,23	57:13 85:12	89:7,18
$\overline{\mathbf{F}}$	74:5,10 79:19	47:1 59:12	130:3	Foundation's
<b>F</b> 131:1	109:21 111:24	70:13,15 76:20	FOIA 69:15	89:13
<b>fabulous</b> 118:15	112:8 119:16	80:14	101:20,21	foundations
facilitator 69:24	125:21	finalized 17:17	102:16,19	24:12 66:1
75:19,21,21	federally 77:6	financial 69:16	folks 30:3	114:18 117:9
facilitators	<b>feds</b> 76:18	86:11	<b>follow</b> 23:4	117:10
69:20 70:2	feedback 46:18	find 22:7 23:20	93:14	founders 21:24
fact 10:20 18:22	57:2 79:14	25:19 37:25	followed 40:2	73:9
	81:12	58:19 60:20,21	95:6 101:18	founding 24:19
18:25 19:17	<b>feel</b> 9:18 10:3	76:9 77:3,17	following 6:23	68:12 73:13
64:14 76:2	12:1 14:5 18:9	100:6	23:5 40:5	74:14 91:7
91:8 105:3	19:22 36:20	<b>fine</b> 118:16	106:25	118:24
106:21 123:18	47:18 48:5	121:8	<b>force</b> 9:10	four 8:8 15:6,14
130:8	56:6 67:21	<b>finger</b> 75:17	104:20	37:8 114:6
factor 128:7	94:5,19 97:16	finished 31:5	<b>Fordham</b> 29:15	<b>fourth</b> 6:12
facts 98:17	98:25 119:5	finishing 97:11	foregoing	21:24 23:14
101:4 105:2	125:2	<b>firm</b> 125:18	131:10	26:16 45:7
<b>factual</b> 30:14	feeling 26:18	<b>first</b> 8:19 11:6	foreign 32:2	51:16 52:6
faculty 57:5	<b>feels</b> 94:1	20:18 24:11	_108:22	115:22 116:22
<b>failing</b> 120:12	<b>felt</b> 10:25 13:7	29:3,3,6 50:4	Foremost	fractions 51:13
121:23	32:23 43:24	50:11 61:22	106:20	51:14,15
<b>failure</b> 121:24	51:20 60:6,8	62:17 69:23	forgive 72:24	framework 9:25
fairly 68:2 86:5	75:16	78:21 79:16	97:23	23:5
families 67:9	<b>female</b> 68:19	98:24 110:14	<b>forgot</b> 76:25	frameworks
<b>family</b> 23:7	<b>fence</b> 71:24	111:12 112:7	forgotten 114:3	10:22
121:22 122:16	Ferguson-Flo	123:13	<b>format</b> 18:10	Francis 85:25
famous 27:1	14:18	<b>Fisher</b> 20:15,15	formats 80:23	<b>free</b> 28:19 67:22
fancy 119:4	<b>fewer</b> 44:7	26:12 27:16,19	<b>formed</b> 124:13	freedom 21:25
fantastic 100:23	<b>Fiala</b> 4:21 131:3	<b>fit</b> 123:11	<b>former</b> 62:25	76:24 92:21
far 41:3 90:16	131:21	<b>fixed</b> 117:6	63:14,15 69:10	freshman
130:16	<b>field</b> 119:11	125:13	forms 64:1,2	108:13
farce 125:14	fields 108:22	<b>fixing</b> 117:15	<b>forth</b> 9:4,19	friends 73:20
farmer 6:5	<b>fifth</b> 6:7 21:14	flexibility 12:8	15:23 105:15	<b>front</b> 21:2,10
		•	•	•

	_	_	_	_
64:1 114:15,16	generation 10:2	<b>go</b> 5:6 19:3,16	94:25 95:16	115:24,25
frustrated 93:2	10:21 100:13	34:2 38:14	97:22 106:3	116:4,22,22,23
fulfilled 48:6	<b>genres</b> 38:18	60:19 63:22	109:19 112:13	120:13
<b>full</b> 30:23 37:10	79:6	77:12 101:4	112:14,23	Graders 68:2
131:11	gentlemen 92:16	104:24 111:7	113:7,15,16	Grades 57:18
fully 58:24	109:19	112:3,3 127:4	118:8 123:5	115:22 120:15
106:9	genuinely 72:10	127:5 129:3	126:6,7 130:9	graduation
fun 7:3	124:18	goal 36:17,22	Google 89:2	108:20
function 60:13	geography 35:6	56:7 74:21	gotten 51:21	grammar 44:4
60:19	Geometry 57:19	105:6 128:2	government	grandchildren
<b>fund</b> 68:15	<b>George</b> 68:19	129:25	24:11 35:6	126:17
100:7	Georgia 45:13	goals 29:4 44:6	46:7 73:2,12	grateful 73:22
fundamental	56:16	105:6 109:25	73:17 91:14	74:20
51:13 91:16	<b>German</b> 127:12	127:25	92:8 125:21	gratitude
fundamentally	getting 77:1	goes 32:1 59:22	governmental	113:25 128:21
91:5,6 92:4	124:14	83:2 91:6	76:13	great 6:6 20:6
funded 65:8	gift 68:20	98:21	governor 70:23	20:23 22:1
69:17 77:6	Girardeau	going 5:3,5 8:4	71:1,5 74:7	33:14 35:3,19
further 13:12	63:12,14	8:5,10 13:3	76:15	42:25 44:12,14
19:10 27:25	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	26:16 35:3	Governors	55:16 61:17
35:22 39:11	girl 75:5		65:21	
	give 10:3 14:24	41:8,20 49:23		68:22 76:6
44:2,11 61:15	16:25 30:3,11	53:19 61:19	grade 9:9 11:18	81:9 85:10
84:16 89:19	33:3 38:24	71:21 72:24	11:20,22,24	88:3 97:9
97:19 109:12	63:23 64:2	82:17 86:6,8	12:1 21:4,6,14	99:14 112:1,2
future 47:15	68:7 73:16	88:5,7 89:3,18	21:21,24 23:4	120:11 128:12
67:10 80:5	77:2 79:13	90:13 91:16	23:15,16,17	greater 121:10
112:22	107:19 108:2	92:3,18 111:10	26:15,16 27:4	green 32:23
G	given 13:3 21:17	115:3 117:6	30:24 31:24	Green's 67:1
$\frac{\mathbf{G}}{\mathbf{G}}$ 5:1	24:2 28:13	119:8,8 120:10	33:24 34:9,14	Gretchen 2:22
Gais 56:19 61:6	38:4 42:3	120:21 124:2,9	37:22,25 40:3	101:14
Gassel 2:23	47:23 48:10	127:24 128:15	41:13,14 42:8	grew 111:6
106:3,4 109:17	54:6 61:22	130:6,13,18	45:19 47:5,5,9	ground 32:15
Gates 65:3 66:2	66:10 85:6	good 5:2 8:20,21	47:22 49:6,11	<b>group</b> 2:4 8:7,13
89:7,9 121:2	125:5 131:13	14:15,16 16:20	50:4,11,19	9:4,4,16,17
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	gives 11:7,10	19:8 20:3,3,13	51:14,16,23	13:4,14,19,20
125:22	<b>giving</b> 9:6 89:18	25:18,20 28:5	52:6 53:22	14:19,23 16:2
Gates-sponso	glad 85:8	28:6 35:25	54:1,3,3,5,13	17:20,22 22:2
65:4	GLE's 9:20,22	36:4 39:12,15	54:14 55:24	22:2 24:4
gathered 130:10	10:20 21:11,19	39:16 44:16	57:21,22 75:23	25:16 28:10,16
gelled 9:18	31:9 36:25	55:17 60:4,7	79:18 82:4,8,9	28:21 29:3,4
general 22:24	40:11 76:10	61:12,12 69:6	82:11 83:2,6,8	30:15 33:9
30:10 31:22	98:20 101:1	69:7 72:17,21	83:9 85:2	34:4 36:7,13
66:21 71:14	114:8	72:22 78:10	94:16 98:15	37:15 39:20,20
75:10 77:22,23	global 80:8	83:21 85:22	99:5,15,22	42:10 44:21,23
78:4 127:15	81:18 118:19	86:17 87:1,2	100:8,11,23	44:24 45:2,23
generally 29:19	glossary 22:5	87:12 93:15	107:16 114:21	45:25 46:16,21

46:22 47:7,13	42:9 88:7	hear 8:7 54:19	54:18,22 55:3	79:10 102:2
47:18 48:5,10	89:24	62:6 86:23	55:14 59:16,17	history 23:15,18
48:20 49:1	guidance 32:9	118:19	59:20 61:4,12	25:12 26:5
51:1 52:23	69:16	heard 53:24	121:7,10,15	27:13 29:14
53:8 55:13,23	<b>Guidelines</b> 61:6	54:8 56:25	Herschend's	31:24 33:2
56:2,2,23,25	guiding 22:3	64:21 89:6	38:10	34:6,8,22 35:5
57:1 59:9 64:9	gun 115:8	102:16 103:19	<b>Hi</b> 112:15	35:20 73:2,11
64:17 69:10,21	<b>guy</b> 122:13	103:24 104:22	118:10	73:17 83:11,12
70:3,4,11 71:6	guys 9:5 43:3	114:4,22	<b>hide</b> 35:8	86:3 90:22
72:8 73:2,12		119:13	high 6:15 14:22	93:2 118:12
73:19 75:21	H	hearing 1:8,16	48:25 51:17	hit 27:8 52:12
76:4,22 77:7	hail 28:8 29:9	5:24 8:1 36:16	57:4 60:10,25	<b>Hold</b> 90:10
86:3,15,20,22	half 8:6 47:8	Hearne 2:24	72:12 79:23	homeschool
102:1,4,17,21	Hamilton 91:3	109:19,20	80:5 83:12	22:18
102:22 103:2	Hamiltonian	112:11	90:22 93:24	honest 72:9
103:10,12,13	91:12	heart 62:10	94:2,17 95:1	107:23
103:15 104:6	<b>hand</b> 65:19	91:20 121:22	95:17 99:2	honestly 115:9
104:14 106:5	<b>handled</b> 41:18	126:25 128:7	106:12 107:18	<b>honor</b> 7:13
106:17 109:6	<b>hands-on</b> 19:23	hearts 125:24	107:22 108:7	64:14 126:9
112:19 113:21	<b>happen</b> 95:10	heat 50:24 51:21	108:12,19,24	hope 33:8 41:1,9
113:22 115:7	98:3 115:6,8	heavens 118:14	119:18	89:21
115:18 117:25	124:11	heavily 61:5	higher 11:24	<b>hoping</b> 87:18
118:13,14	happened 110:8	heavy 68:9	15:12 18:1,7	Horizons 23:4
123:7,9,11,12	happening	<b>held</b> 46:19	20:22 35:16	horizontal 37:21
124:12,13,18	43:11 120:22	103:14	40:5 46:9,14	84:20
126:24	happens 43:10	help 30:25 31:17	53:12 56:20	horizontally
group's 69:23	<b>happy</b> 43:24	34:7 77:6	57:5 59:3,5	80:20
<b>grouped</b> 21:3,18	44:9 85:8	95:15 97:8,17	62:24 63:4,15	<b>hosted</b> 69:24
groups 8:5 16:5	hard 28:15,18	111:3 129:22	94:13,23 99:6	<b>hostile</b> 102:11
17:13 22:11,13	83:13 98:8	helped 10:6	99:16 100:9	<b>hour</b> 8:6
27:9 42:13,14	<b>harder</b> 71:17	68:15 70:6,9	105:11 107:5	hours 77:16
46:1 58:8 62:5	Harvey 2:18	helpful 31:14	127:19	78:22
69:14,20,21	85:24,24 87:8	61:4	highlight 31:21	House 6:4 7:25
70:12,14 73:7	87:10	helping 52:9	50:13	36:9 45:5,8
74:17 76:21	hate 121:23	89:24	highlighted 58:1	62:15,24 63:1
77:12 87:14	<b>hated</b> 90:6	hereunto 131:16	highly 12:15	73:4 99:12
98:7 105:8	<b>Havana</b> 92:23	Herschend 4:10	106:11 107:4	101:18 105:12
114:24 115:11	Hazelwood 36:5	5:16,17 7:5,6	hijacked 72:8	123:10
118:1 125:13	<b>HB002</b> 67:1	10:14 12:3,18	Hill 36:4,5 38:14	Howell 86:1
126:25 128:21	<b>HB1490</b> 18:4	12:20,22 13:11	<b>Hine</b> 33:17	Hubrecht 63:17
grow 68:8 83:6	66:16 73:3	19:11,25 20:3	34:11,12,13,15	63:18
120:15	74:1,17 93:21	34:23,24 35:15	hired 77:25	human 75:4
growth 85:5,5	98:25 101:25	35:21,25 43:16	historic 29:20	hurry 89:3
85:15	<b>head</b> 13:21 87:6	43:17 44:10	30:11	husband 110:2
guarantee 81:15	headings 29:22	52:20,22 53:5	historical 25:11	hyperlinked
guess 17:9 38:23	healing 123:1	53:14,18,23	35:19 43:8,14	22:6
	•	•	•	•

		l		 
I	94:3	individuals 75:3	Institute 29:15	intractable 92:1
<b>Iberia</b> 128:11	impressive 62:9	industrial 42:20	institutions	introduce 5:6,21
idea 53:25 74:16	improve 95:15	industry 113:5	104:12	62:19 64:8
83:21,23 84:3	improved 75:1	influence 65:20	instruction 6:23	introduces 71:8
84:8 86:6,13	improvement	113:8	61:7 75:20	introduction
119:25	31:8 99:14	inform 25:8	94:9	52:5 58:3
<b>ideal</b> 98:9	100:25 114:8	129:23	instructional	intrusion 73:25
ideas 16:22	improving	information	21:8 116:2	<b>invest</b> 89:19
18:15 30:4	121:3	30:17,19 49:13	insulting 112:24	investigation
31:23 32:24	inability 42:23	52:13 76:24	integrate 42:23	33:8 72:4
47:6	<b>include</b> 21:19,20	78:5	integrated 44:5	investment 65:6
identical 83:9	45:16 50:4	informational	integration 44:3	investments
120:2	included 21:7	39:22 43:13	65:15 84:21	89:13
identify 58:6	21:11,23 33:20	informed 24:14	integrity 70:4	invitation 65:11
II 32:12,15	33:24 57:20	24:21 25:1	intelligence	involved 74:11
111:25	99:9	43:15 93:10	71:15,16 75:11	110:1 115:5,6
illegitimate	includes 39:25	informing 103:7	intend 69:2	123:18 126:17
70:15 92:5	79:17 88:6	<b>informs</b> 102:25	intended 50:21	involvement
illustrate 50:11	including 36:24	infractions	<b>intent</b> 70:10	35:17
illustrating	66:21 68:14	66:15	101:18,25	<b>Iowa</b> 111:6
104:11	74:20 77:15	infringe 26:19	102:8	ironic 13:22
immigrants	incorporate	infringement	intention 103:1	irreconcilable
26:9	11:14,25 26:13	88:7	interacting	92:7
impact 47:14	99:16	ingenuity 68:25	91:14	isolationism
48:3 113:1	incorporated	inherited 106:9	interest 6:6	32:14
impacts 75:8	13:8	<b>Initiative</b> 15:10	28:11 86:11	<b>issue</b> 64:16,19
implemented	incorporating	17:25 40:6	interested 46:15	85:5 109:12
48:2	10:17	56:21 66:9	63:1 84:20	117:2
implementing	increase 58:25	74:9 105:3	90:18	<b>issues</b> 34:16
47:25	59:3	Inner 121:23	interesting	63:9 86:25
<b>implied</b> 43:25	increased 79:9	innuendos	122:22	items 29:19
imply 35:7	80:11	126:22	interests 71:8	47:17
importance	incredible 62:4	<b>input</b> 15:25	98:2	
47:20 108:1	98:10,12	66:20 73:16	interfere 92:19	J
important 10:15	122:15	74:10 119:11	Interlochen	<b>J</b> 2:20
12:23 19:13,22	incredibly 43:9	inquiry 19:5	119:18	<b>Jane</b> 119:20,21
34:25 41:5	Independence	21:11 22:1	international	January 98:5
43:9 47:18	28:8	25:22	65:19 88:17,20	<b>Jeff</b> 122:9
53:15 106:18	independent	inserted 38:17	internationally	Jefferson 1:11
114:1 116:8	118:1	38:22	87:21 88:15	1:12,12 7:8
119:5 123:2	Indiana 36:25	<b>inside</b> 23:21	interpretation	37:13 44:24
128:23	indicated 104:2	42:13 90:25	32:21 49:19	91:3 131:13,15
importantly	129:2	<b>insist</b> 89:17	51:7	Jeffersonian
84:4	indicates 71:8	instance 32:6	interpreted	91:11
<b>imposed</b> 70:18	individual 72:5	50:3 51:12	49:17 71:10	<b>Jennifer</b> 36:5
impressed 87:13	75:7,7 84:10	instances 51:6	interview 64:21	<b>Jewish</b> 68:15
22272 2000 4 0 7 . 1 3				
	1	1	1	

	1	<u> </u>	1	I
<b>jive</b> 114:16	63:5 89:19	12:10,12 21:4	102:23 103:2	leaders 21:8
<b>job</b> 7:3,18 13:21	97:25 117:25	21:5,13,14,17	113:15,17,17	24:5
24:8 74:14	120:15	23:6,8 50:4,10	116:13,16,19	leadership 66:3
77:24,25 87:2	<b>K-2</b> 12:9	82:18,25	116:22 117:4	leads 53:23
97:9,15 98:10	<b>K-5</b> 2:5,7,9,11	100:23 114:21	117:17,21	79:25 80:13
120:6,7,9	8:14,15,16,17	<b>kinds</b> 89:1	123:11	learn 24:20
121:10 124:19	9:5 17:22	knew 75:18	т	25:24 29:25
<b>John</b> 4:7 6:7	20:12,25 21:3	119:1	<u>L</u>	34:10,19 59:23
20:1	36:3 40:21	know 5:23 9:11	label 107:12	86:18 98:23
<b>Johnson</b> 91:10	44:15,21 45:9	10:10 11:23	labeled 107:11	119:12 120:2
91:12	46:5 47:5 48:5	13:5,6,19	labor 34:16	learner 128:1
<b>join</b> 113:6	56:24 68:6	14:10 25:15	labs 19:18 35:20	learners 47:23
<b>joined</b> 123:9	73:2 80:21	33:17 34:12,12	lack 25:9 80:10	learning 1:7
124:18	112:19 115:17	47:11 48:20	80:11,15 81:3	12:6 17:1 18:3
<b>Joining</b> 78:18	116:20 124:7	50:20 51:1	85:2,2 117:2	18:14 19:4
<b>joint</b> 63:10	126:20 127:25	52:7 53:19	lacking 60:9	33:7 40:10
129:3	Kansas 6:8 7:21	57:24 60:13,16	ladies 9:16	45:11 48:4
<b>Jones</b> 4:11 25:5	<b>Kathy</b> 63:11	62:6 63:25	109:20	49:12 50:20
42:1,2 55:22	keep 58:17	77:20 81:6	lady 125:18	58:13 61:2
55:22 67:14	92:21 120:8	84:1 88:5,9,12	laid 68:17	66:23 69:12
68:4,7 69:10	127:18	88:14 89:4,22	language 2:9,10	78:16 81:3,14
72:17 73:4	kept 13:23	90:4 91:7,18	8:16,16 36:2	83:15,15 84:9
84:17,18,25	<b>kid</b> 54:13	93:9 96:16	37:6 39:14	93:24 94:14,17
85:18 90:14,15	<b>kids</b> 12:14 19:23	97:10,11 98:11	42:5 44:3	94:19 95:5
92:12 93:4	24:20 25:1,22	110:15 111:6	47:14 75:19	99:3,8,24
102:24 116:25	77:1 83:4,6	114:25 115:12	82:20,23	100:17,24
117:1,5,19	85:25 97:6	115:14 118:3	108:22 113:18	104:15 110:20
123:10	110:25 120:15	118:24 119:4	113:20 116:14	114:9,20
journey 56:9	121:12 122:5	119:12,21,23	languages 88:11	leave 27:14 57:1
62:1	126:13,14,15	120:1,2,3,8,10	large 102:7	103:3
<b>Judge</b> 67:1	126:16	120:11 121:20	larger 35:16	leaving 77:20
<b>Juilliard</b> 119:19	Kimberly 14:17	122:1,5,13	Lastly 109:2	left 29:23 38:23
119:22	kind 9:24 11:2,4	124:6 125:8,9	late 6:10	115:16 118:7
<b>Julie</b> 3:3 118:10	11:7,17 13:20	125:10,10,11	latest 99:1 Launius 2:20	118:17
July 56:3 57:8	13:22 14:24	125:12 126:21		left-hand 11:7
jumping 41:13	17:4 25:10	126:24	93:12,15,18	legally 103:11
June 56:25	37:1 43:24	knowledge 10:4	95:24 96:1,3	legislation 62:15
Junior 6:14	49:1,13 51:2	10:5 15:19	96:10,14,18 97:21	70:17 102:14
juxtaposed	51:19 52:17	30:14 71:15,16	law 21:25 66:9	115:10 117:22
90:19	83:24 84:18	75:11 97:15	66:16 67:8	legislator 117:22
K	85:8 86:15	111:3	70:4 128:17	legislators 62:16
$\frac{\mathbf{K}}{\mathbf{K}}$ 21:10 102:20	90:3 93:9	knowledgeable	lawyer 6:16	70:2 76:23
<b>K-12</b> 22:17	117:1 121:18	121:18	lay 24:12	101:14 129:5
24:15 46:9,13	124:4,12	known 93:7	lead 51:14	legislature 7:18
47:17 62:25	125:14	knows 125:19	107:17	legitimacy
77.17 02.23	Kindergarten	Kremer 3:2	107.17	117:12,13
		<u> </u>		l

	l	<u> </u>	l	I
<b>lend</b> 69:11 75:14	34:11,12,13,15	112:25	lord 120:7	51:19 54:4
77:11	<b>liberal</b> 91:10	living 122:25	<b>Lori</b> 44:17	64:25 66:6,6
lending 61:11	liberties 75:12	<b>Liz</b> 2:17 78:18	loss 39:7	68:8 71:9
lengthy 72:23	liberty 73:10	82:15	<b>lost</b> 42:21 82:9	76:13,17 80:24
leniency 11:11	74:15 76:9	local 6:24 7:16	86:25 108:17	127:7,9 128:4
<b>Lenz</b> 4:9 5:14,15	<b>lie</b> 33:5 106:22	21:20 22:4	<b>lot</b> 8:9,10,10	malfeasance
6:19,19 13:14	lies 31:23 32:4	26:19,20 27:15	13:2 14:9 20:6	71:10
78:11	<b>life</b> 90:21 110:1	27:23 54:15	20:21 24:2	maliciously 72:2
<b>lesson</b> 94:10	110:12	84:8 113:10	25:14 26:12,21	<b>Mall</b> 68:17
104:15	lifting 68:9	116:1 119:1	27:4,11,15	mandates 66:17
lessons 58:15,17	<b>light</b> 106:21	122:18 125:19	28:2 30:3 31:1	79:19
94:10	122:7	locally 27:17	31:4,25 49:15	manipulative
<b>let's</b> 5:20 16:6	likelihood	located 44:19	49:16 85:3	11:12 69:25
41:9 54:2	107:24	Logue 2:22	86:22 90:14	manner 9:18
63:22 90:11	likes 90:3	101:13,14	98:22 100:7	<b>March</b> 106:16
111:20 112:3,7	limited 65:4	106:1	112:4,5 115:1	130:2
112:8 127:23	<b>Linda</b> 36:6	long 2:16 71:22	116:6 121:24	Margie 4:5
level 11:18,20	line 38:4 71:24	72:21,23 73:1	124:22	marginalizing
11:24 12:1	77:24 129:24	78:8 96:17,20	<b>lots</b> 31:14 34:8	103:25
14:2 15:19	<b>linear</b> 60:18	110:7	43:7 118:22	Marilyn 44:21
21:4,6 26:14	link 77:2	longest 6:18	<b>Lou</b> 3:4 123:6	mark 65:5
26:20 27:5	<b>Lisa</b> 2:17 78:15	longitudinal	<b>Louis</b> 4:22 6:21	marked 114:7
31:2 33:24	<b>list</b> 11:16 66:2	65:17	7:3 16:8 87:11	<b>Martin</b> 4:7 5:10
40:3 47:9	66:15	look 11:1 24:22	103:7	5:11 6:7,7
48:23,25 49:4	listen 22:21	27:9 31:20	love 92:16,16,17	18:18,19 19:8
49:11,25 50:19	104:19	32:11 35:12,13	loved 60:5 112:2	26:2,3 27:14
51:23 54:5,13	listening 35:17	35:13 72:25	low 100:10	27:17,24 39:1
66:13,14 75:23	37:7 39:23	83:11 89:1	lower 11:24	39:2,7,12 52:1
77:5 79:8,11	listing 26:23	105:4 109:12	94:12 100:15	52:2,19 112:17
80:5 82:5,8,10	Listservs 22:14	115:15 118:6	lowering 82:13	112:20
82:11,12 83:9	<b>literary</b> 39:21	120:6 122:19	<b>Lyndon</b> 91:10	Mary 2:14
83:9 85:3	43:12	124:17 125:5	91:12	64:11
91:22 94:13,13	literature 42:6	130:4	M	MASSA 16:7
94:16 98:15	42:15,16,18,23	looked 10:23	<b>M</b> 4:21 131:3,21	Massachusetts
99:5,15,17,22	68:14	16:14,18 26:4	Madame 5:3	15:3,15,16,22
100:8,10,11,15	LITIGATION	27:6 29:7 30:1	main 74:14	36:25 40:12
107:3 115:24	4:21	30:5 37:2 60:4	99:20 122:10	45:13 56:16
127:19	little 7:15 12:13	60:24 96:4	maintain 36:20	59:25 61:3
level's 54:14 levels 23:4 30:24	15:19 25:6 26:7 29:24	looking 5:23 17:3 18:19	maintaining	massive 31:8
47:5,22 49:6	36:11 68:8	26:3,16 27:2,3	84:8	masters 97:12 material 42:4
51:17 59:5	81:10 100:21	27:13 30:8	<b>major</b> 67:16	86:18
79:18 83:2,6	105:10 100:21	33:19 51:18	94:6	materials 66:15
116:4	115:20 118:18	52:3 129:19	majority 17:20	math 24:2,6,25
leverage 79:23	live 7:7 52:7	looks 31:19	121:17	44:21 47:17
Lewis 33:17	75:8 77:21	121:1	making 26:21	48:5 50:22,25
LCWIS 33.17	13.0 11.41	141.1		+0.3 30.44,43
		l		l

52:24 53:3	meant 23:11	102:21 103:13	<b>Miller</b> 2:19	73:5,8,24 74:4
54:1,3,14 55:8	38:22,24	103:21,24	87:11,11,13	74:4,18 75:9
55:10,24 59:18	measurement	104:1,6 106:3	90:13 92:10,13	76:5 77:16
70:21 71:7	52:14,15	113:22 124:7,8	93:1	78:12,14 80:7
87:15 88:6,9	media 103:6,9	members'	<b>million</b> 121:12	81:8,14,24
92:15 106:5,24	Medical 7:21	128:20	121:13	83:24 87:4,24
107:2,3,8	meet 36:22	membership	millionaire 90:8	93:16,19,23
108:11,19,22	37:23 56:23	67:3	millionaires	94:25 98:1,5
108:24,25	71:18 85:16	memorization	90:7	98:15,20 99:15
109:4,8,15	127:10,23	19:18 98:17	mind 19:14	100:24,25
mathematic	128:5	100:10 101:4	28:20 31:5	101:2,7,16
45:25 46:5,7	meeting 27:21	memorize 19:2	38:11 50:3,18	102:6,13
47:4 48:12	27:22 34:19	memorizing	120:8	104:11,22
mathematical	37:16,17 46:19	19:6,24	minds 125:25	105:12 108:18
47:21 48:3,4	56:22 57:8	men 113:7	mine 42:22	111:19 112:25
55:7,11 58:2	59:1,12 62:6	mention 87:19	minimal 48:4	113:7 114:9
mathematicians	71:18 73:18	89:5 106:20	Minnesota	119:20,23
48:13	117:20 123:13	122:7	45:13 56:17	121:12 128:24
Mathematics	123:20 130:2	mentioned	60:24	131:5,15
2:11,12 8:17	meetings 13:24	15:15 101:21	minority 104:2	Missouri's 67:5
8:17 44:15	37:11,14 45:2	Meredith 2:17	104:6 105:22	71:13 72:11
45:17,18,20	45:7 53:8 56:3	78:10,15 82:2	123:7 124:6,13	74:21 111:22
46:24 47:24	59:11 69:23	83:7,19 84:2	<b>minute</b> 30:12	misunderstan
51:8,9 55:16	102:9 103:15	84:24 85:14,21	42:17 115:16	51:22
55:23 56:18	103:19 123:21	message 33:3	minutes 8:7,8	mixed 100:11
107:5,15	Melinda 65:3	108:17	10:9 64:3	model 85:5
109:14	66:2 89:7,9	met 13:4,5,5,6	misleading	models 35:19
matrix 21:8	member 44:23	17:22 29:3	107:13	<b>Modern</b> 122:9
matter 84:21	46:25 55:12,20	37:10,12 40:21	missed 42:4	modifies 66:22
86:8 91:8	63:14,15 71:1	41:9,13 44:24	missing 83:5	modify 46:17,17
mattered 22:15	73:1 102:22	45:23 46:16	94:14,20 97:16	moments 87:20
matters 103:2	103:20 106:17	Michigan 6:15	108:20	money 72:2
103:12	107:5 113:20	mid-August	Missouri 1:1,7	74:16 100:7
Maynard 4:6	123:6	17:10	1:12 4:2,22	111:18,24
5:25 83:21	members 2:2	Mid-Buchanan	7:1,8,23 9:10	112:8
mean 12:11 13:3	5:6 8:9 16:1	7:16	10:6 11:3 18:3	<b>month</b> 17:13
13:15 21:21	28:17 37:8	mid-January	18:5 23:15,18	37:4 65:2
41:12 48:25	38:3 45:1,3	16:3	28:8 29:15,17	months 24:23
49:21 51:7	46:4,5,14,21	<b>middle</b> 51:17	30:25 33:11	62:8 81:11
54:12 106:10	46:23 48:5	57:3 94:2 95:7	40:5,7,10,11	106:7
meaning 27:17	62:4 67:5	107:12	40:17 44:20	morning 6:11
104:3	69:21 70:3,24	MIDWEST	45:11 48:14,22	Morrison 2:17
means 23:6 55:9	73:18 76:22	4:21	49:12,22 56:6	78:18 82:17,22
77:21 88:21	78:12,22 81:8	Mike 2:21 4:11	59:1,3 61:1	motivations
89:25 99:8	81:16 93:5,17	72:15 97:23	62:10 64:12,18	32:13
111:11	93:20 96:25,25	mildly 114:1	66:16 69:11	move 29:6 54:2
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
		l	l	

	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ı
61:19	<b>NEA</b> 16:7	<b>NGO</b> 103:22	114:20	omitted 79:24
moved 116:4,17	near 29:18	NGO's 74:5	obligation 48:6	<b>on-line</b> 46:1
movie 122:24,24	nearly 98:2	NGOs 69:17	observation	<b>Once</b> 16:13
<b>moving</b> 23:13	necessarily	70:2 76:23	43:3	17:11 37:1
54:4 94:11,25	12:11 31:18	105:9	observing 83:1	op-ed 105:14
115:23,23	82:8	NGSS 15:2,15	obtained 76:23	open 10:12
<b>MSBA</b> 16:7	necessary 78:1	15:22 16:24	<b>obvious</b> 99:16	27:15 42:10
<b>MSIP</b> 24:23	104:18	18:11 70:20,25	obviously 9:2	45:24 72:9
<b>MSTA</b> 16:7	need 11:9,9	71:2,7,11	86:7 90:8	129:14 130:19
multiple 49:18	51:11 58:6	Nick 3:2 102:23	occasions 45:24	130:21
59:11 81:1	81:9 82:4,9,10	113:17	occurred 102:3	Opening 2:3
museum 122:8,9	85:12 94:6,7	nine 55:21	occurs 117:24	<b>openly</b> 102:11
	96:7 110:6,17	Nixa 55:25	October 1:9	<b>opinion</b> 93:10
N	111:14,18,23	<b>Nixon</b> 67:2	65:2	opinionated
<b>N</b> 2:1 3:1 4:1,22	111:23 112:3,6	70:23 71:1	offer 95:14	118:11
5:1 131:1	114:24 116:9	<b>Nixon's</b> 71:5	96:20	opinions 28:20
name 7:5,12	119:15 123:18	nods 13:20	offered 96:8	34:1 81:6
8:21,25 14:16	129:20 130:2	<b>normal</b> 107:1	offering 96:23	102:13
28:7 36:4	needed 10:9,25	Northwest	Office 1:11	opportunities
39:17 44:16	15:25 50:13	55:20	131:13	94:22 100:17
55:17,22 64:9	75:25 80:6	note 123:25	Officers 65:23	opportunity
64:11 69:8,11	95:2 107:3	124:3	official 103:12	8:11 9:6 11:21
73:1 75:14	123:25	<b>noted</b> 31:2	103:14	20:19 36:9
77:11 85:24	needing 23:1	108:15	<b>Oh</b> 41:4	38:6 41:6 64:5
93:17 97:23	needs 29:11	notes 63:24	okay 10:12 14:7	64:14 78:20
101:13 106:4	60:21 71:19	131:12	17:7 18:17	79:12 101:3
109:20 112:15	97:6 127:10,20	notice 18:20	27:14,24 41:11	108:3 122:17
113:17 123:5	127:23 128:6	noticed 52:3	43:22 49:14	opposed 35:17
126:11 131:17	never 45:6,9	noticing 26:6	50:17 53:5	74:23 83:15
Nancy 55:18	76:9 90:21	novel 43:1	55:3 59:15	104:1 118:1
narrative 39:24	91:2,17	November	63:20 72:18	opposing 86:24
<b>NASBE</b> 65:21	new 10:4 11:25	129:14 131:17	83:16 84:15	104:4
65:24	18:3 23:3,20	<b>number</b> 62:15	85:19 87:7	options 50:16
<b>nation</b> 21:23	23:20 31:17	86:25 102:20	91:4 93:10	oranges 111:13
23:10 27:4	32:24 33:21	114:10 129:5	96:13 97:18	127:21
112:20	50:25 51:4	numbered	105:25 109:16	orchestrated
national 40:8	58:13 69:3,11	102:17	116:21,24	103:11
45:16,20,21	73:16 76:14	numbers 54:2	124:2 128:12	order 8:13 36:22
49:9 64:18,19	78:5 81:9 83:1	numerals 52:4	130:6	80:4 94:23
65:21 66:13	84:1 89:10	numerous 13:4	old 23:2 30:2	99:6 100:9
74:6 95:13	102:13,16	78:22	31:17 75:5	108:19
102:12 105:9	103:16 115:25		older 110:11	organization
nationwide	116:2,7 122:8	0	oligarch 65:9	31:13 58:4
89:20	124:19,25	<b>O</b> 5:1 131:1	oligarchs 65:18	60:5,7 95:12
<b>native</b> 108:10	news 103:10	<b>object</b> 83:23	omission 68:22	95:20 96:22
NCTM 56:19	NGA 70:22	objectives	95:8	102:10
	,	-		
	<u> </u>	l	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

		<u>.</u>		
organization,	paid 69:24	124:10	<b>Perry</b> 8:22	106:10
60:10	75:18 89:14	passage 105:12	person 12:2	<b>planned</b> 126:10
organizational	<b>Panel</b> 45:20	<b>passed</b> 36:10	53:18 64:3	planning 30:7
114:19	parameters	70:17	124:1	<b>plans</b> 41:2
organized 30:7	68:17 71:2	passionate 34:5	personal 98:12	<b>please</b> 10:10
47:4,6	paras 120:21,24	path 57:23	personally 74:23	64:8 81:13
original 15:14	parent 14:17	pathway 107:7	76:25 107:4	95:9 113:9
25:19	73:4 85:25	107:11,13,14	personnel 67:4	120:13,14
ought 85:6	106:5 118:11	107:17,25	persons 26:5	129:19 130:16
outcome 69:13	parental 72:6	108:2	perspective	130:16
69:22 70:11	parents 18:6	pathways 57:20	25:11 43:9	pleased 55:4
102:5 105:6	20:22 22:17,18	Patrick 20:15	perspectives	<b>plus</b> 91:18
119:3	46:15 57:4	patriotism	33:1 34:1	<b>Pobst</b> 112:13,15
outcomes 69:19	66:21 74:20	21:18	35:14	112:16
112:23	86:10 103:8	paying 125:10	persuasions	poem 43:2
outlined 18:6	107:23 112:25	peeve 64:17	28:21	poet 68:18
66:14 82:12	113:3,6,10	people 14:3	pet 64:17	poetry 38:17
128:17	119:1 121:13	22:19 26:23	<b>Peter</b> 4:10 6:18	42:9 68:20
outside 37:13	125:20,25	27:1,7,10	7:5	point 15:24 29:2
60:12 71:2	Parkway 78:17	28:19,25 34:5	philosophical	83:17 84:22
129:12	part 9:6 12:24	40:21,22 46:11	114:18 117:9	106:18 111:17
outstanding	26:12 35:10	51:3 61:10	117:10	points 29:16
102:19	42:21 51:13	62:6 64:17	philosophy	45:18 49:8,9
overall 31:2,8	58:9 68:23	74:15 75:4,13	36:15	56:19 58:23
36:16 57:10	73:3 74:5	77:14 85:6	photographed	60:25 92:7
80:3	75:19 83:5	86:9,12,16,19	34:16	103:22 110:13
overarching	86:12 101:22	91:8 92:24	<b>Phyllis</b> 112:17	policies 32:2
22:1 79:16	101:23 106:15	96:4 97:1,4	<b>Physical</b> 100:3,7	policy 92:2
owe 107:23	121:21 125:12	100:4 104:23	pianist 119:17	117:12
owned 74:22	participated	112:21 118:14	pick 65:19	policymakers
Ozark 20:14	113:23	122:2,19	picked 85:9	65:5
	participating	125:22 130:10	piece 62:14	political 25:9
P	16:1	perceive 24:1	128:15	50:24 56:13
<b>P</b> 4:1,1 5:1	participation	percent 58:21	pieces 23:21	104:12,13,15
<b>p.m</b> 1:16 130:22	130:12	58:22 89:22	pillow 89:9	105:16
pace 108:8	particular 38:18	127:16	place 24:11	politicians 65:5
<b>packed</b> 130:8,9	38:25 67:21	perfectly 15:21	32:17 54:16	politics 104:16
page 2:2,3,5,6,7	72:7 102:4	performance	105:7 131:8,12	population
2:8,9,10,11,12	particularly	18:7,12 19:1	placed 99:22	68:11 127:15
2:14,15,16,17	42:6 44:2	66:23	placement 23:3	portion 64:22
2:18,19,20,21	50:23 83:10	period 32:17	108:25	portion 04.22 portions 26:10
2:22,23,24 3:2	100:2,11	33:24 34:17	places 37:12	pose 24:3
3:3,4,5,6,7	114:17 116:20	41:19 43:11	38:21 50:1	position 71:5
108:15,16	parties 46:15	44:25 129:14	58:23	100:5 115:18
pages 30:9 76:9	parties 40.13	129:16	plan 29:12 56:8	117:18
107:10,14	parts 63.17 pass 123:25	periods 32:11	81:2 96:2	positions 66:4
,	Pass 123.23	perious 32.11	01.2 70.2	Positions 00.4
	I		l	l

105:16	129:10	70 11 11 01 20		
1 75 0	127.10	78:11,11 81:20	problem-based	102:10 131:4
positively 75:8   pr	rescribing 33:6	84:16 85:19,22	12:6	professor
possible 46:11 pr	resence 65:25	87:7,12 90:10	problem-solvi	119:19
58:17,23 91:19 <b>p</b> 1	resent 4:2 5:9	92:25 93:4,11	98:18	professors 22:19
117:23 129:22	5:15 32:3	93:13,18 95:19	problems 59:7	34:4
possibly 19:21	59:12 131:6	97:19 101:8,12	96:5 111:4	proficient 54:1
48:9 <b>p</b> 1	resent-elect	105:25 109:16	procedural	program 128:15
Post-Dispatch	98:4	110:15 112:10	57:14	programs 89:20
103:7 <b>p</b> 1	resentation	112:14,17	procedure 66:18	106:8 109:9,13
posted 46:6	33:14,17 44:14	113:12,16	proceed 64:10	progress 50:10
posterity 73:10 pr	resentations	116:12,25	proceedings	51:16 85:7,7
potential 71:22	53:25 54:9	118:2,8 121:5	131:6,10	85:15
<b>Potter</b> 103:5 <b>p</b> 1	resented 9:1	123:4 126:2,6	<b>process</b> 9:7 22:8	progressing
	51:3 53:25	126:8 128:9,12	24:10 25:21	82:4
powerful 94:3	57:12,17 59:5	128:19 130:6	29:5 36:21	progression
practice 58:2	59:10 75:15	presidential	62:11,14 63:1	83:3 94:9
114:24	78:3 107:1	64:16 109:23	66:13 67:24	107:2,15
_	resenter 8:19	presume 88:12	70:4,11,14,19	progressions
108:23 <b>p</b> 1	resenting 33:7	117:13	72:8,10 75:15	94:14 99:3,24
	resently 7:10	presumes 93:5	76:12 77:4,8,9	project-based
_	reservation	<b>pretty</b> 13:14	78:5 81:13	19:4
	75:12	18:9 44:8 87:5	96:19 98:9	projects 19:23
	resident 2:3	previous 43:25	102:3 105:1,5	promote 24:5
_	3:7 4:4 5:2,18	58:25 74:18	105:24 113:23	36:18 98:17
	5:19 6:25 7:12	82:6 94:10	117:14,24	prompted 32:24
1	7:14,20 8:20	previously 6:2	128:17 129:23	<b>proofs</b> 104:17
69:22	10:12 12:16,18	101:21	processes 47:24	<b>proposal</b> 9:3,19
<b>Pre-K</b> 45:18	12:19,21 13:12	primary 33:25	98:18 99:9	79:16,25
precedent 72:15	14:7,13 18:17	principal 20:16	produce 48:8	proposed 17:11
predetermined	19:10 20:4,11	44:17 53:12	produced 42:24	17:17 18:21
	25:3 26:1	principles 45:17	70:13 77:11	22:16 23:16
	27:25 28:6	45:19 76:6	114:7 124:17	46:20,24 47:3
	33:14 34:18,23	prior 36:25	producers	57:2,10 58:21
1 -	34:24 35:22	private 74:5	110:23	61:25 78:23
	36:2 38:8 39:1	privately 70:22	produces 72:12	79:3 80:15
1 -	39:10,13,16	74:22	<b>product</b> 13:16	81:23 95:25
	40:18 42:1,3	<b>pro</b> 45:4 103:22	13:18 16:16	96:9 99:20
1	43:16,17 44:11	<b>probably</b> 10:17	20:24 38:2	129:3,21
	44:14 45:4	38:16 51:8	40:15 115:12	pros 87:20
	48:16,18,19	53:9 110:10	118:16 127:12	protect 74:15
	52:1,20 55:15	problem 41:25	productive	<b>proud</b> 36:14
· ·	59:15 61:15,19	57:15 66:12	86:20	44:2 86:21
	63:2,20 67:11	71:20 86:13	products 70:13	87:3 122:17
	67:15 68:5	99:18 109:11	70:15	<b>proudest</b> 43:20
	69:4,7 72:14	119:24 121:11	professional	prove 24:24
preparing	72:18,22 78:7	121:21	19:20 58:20	proved 70:1
				<u> </u>

				1
<b>proven</b> 105:3	27:18,20 34:6	59:15 61:16	reaching 93:20	reassured
provide 36:17	49:9 56:12	72:15 78:7	read 60:2 72:24	105:15
64:5 129:11,13	62:5 75:17	79:6 81:20	79:5 83:8	recall 74:13
provided 79:2	87:3 97:3	84:17 87:8	110:6,9,17	receipt 104:8
79:13	100:12 114:15	90:12,14 92:2	124:1	receive 30:17
provides 57:18	114:16,23	95:19 97:19	readiness 17:3	66:20 81:13
providing 93:1	115:11 119:15	101:9 106:1	106:12	received 31:16
129:7	126:25 128:7	109:17 111:11	reading 37:6	46:18 57:11
provision 54:4	puts 115:25	112:11 113:13	39:21 68:14	58:10
<b>public</b> 1:8 2:13	117:15	116:13 121:5	97:23 129:19	rechecked 37:20
6:2,6 8:4,11	putting 39:3	126:3,22	ready 15:12	recognition
9:14 16:3,11	43:4 54:14	128:10	18:9 40:7	68:11
22:9 24:20	114:1	quick 92:11	51:11 59:6	recognize 78:23
41:18 51:21		125:7	75:3 77:1	93:22
57:1 58:11	Q	quickie 52:2	106:13,23	recognized
61:20 63:22	quadratic 60:18	quickly 5:7	<b>Reagan</b> 91:9,11	22:25
65:6,10 71:4	qualifications	quintessential	real 5:7 68:9	recommend
71:11,12 72:3	123:12	65:12	92:11 107:19	12:15 111:7
73:5,15 92:1	quality 31:10	quite 13:7 17:14	114:2 125:7	recommendat
97:25 98:13	58:15 72:12	30:2 56:8	realize 58:12	34:25 54:19
101:22,23	86:17 93:25	<b>quo</b> 73:24 74:1	66:5	75:25
113:19 115:18	95:1 99:2	77:20	really 9:17	recommendat
127:17 128:14	106:12	quorum 5:4	11:23 17:4	49:11 55:4
129:13,16	quantified 31:1	7:23 37:16	20:6 22:14,21	95:22 105:21
publication	question 12:23	quote/unquote	24:1 25:16	130:1
65:25	19:12 24:21	120:25	28:15,18 29:4	recommended
publications	34:25 38:10		29:7,8,9,10	49:10 68:14
110:18	42:10 52:21	R	30:2,2 31:22	recommending
publicly 69:16	53:24 59:22	<b>R</b> 4:1 5:1 131:1	35:23 36:14	10:15 19:13
published 57:1	84:22 105:17	<b>R-1</b> 55:20	42:19 43:1	recommends
publishers	105:18 111:12	<b>R-3</b> 14:21	47:9 49:24	99:10
68:24	111:12 117:14	<b>R-V</b> 7:16 128:11	50:13 51:21	reconcile 115:13
publishing	127:6 128:3	<b>radio</b> 64:21	54:10 55:1	reconstruction
68:24	questioned 76:1	raise 82:11	61:25 82:24	32:3
<b>pull</b> 27:7 34:7	questions 8:8	raised 126:16	87:2 91:20	record 101:23
82:6	9:3 10:10,11	<b>Ralf</b> 3:5 126:11	110:22 115:4	102:2 104:4
<b>pulled</b> 124:12	10:13 12:16	range 58:24	119:24 123:2	recruited
<b>pure</b> 61:9 70:9	13:13 14:9	rapidly 90:1	125:16 129:25	103:13
purpose 33:2	18:17 19:10	rate 85:16	rearrange 23:11	rectify 41:2
75:2,9,20	20:5 21:11	rates 120:16	124:20	<b>refer</b> 75:4
110:21	22:1 25:4,18	<b>ratio</b> 108:9	rearranged	reference 52:3
<b>Purvis</b> 122:11	26:1 27:25	rational 60:18	124:24 125:1	referring 85:1
122:21	33:15 35:22	Raytown 44:22	reason 15:5	94:1
<b>push</b> 74:5	38:9 39:11	reach 59:3	50:22,25 59:7	refining 43:24
put 17:5 22:22	40:18 44:11	71:22 120:3	65:12	reflect 94:15
23:3 24:15	48:17 52:22	122:5	reasons 109:6	99:23
L	•	•	•	•

	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
reflected 26:10	60:20	103:23	<b>result</b> 109:9	82:18 85:18
49:13	repeated 83:14	research-based	results 16:14,15	91:4 93:15
reflection 43:2	replaced 78:2	99:3	17:12 46:17	96:19 114:12
43:10	report 8:4 29:14	researched	57:7,9 58:11	117:4,16,21
<b>reformer</b> 104:23	41:17 45:20,21	49:10 56:14	80:11 109:10	118:6 122:10
refused 103:3	56:1,19,21	researchers	127:14,18	123:22 125:17
104:7	104:8 105:22	129:10	retain 102:6,24	125:24
refuses 105:22	109:2	researching	105:7	<b>rights</b> 75:12
<b>regard</b> 29:22	Reporter 4:20	36:23	retaining 104:5	105:18
regarding 103:2	131:4,4	resembled 73:13	retirement 6:9	<b>rigor</b> 9:23 71:21
regardless	reports 2:4 8:13	reservations	6:23 7:19	80:5 82:12
105:12	104:2,4	23:12	<b>return</b> 113:9	83:14 85:2
Registered	represent 6:1	resistance 109:3	returning 46:25	rigorous 31:10
131:4	7:6,22 63:7	<b>resolve</b> 91:19	reveal 77:3	80:4 81:15,24
<b>regroup</b> 103:14	94:21 98:1	92:1 93:3	review 46:8,16	risk 79:21 83:14
regular 45:3	99:1,6,7	<b>resolved</b> 91:2,17	80:17 81:13	road 130:15
66:20	representative	resource 15:2	129:8	Rockwood
regularly 53:8	4:6,7,8,9,10,11	79:11	reviewed 17:17	20:16
108:23	5:21 9:20 16:5	resources 23:20	37:15 56:14,19	<b>role</b> 92:7 103:12
reinforce 9:23	62:20,22,23	58:14,19 100:6	81:5 95:23	<b>roll</b> 5:4,5 84:19
reject 78:2	63:3,6,11,12	102:15 116:3	reviewing 75:22	Roll/Introduc
relationship	63:17,18 86:10	<b>respect</b> 67:3,6	revise 31:6	2:2
42:14	129:6	76:4 105:18	49:23	Ronald 91:9
relative 42:6	representatives	115:17	revised 15:16	<b>room</b> 1:11 43:6
release 76:21	6:5 16:4 45:6	respectively	22:15 45:9	62:16 118:17
released 69:15	45:8 70:18	70:24	revises 66:23	130:8,8 131:14
123:19	representing	respond 92:10	revision 100:21	root 71:23
relegated 71:16	64:9 101:16	responded 57:3	revisioning	rough 122:14
relevant 91:24	republic 67:10	57:11	115:4	row 15:17
relied 61:5	73:8,13 76:6	respondents	revisions 49:5	<b>RPR</b> 4:21
relies 72:1	76:14 77:21	46:13	94:6	131:21
relieved 103:4	<b>Republican</b> 91:9	response 17:14	revisited 56:22	<b>rule</b> 21:25 66:9
<b>rely</b> 84:12	91:12	46:18	Revolution	67:8
<b>remain</b> 76:14	request 69:15	responses 16:19	68:16	<b>ruling</b> 67:2,21
remained 65:7	101:19 102:19	31:2 46:12	Reynolds 44:16	<b>run</b> 64:4 91:1
remaining 37:4	require 19:20	57:6	44:17 52:25	129:15
remarked	79:19 117:7	responsible	53:7 54:10,20	rundown 14:24
114:11	required 120:19	21:22 71:6	54:24 55:6	running 85:10
<b>Remarks</b> 2:3 3:7	requirements	122:16	ridiculous	89:3
remedy 69:2	120:24	rest 111:21	123:21	<b>Russ</b> 4:8 6:12
remember 50:25	requires 108:18	127:8,23	ridiculously	81:21 84:20
remind 129:17	research 15:6	128:20	69:25	116:13
removed 13:9	37:7 40:10	restored 71:12	<b>right</b> 19:16 20:1	rusty 108:25
removing 54:13	45:21 48:8	restricted 71:3	25:8 34:20	S
renders 70:14	49:7 51:18	92:15	35:18 38:14	
reorganized	97:16 99:24	restrictions 88:8	53:22 63:25	<b>S</b> 4:1 5:1

	•	<u> </u>	I	ı
<b>Sadly</b> 71:15	127:2,3,12	search 89:2	86:8	Seventh 7:6
Saighman 3:4	128:10	seat 118:4	sell 74:15	55:24 57:21
123:5,6 126:5	schools 9:14	second 6:20	Senate 45:4	<b>shape</b> 95:17
<b>Sarah</b> 103:5	20:14 26:21	17:9 21:21	<b>send</b> 16:2,5 17:8	<b>shared</b> 28:21
<b>Sauer</b> 67:2	59:1 65:8,10	26:15 53:24	96:23 105:15	46:2
saw 73:12 75:24	71:17 73:5	61:20 62:3,13	sense 21:12	shattering 32:15
82:25 109:2	98:1 113:19	79:25 80:2	29:24 39:8	<b>sheet</b> 131:8
<b>saying</b> 12:4,11	115:18 121:12	90:11 111:12	115:2	<b>SHIELD</b> 85:22
26:24 96:14	121:23 127:17	111:17 115:23	sent 17:9,13	95:19
100:19 111:24	schoolteacher	secondary 1:1	22:9,11,12,12	<b>Shields</b> 2:3 3:7
112:9 130:7	109:21	14:19,23 28:10	22:12,13 46:21	4:4 5:2,18,19
says 26:4 34:18	<b>Schultz</b> 28:5,7,7	33:25 46:4	104:8	7:12,13 8:20
75:9 89:23	33:19,23 34:21	47:2 63:13	separated 58:8	10:12 12:16,19
<b>SBAC</b> 67:3	35:2,18 36:1	69:9 79:8,11	September	12:21 13:12
scaffolding	86:21	98:24 99:11	44:25 45:1	14:7,13 18:17
80:10	Science 2:5,6	secret 74:2	46:19 47:3	19:10 20:4,11
scant 29:22	8:14,14,18 9:5	Secretary 5:3	56:4	25:3 26:1
scarcely 73:12	9:14 10:7	section 27:20	sequence 115:21	27:25 28:6
scares 118:18	14:14,19,22	66:17 107:11	sequences 94:16	33:14 34:18,23
scheduled 45:2	15:3 16:8,23	sections 27:18	series 32:4	35:22 36:2
45:6	17:22 18:16,24	see 11:17 13:25	<b>serious</b> 126:21	38:8 39:1,10
Schlafly's	18:25 19:3,6	14:25 16:6	126:23,24	39:13,16 40:18
112:17	25:10 30:15	17:18 21:5	seriously 128:25	42:1 43:16
<b>school</b> 7:1,10,16	42:10 67:18	31:18 34:9	servant 120:7	44:11,14 48:16
11:8,23 12:5	69:9,12 70:21	56:11 83:24	<b>serve</b> 6:19 40:16	48:19 52:1,20
12:12 13:6	79:8 82:16	87:20 93:4	<b>served</b> 6:2,4 7:9	55:15 59:15
14:18,21,22	87:15,16 93:19	110:18 114:7	7:16,17 62:11	61:15,19 63:2
20:16,17 23:21	94:1 95:1,5,7,7	118:5 121:23	86:2 109:21	63:20 67:11
27:2,23 36:6	95:13 97:1,2,5	124:12 125:6,7	service 78:13	68:5 69:4,7
39:18 44:18,19	97:12,13,24,25	129:5	SERVICES	72:14,18,22
44:22 45:17	98:3,4,14,16	<b>seeing</b> 20:5 28:1	4:21	78:7,11 81:20
48:25 51:17	98:17,19,20,23	35:23 44:12	serving 6:18	84:16 85:19
54:17 55:20	98:24 99:2,9	61:16 78:8	7:14	87:7,12 90:10
57:4,4 60:11	99:11,13,15,18	83:3 87:8	<b>session</b> 130:19	92:25 93:11,13
63:14 65:22	99:20,24 100:3	97:20 101:9	130:20,21	97:19 101:8,12
75:5 77:1	100:7,13,22,24	106:1 109:17	sessions 46:22	105:25 109:16
78:17 80:6,13	100:25 101:4,5	112:11,20	103:14	112:10,14
83:12 86:1	101:7 102:20	113:13 126:3	<b>set</b> 9:4,19 11:2	113:12,16
90:16,22 93:17	Sciences 24:6	seek 73:21	11:20 18:4,10	116:12,25
94:2,17 95:7	scientist 68:16	seeking 77:5	23:15 33:6	118:2,8 121:5
95:17 98:13	scope 115:21	seen 15:7 74:25	44:7 56:5	123:4 126:2,6
99:11 107:12	score 29:16	98:7 122:24	70:12 71:23	126:8 128:9,12
107:18,22	88:16	127:3,4	100:23 105:7	128:20 130:6
108:7,12,19,24	scored 58:22	segment 68:1	131:8	<b>Shippy</b> 36:6
119:18 120:13	scores 88:25	select 63:8	setter 10:6	38:12,15 39:5
123:8 126:12	screen 118:5	self-governance	seven 126:17	39:9

show 21:18	43:6,22 44:13	soon 117:23	specifics 29:20	9:19 10:18,19
74:25 111:21	skeletal 10:1	sorry 82:22	spectrum 54:25	10:21 11:2,4
<b>Show-Me</b> 40:7	<b>skills</b> 35:5,5	93:13 96:15	<b>speech</b> 67:19,23	12:1,7,9 15:3,5
111:20	36:19 94:23	106:13 116:16	<b>spend</b> 58:13	15:11 17:11,18
showed 83:6	98:18 111:7	118:12	spent 6:21,24	17:19 18:3,20
<b>shows</b> 21:9	skills-based	<b>sort</b> 35:8	13:2 47:7,13	18:21 21:3,4
<b>shut</b> 103:15	31:11	<b>sought</b> 69:15	77:15 78:22	22:16,25 23:3
side 11:7 13:23	sleeping 6:10	soul 126:25	85:3 123:15	23:14,16 28:13
13:23 118:4	slow 85:11,11	128:8	spirit 89:4	29:7,8,14,15
<b>signed</b> 70:3 74:7	<b>slower</b> 108:8	sound 31:25	spoke 28:23	29:17 30:1
significant 26:5	<b>small</b> 64:17,22	<b>sounds</b> 49:21	119:14	31:3,17,25
58:4 115:20	smaller 58:8	sources 30:19	<b>sports</b> 92:17	32:20 33:5,7,9
116:1 129:1	Smithsonian	33:20,25,25	<b>spots</b> 80:3	33:12 36:18,23
silenced 72:1	122:15	34:8 36:24	spreadsheet	37:2 38:5,17
similar 15:20	social 2:7,8 8:14	60:12	15:13 16:14	39:21 40:1,2,8
18:11 32:18	8:15 20:11	<b>South</b> 15:4,16	Springfield 9:12	40:11,12,13,16
58:18	22:11 23:25	40:12 45:13	9:14	43:12,25 44:3
similarities	24:5,9,16,18	56:16 60:5	Springs 44:18	44:8 45:10,12
57:16	25:10 28:4,10	61:3	44:19 123:8	45:12,17 46:6
simpler 94:11	28:12 29:17	sovereignty 72:6	squeeze 24:12	46:20,24 47:4
simply 23:5	30:15,18 33:11	span 11:22	<b>St</b> 4:22 6:20 7:3	47:6,7,20 48:1
30:13 32:16,23	35:2,4,20	37:22,25	16:8 87:11	48:1,9,22,23
33:6 75:22	42:10,15,24	speak 14:3	103:6	49:6,12 50:3
101:5	66:10 67:17,17	18:22 28:9,13	<b>staff</b> 19:21 57:5	51:20 52:3,14
single 8:25	75:24 79:7	28:19 34:3	<b>stages</b> 76:20	52:15,17 56:6
35:10 60:2	81:4 82:15	73:9,23 76:2	<b>stake</b> 101:5	56:15,18,21
117:24	83:7 84:23	78:20 107:5	stakeholders	57:2,9,10,12
sir 13:11 95:24	87:15,15 88:9	123:24	66:20	57:16,17,25
96:1,3	90:25 91:21	speaker 45:5,8	<b>stand</b> 62:19	58:1,6,7,18,21
sister 120:18	92:13,17	69:10 73:4	100:1,8 111:20	59:2,4,13,18
sit 43:1 63:7	113:18 115:17	102:23 103:5	standard 10:6	60:2,9 61:25
119:4 120:6	116:6,20,21	106:6 108:10	10:25 27:21,22	65:14,16 66:8
sites 46:8	126:20	123:10	31:23 32:4,12	66:23,24 67:7
sits 42:25	socialism 92:20	speakers 87:14	34:15 38:23,24	67:17,18 68:6
sitting 13:22	92:22	speaking 31:21	39:4,7 46:22	68:13 69:3,12
14:4 111:1	society 52:7	31:22 37:6	47:10 49:3,22	71:4,12,19
situation 50:16	61:9 75:8	39:22 112:16	50:12,21 51:4	73:14,16 74:2
108:15	91:14 92:8	126:11	55:1 58:9	74:3,6,8,9,19
six 8:7 10:9 53:4	<b>sold</b> 76:17	special 50:19	59:10 60:16	74:21,22,24
53:5 56:2	<b>solid</b> 24:17	86:23 120:18	61:2 71:20	75:24 76:7
57:19 126:16	solution 115:15	<b>specific</b> 30:4,10	82:25 83:2,5	78:23 79:4,17
<b>Sixth</b> 7:22 41:14	<b>solve</b> 59:7 99:18	31:11 32:10,12	84:7 99:10	79:20,20,23,24
68:1	solving 57:15	32:16,19 40:2	100:7 107:7,14	80:3,11,15,21
Skeeters 39:15	somebody 34:12	95:21 129:18	standardized	80:22 81:5,9
39:17,18 40:23	67:22,25 92:3	specifically	88:24	81:14,23,24,25
41:4,11,15	117:7	129:20	standards 1:7	82:3,6,14 83:1

83:8,11,12,24	state 1:1,11,11	Statistical 61:7	strength 104:20	104:11 106:23
84:3,10 85:17	4:2 7:9,14,17	61:9	stressed 106:25	107:8 108:2,4
86:7,14,14,17	7:23 11:17	statisticians	stressing 108:6	108:10,24
88:15,18,20,21	15:3,5 17:4	61:10	stretch 22:21	109:13 114:2
93:24 94:2,4,5	23:10,25 29:13	statistics 110:23	strong 11:23	121:17 127:11
94:7,8,15,18	29:14 30:23,25	110:23	97:4	127:15,20,22
94:21,24 95:4	33:8 34:19	status 10:20	stronger 28:24	127:24 128:6
95:11,25 97:8	40:17 46:7,9	73:24 74:1	strongest 10:24	<b>studied</b> 119:20
99:1,5,7,12,13	48:13 58:22	77:20	strongly 97:7	studies 2:7,8
99:17,20,22	59:1,17 64:8	<b>statute</b> 129:2,9	99:10	8:15,15 20:12
100:2,9,10,15	64:18,24 65:14	129:12	struck 53:14	22:11 23:25
100:18,19,22	65:16,20,22	statutes 7:24	90:20	24:9,16,18
100:24 102:7	66:7,14,16,19	74:18	structural 65:16	28:4,10,12
102:12,14,16	66:22 67:5,10	stay 12:12 85:12	65:25	29:17 30:16,18
102:25 103:17	69:2 70:8 71:9	130:2	structure 79:1	33:11 35:2,4
103:20 104:1,5	72:6 73:14	stayed 127:2	structures	35:20 42:15
104:24 105:7	74:2,3,6,9,12	steer 69:21 70:9	114:19	66:11 74:25
105:11,21	76:6 77:5,6	STEM 18:8	struggle 71:17	75:24 79:7
106:14,15,22	78:12 84:6,12	106:12 107:20	108:14,14	81:4 82:16
107:1 110:10	86:6,10,16	108:3,21	struggling 106:8	83:8 84:23
114:6,9,15	87:4,24 88:23	stems 105:3	student 15:7	87:15 88:9
117:8 118:13	88:23 93:6,20	Stenotype 131:9	35:16,17 48:4	91:1,21 92:13
124:19,20,22	99:11 102:12	131:12	50:15 54:1,4,5	92:17 97:11
125:3,4,23	102:24 103:18	step 128:23	81:2 82:10,24	113:19 115:17
127:4,7,7,9,10	104:7,23	steps 128:16,19	90:17 107:21	116:6,20,21
127:25 128:4,5	105:20,23	129:2	108:8	126:20
129:3,8,18,19	106:6 108:5	Steve 62:23	students 15:11	study 9:4 42:16
129:21 130:3	109:4 111:20	<b>STOM</b> 16:7	19:2 20:25	stuff 43:4
Stanizewski	120:22 127:11	93:18,19,21	24:17 25:16,18	subgroup 37:9
40:13	128:23 130:16	94:1,23 95:12	29:23 30:18	subgroups 37:5
stare 72:25	131:5,13,14,15	98:13,25 99:10	33:3 34:2,7	37:12
start 5:20 18:20	state's 32:13	<b>stop</b> 111:18	35:11 40:17	<b>subject</b> 30:16
43:1 50:7,8	77:8	113:9 120:13	47:11,12,21	84:21
60:1 62:19	<b>stated</b> 36:16	120:14 127:22	51:10 53:25	subjects 50:25
68:8 81:9	58:2	<b>story</b> 107:23	56:7,14 57:24	submitted 28:14
91:22 94:5,25	statement 18:13	110:7	57:25 58:15,16	30:24 31:3
111:24 112:9	39:24 86:4	Stotzky 37:1	59:3,5,6 62:10	33:10 38:4
113:21	statements	<b>strand</b> 37:14	66:6 71:19,22	39:20 40:14
started 8:18	103:25	39:23,24 40:1	73:20 75:5	47:1 56:5
15:1,2,13	states 32:2,21	44:4	76:5 77:16	59:14 106:19
16:15,24 48:21	56:15 57:17	<b>strands</b> 37:6,9	79:5,22 80:4,7	subscribed
49:23 59:24	69:18 83:25	stranger 62:20	80:13 81:7,16	131:17
72:15 117:14	87:25 88:24	strategies 19:4	82:4 83:25	subsequently
117:16	89:14 123:1	strategized 70:1	93:24 94:11,22	37:14
starting 1:16	statewide 65:17	street 1:12 4:22	95:1 98:2	substance 29:19
98:5	88:3	122:10,10	99:17 101:3	substandards
	•		•	•

	1	1	1	1
38:21 79:17	54:10 63:24	takes 23:17	teachers 20:22	terribly 109:8
substantial	90:11,13 93:3	talk 43:5 55:1	21:5,12 22:2,6	terrific 119:10
115:3	116:10	88:2 89:9	22:17,22 23:13	test 88:24 111:6
substrands	surrounding	92:21 98:6,24	23:24 29:11,23	127:14,18
37:20	73:7	114:25 123:17	30:22 32:9,21	tested 79:22
subtracted	<b>survey</b> 16:3,13	130:10	34:2 35:10	testify 69:5
125:2	16:15,19 17:10	talked 54:11,24	40:9 45:16	testimony 2:13
succeed 107:3	30:22 46:11,16	96:21	52:23 53:3	8:2 14:8 28:2
107:19	57:1,3,7,11	talking 35:15	57:4,4 58:5,12	61:20 63:22,24
succeeded 90:21	58:11	41:23 42:8	58:19 60:11	64:6,7 67:16
success 15:8	surveyor 68:16	53:15 87:16	69:1 74:4	72:19 78:9
36:18 48:12	surveys 17:12	92:22 103:22	79:13 80:25,25	85:20 97:20
69:18 107:8,24	17:15 109:4	116:19	86:10 91:21	101:9,22,24
successful 108:3	Susan 4:21	tandem 119:2	93:19 95:13	106:2 109:18
sufficient 29:11	131:3,21	taught 26:25	96:24 98:3,4	112:12 113:14
<b>suggest</b> 105:23	Swan 63:11,11	54:5 86:12	101:3 109:4,6	126:3 128:13
suggested 57:20	switch 12:13	97:6 107:16	113:3,6,10	128:14
115:22 124:10	23:21	108:7 123:8	116:5 119:2,23	testing 11:10
124:15	system 9:25	126:12 127:1	120:19,23	79:19,21 111:2
suggesting 11:5	10:1 11:13,15	taxpayer 65:8	teaches 23:21	tests 101:5
suggestions 31:4	66:24 72:11	taxpayers 66:6	teaching 24:6,9	111:2,8,9
31:14 79:11	76:13 114:12	taxpaying 71:25	53:22 67:16	127:13
summer 46:6	127:12	teach 14:22 21:6	73:14 78:16	Texas 36:24
62:8	systems 65:18	21:13 23:18,25	82:7 109:15	text 43:12,13,15
superintendent	Szydlowski 2:21	25:1,16,17	120:18,23	82:23,23,24
6:22 20:14	97:22,24	29:24 30:4	team 96:4	textbooks 110:9
78:16	101:11	34:7 42:18	teams 79:13	texts 39:22
supplants 72:2		55:9,24 60:14	80:18 93:22,23	textual 116:2
support 17:2	T	67:25 76:5	98:8	thank 7:12 9:5
22:23 31:2	<b>T</b> 131:1,1	81:1 88:13	technocrats	13:11 14:9,11
69:11 75:14	tactics 69:25	92:19 95:4	113:4	14:12 19:8
84:2 95:15	take 8:1 12:25	98:3 104:10	technology 59:8	20:5,8,10
100:2 104:17	13:2 17:21	120:20	tell 33:18 77:24	27:24 28:2,11
115:13	19:14 24:23,25	teacher 6:3,15	91:8 95:11	33:16 35:21,23
supported	25:24 32:10	6:22 9:9 14:21	109:10 111:14	36:1,8 38:6,8
103:23	35:11 42:16,17	21:19 34:6	118:20 119:8	39:8,10,13
supporting	49:20 54:16	36:5 55:18	<b>telling</b> 111:19	40:20 41:24
101:19 125:4	57:23 59:5	60:17,21,22	<b>Tem</b> 45:4	42:2 44:10,12
supports 94:18	60:23 65:8	90:22 93:2	ten 53:6	44:13 48:14,16
125:10	80:8 107:22	103:3 104:10	tenure 92:20	52:19 53:5
supposed 32:7	108:4,11,25	105:14 106:11	<b>term</b> 76:7,8,11	55:14,15 61:13
87:1	115:3 125:24	106:13 108:8,9	terms 18:1 22:5	61:14,17,18
sure 5:4 16:21	127:13 130:18	109:9 110:2,2	31:9 106:8	62:3,17 63:2
17:23 18:1	takeaway 23:23	111:3 112:1,4	115:5 116:2	63:20 64:13
25:14 37:16	taken 8:2 74:17	119:19 120:14	118:19	67:11,13 69:4
49:12 50:14	106:24	120:15	terrible 113:1	72:14,18,20
	<u> </u>	! 	! 	1

81:19 84:15	<b>think</b> 6:17 10:16	48:22 54:6	98:11,12 103:8	131:11
85:13,19,21	13:19 14:2,3	60:3 61:24	103:24 113:24	transition 95:6
87:7,8 90:9	20:24 24:21	thought-out	114:4,4 115:5	98:14
92:25 93:12	26:14 35:11	114:14	115:9 117:24	translated 47:16
95:18 97:18,20	36:10 38:19	thoughtful 79:6	118:15 123:14	transparent
97:21 98:8	41:16 42:7	thoughts 22:3	123:15,20	72:10
101:7,8,9,11	43:5 49:2,22	47:16 73:6	124:3,4 129:24	traveling 6:10
101:13 106:1	54:15 55:6,12	Thousands 70:1	130:14 131:7	130:15
109:16 112:9	60:23,25 64:4	three 30:23	131:12	treasurer 68:15
112:10 113:11	68:2 77:14	52:25 53:2,20	times 13:4 15:21	tremendous
113:12 116:11	79:5 80:20	64:3 73:5	17:23 37:10	35:24 109:3
116:12 118:1,2	81:23 83:21	79:16 99:20	49:16 59:11	trends 22:24
118:14 121:2,3	84:19 85:6,12	108:18 109:22	62:7 89:11	99:1
121:9 123:2,4	85:14 86:12	110:13	118:25 119:14	<b>Tribune</b> 103:6
126:1,2,5	87:3,17 88:5	three-dimensi	119:22 122:25	tried 10:4 22:21
128:8,9,13,25	89:6 92:18	17:1 18:14	today 9:2 28:9	26:13 30:4
130:4,11	96:7 97:14,14	94:19 99:8	28:13 29:12	35:6,7,9 49:18
thanking 113:21	98:10 101:15	100:17	33:2 35:2 70:7	<b>tries</b> 104:10
thanks 81:8	104:21 109:6	thrilled 129:4	73:6,9,23 75:5	<b>triple</b> 37:21
87:10 90:17	111:23 114:3,4	thrown 100:20	76:25 77:18,20	trouble 109:7
92:8 130:17	115:1,7,8,9	108:12	101:15,24	<b>true</b> 9:20 10:5
thematic 29:21	116:8 117:13	thwarted 102:8	110:4 114:5	49:3 125:6
30:10	118:5,15,16,17	tied 75:23 99:3	118:21,25	131:11
<b>theme</b> 30:9	119:7 122:25	Tiffany 55:22	119:13 128:22	<b>truly</b> 13:7 32:24
themes 29:18	125:15 126:8	tight 129:24	129:6	47:25 60:23
thereof 131:8	128:2	<b>Tila</b> 63:17	<b>Toni</b> 2:15 69:8	61:23 78:25
they'd 11:25	thinking 25:21	<b>Tim</b> 69:10 73:4	<b>Tonya</b> 2:16 73:1	105:2 107:3
<b>thing</b> 11:16	30:13 33:12	102:23 123:9	112:15	108:2
15:19 18:25	35:19 79:10	time 1:16 8:6,25	tools 11:12,12	Truman 7:21
26:22 29:6	88:14 91:23	10:9 13:2 14:6	59:8	trust 28:19
38:19 39:2	92:24 94:13,13	21:10 24:2,7	top 84:13 87:5	Trusty 3:5
55:5 62:3,13	94:23 99:6	24:12 25:1,24	106:10 125:13	126:7,10,12
90:7 93:3	118:5	28:12 29:1	top-down	128:10,11
120:17 122:23	thinks 92:4	31:12 32:10,17	121:25 122:1	<b>try</b> 17:21,23
125:13 130:9	<b>third</b> 7:2 9:9	33:10,24 34:17	<b>topic</b> 66:10	25:19,22 32:10
130:13	23:16,17 51:14	35:13 38:4,5	124:3	34:10 37:24
things 17:2,5	54:1,3 115:22	40:24 41:6,9	tossed 126:22	58:17 129:25
19:7 22:20	116:23	43:11 47:13	total 37:10,13	trying 111:5
26:22 27:11	thisexercise 91:5	48:9,10,14	Totally 126:1	115:11 123:15
32:22 34:1,6	Thomas 3:3	52:16 53:3	track 127:13,18	123:22
35:14 61:21	118:3,10,11	58:12,14 61:23	tracked 127:14	Tuesday 92:23
76:19 82:5	121:6,9,14,20	62:4 64:4	train 24:16	tug 82:6
96:6 98:7	thorough 67:18	77:19 80:19	trained 69:20	Twelfth 68:1
104:24 119:7	thoroughly	81:5,10 83:23	training 24:16	114:21
124:25 126:19	73:17	85:3 86:1	116:5	twelve 46:23
130:7	thought 16:17	95:18 96:20	transcript 8:1,2	53:7

	<u> </u>	ī	1	ī
twins 120:2	ungraded 12:3	93:16 96:20,21	<b>Wallace</b> 4:6 5:8	<b>wasted</b> 77:19
two 10:2 23:14	54:6	128:16,18	5:9,21,25,25	123:21
32:7,8 45:3	<b>unhappy</b> 65:7,9	varied 81:6	40:19,20 41:1	watching 106:6
46:1 52:22	unintentional	variety 9:11	41:8,12,20,23	way 11:2,19
53:21 56:3,3	82:13	11:11 79:5	Wallenmeyer	12:15 15:17
66:11,14 84:18	<b>united</b> 32:2,13	various 27:8	8:23,23,24	16:10 21:7
88:18 92:6	67:19 89:14	36:23 45:11	9:13 12:5	26:25 37:3
100:13 118:1	123:1	46:8 66:20	want 7:20 9:5	38:20 42:5
123:21	unlimited 67:22	113:22	12:13 17:8	50:10 56:10
<b>type</b> 60:13	unprecedented	vast 121:16	18:22 19:1,3,5	76:9 87:1
100:10 107:2	112:21	<b>venue</b> 93:1	23:25 24:20	88:18 94:18
<b>types</b> 27:8	unwilling	venues 46:9	26:18,24 30:17	97:5 98:23
	102:11	verbs 18:23	36:8 38:5,12	99:21 100:21
<u>U</u>	upbringing	versus 67:2	42:25 58:16	104:24 111:9
<b>U.S</b> 65:23 66:4	122:14	113:3	62:3,13 64:13	120:12 121:24
109:21	<b>update</b> 22:25	vertical 37:22	68:5 79:2 80:7	ways 49:18 55:9
<b>Uh-huh</b> 27:16	updated 22:8	80:16 95:9	89:1 91:23	we'll 5:22 8:6,8
ultimate 48:3	23:1	vertically 17:21	92:19,25 97:13	8:18,18 10:11
ultimately 56:12	<b>upholding</b> 67:7	80:19	100:18 106:17	10:12 41:17
109:25 119:13	<b>upset</b> 92:4 110:6	vet 95:15	106:20 108:2	62:19 88:16,17
unable 40:24	urge 78:2,4	<b>Vic</b> 4:9 6:19	108:16 109:2	92:21
underlying	use 19:4,4 21:12	vice 14:18 63:4	110:24,25	we're 5:23 8:4
128:7	22:2 51:15	63:8 78:11	111:2 118:3	9:1 12:11
undermines	59:8 60:12	view 31:18 48:2	119:12,12	13:22 17:3
66:9	71:3 74:21	92:7	122:7 124:6,7	24:24 36:14
underneath	76:7 81:1	<b>viewed</b> 91:13	want-to-be 93:2	44:8 61:19
32:4	82:17 94:22	views 65:11	wanted 14:24	83:2,4 84:7
understand	useful 68:2	86:24 91:13	60:1 61:21	86:6,7 91:16
19:19 23:6,9	useless 29:20	violations 67:1	89:5 90:22	92:2,6 93:5
23:22 47:12	user-friendly	<b>vision</b> 101:2	93:8 115:7	96:11,14 111:4
97:6 102:18	18:10 31:13	112:23	123:24 125:8	111:10,15
106:9 108:1	uses 10:4,4	voices 22:14	wants 12:6	116:11 119:8
122:3	usher 7:3	71:25	67:25	122:25 124:8
understanding	usually 13:23	volume 42:4	<b>War</b> 32:12,15	130:18
24:5 47:10	43:9	<b>vote</b> 17:20 38:2	110:7 111:25	<b>we've</b> 9:3,19
52:9 57:14	usurpation 72:5	46:23,25 130:1	warrants 116:9	10:5 11:18
92:14	Utilizing 71:2	130:20	wars 32:7,8	13:3,5,5,6,9,9
understandings	utter 103:25	<b>voted</b> 37:15	Washington	17:7 20:21
30:11	<b>V</b>	59:13 124:15	68:17,18,19	21:16,16,18,23
understood	<u> </u>	votes 37:17	111:19 120:1	28:14,15 35:6
40:21 50:14	valid 104:15		122:6	35:7 57:20
127:20	<b>value</b> 33:11		wasn't 50:15	68:23 82:5,8
unfettered 72:5	Van 2:18 85:24	wake 7:19	75:19 90:16	85:3 96:3,10
unfortunately	<b>Vandeven</b> 3:6	walk 77:19	91:9,10	112:4,5 116:4
37:23 76:18	4:5 41:16,22	walked 126:13	waste 123:14,19	116:17 119:13
83:22	41:25 70:24	<b>walks</b> 127:16	124:4	<b>wealthy</b> 122:13

		•		
<b>web</b> 46:7	17:17 32:25	116:8 118:13	74:3 94:8,18	youth 33:13
<b>wedged</b> 29:21	49:17,18 50:14	122:11 123:9	94:24 96:6	78:14
Week 110:18	124:23	124:2,7,15	104:25 124:4	
weekends 13:5	words 43:19	125:13 126:21	wrong 75:17	Z
62:8	50:5 124:25	128:21,21,24	77:14 126:1	
welcome 19:9	125:1	worked 9:22	wrote 68:19	0
39:9 93:11	work 2:4 8:5,13	13:19 20:21	wrought 43:18	0 89:24,25
101:12	9:4,13,21	28:15,18 38:3		<b>05</b> 2:2
well-needed	14:10,19 16:2	77:15	X	<b>07</b> 2:3
101:6	16:25 20:6,19	workforce 80:8	<b>X</b> 2:1 3:1	08 2:5
went 14:10 20:7	23:9 24:4 28:2	working 16:15	<b>T</b> 7	1
28:3 31:6,7	28:10,22,24	20:7 21:2 46:5	<u>Y</u>	-
59:9 78:24	29:3,4 33:9	52:4 73:18	yeah 25:5 26:7	1 29:16 38:3
119:17,19	34:4 35:1,24	84:7 96:5	33:21 68:4	57:19,22 58:5
123:13,20	35:25 36:7,9	115:8 119:2	81:21 92:10	60:17,20 86:15
Wentzville	36:13,13 37:4	123:12 130:5	117:1,17	107:15 121:12
39:18	38:7 39:12,20	<b>works</b> 9:11	year 7:2,11 13:4	121:12
were/are 52:23	39:20 40:4	worksheets	28:16,18 31:24	<b>1:04</b> 1:16 <b>10</b> 6:24 23:1
weren't 37:23	44:12,23 45:2	98:22	56:4 58:25	
WHEREOF	45:23,25 46:16	world 26:10	59:11,19 61:23	29:16 52:4,4
131:16	46:21,22 47:18	32:7,8,12,14	62:2,7 75:16	52:10 84:13
Whiteman 9:9	48:5,6,11	80:9 90:19	79:14 108:13	88:25 106:10
wide 25:9	51:25 53:8	111:21,25	108:20 109:1,1	109:22 118:24
wife 126:16	55:13,16 56:5	127:8,23	112:8 115:25	119:22
wish 59:21	56:23,24,25	worried 115:20	126:15 130:14	<b>100</b> 127:16
112:22	59:9 61:17,24	worry 83:14	year-by-year	101 2:22 106 2:23
wishing 69:5	62:11 63:21	88:12	30:8	100 2:23 109 2:24
witness 64:1,2	69:9,14,19,21	worse 122:1	year-long 102:3	11 7:4
72:16 126:9	69:23 70:2,4	worthy 33:7	years 6:2,4,8,16	11 7:4 113 3:2
131:16	70:11,12,14	wouldn't 13:1	6:21,24 7:4,9	118 3:2 118 3:3
woman 119:14	72:8 73:2,7,11	13:21 50:8	7:17,17 23:2	
womb 89:25	73:12,19,21	93:8 121:11	23:17 55:19,21	<b>12</b> 7:9 28:16
women 27:10	74:17 75:15,21	wrapping 7:10	65:6 75:1	40:3 45:1
113:7	76:4,7,19,21	<b>Wright</b> 34:10	80:13 85:4	<b>123</b> 3:4 <b>126</b> 3:5
wonderful 28:16	76:22 77:7	write 42:25 43:1	86:2 88:18	
73:22 84:6	78:2,25 79:13	74:19,21	89:8,12,17	<b>128</b> 3:6
112:1	80:18 82:10	123:25 124:19	91:18,25	12th 100:23
wondering 52:9	86:3 87:2 95:1	writers 47:14	108:19 109:22	131:17
<b>Wood</b> 63:6,6	95:10 98:7,9	writing 21:20	110:9 111:8	<b>130</b> 3:7
129:6	102:4,17,21,22	37:7 39:3,22	123:8 126:13	<b>14</b> 2:6 <b>1490</b> 7:25 62:15
Woodlawn	103:2,12,13,14	43:10 44:3,6	127:1,3 128:8	64:7 70:3,9
122:24	104:14 105:8	76:12 93:22	<b>yellow</b> 32:19	71:3 72:8
word 50:12	106:5,17 109:5	98:8 102:13	yeses 38:3	
59:10,10	112:5,5,19	103:16 125:23	York 84:1 89:11	99:12 101:18 102:8 105:13
wording 15:18	113:20,22,24	written 8:3	young 48:13	
16:18,20 17:6	114:1 115:4	22:20 64:6	105:15 122:11	<b>15</b> 15:25 38:3
			122:21	65:6 89:8,12
	1		<u>'</u>	

	•	-	•
89:17	47:3 131:18	55:16,23 56:23	
15-minute	<b>205</b> 1:12	59:18 68:6,13	
130:18	<b>21st</b> 31:10 91:19	76:22 80:22	
<b>15,000</b> 89:22	<b>24th</b> 46:19	86:3 102:1,20	
<b>151st</b> 63:18	<b>25</b> 117:19	105:19 106:5	
<b>16</b> 7:17 37:10	<b>250</b> 65:4	106:17 107:1	
38:3 62:6	<b>26</b> 1:9 107:10	108:11 113:20	
127:13	<b>26th</b> 7:11	118:13 123:7	
<b>160.526.3</b> 66:17	<b>27</b> 30:9	<b>63101</b> 4:22	
<b>160.54.4</b> 7:24	<b>28</b> 2:8	<b>64</b> 2:14 108:15	
<b>1600</b> 46:12	<b>29th</b> 47:3	<b>644-2191</b> 4:23	
<b>17</b> 44:24 107:13	<b>2nd</b> 129:15,15	<b>650</b> 22:10,16	
<b>1700</b> 93:20	,	23:24	
96:25	3	<b>6500</b> 102:18	
<b>1890's</b> 34:17	<b>3-5</b> 12:15	<b>65102</b> 1:12	
<b>19</b> 37:13 62:6	<b>3:29</b> 130:22	<b>69</b> 2:15	
<b>1910</b> 34:17	<b>30-day</b> 129:16		
<b>1950's</b> 51:1	<b>31</b> 66:19	7	
<b>19th</b> 42:17,19	<b>314</b> 4:23	<b>7</b> 65:2	
<b>1st</b> 131:14	<b>32</b> 126:12 127:1	<b>70</b> 58:22 110:9	
	127:3 128:8	<b>711</b> 4:22	
2	<b>33</b> 6:21 123:8	<b>72</b> 2:16	
<b>2</b> 52:8 57:20	<b>35</b> 90:4	<b>78</b> 2:17	
58:5 60:22	<b>36</b> 2:9 6:16		
106:22,24	<b>37</b> 55:19	8	
108:4	<b>39</b> 2:10	<b>8</b> 45:19 57:19	
<b>2.0</b> 40:12		<b>8,000</b> 102:20	
<b>20</b> 2:7 7:17	4	<b>800</b> 57:6	
23:17 84:14	<b>40</b> 6:8 89:7	<b>85</b> 2:18	
86:2 106:10	<b>42</b> 107:10	<b>87</b> 2:19	
<b>20,000</b> 98:2	<b>44</b> 2:11		
<b>200</b> 91:18,25		9	
<b>2000</b> 60:1	5	93 2:20	
109:24,25	<b>5</b> 21:10 24:23	<b>97</b> 2:21	
<b>2001</b> 40:12	89:24,25	<b>98</b> 58:21	
<b>2004</b> 29:9 40:12	<b>50</b> 111:8	<b>990s</b> 65:24	
<b>2006</b> 15:4	<b>51st</b> 63:4		
<b>2008</b> 40:13	<b>55</b> 2:12		
<b>2010</b> 6:17 65:24	<b>58th</b> 63:7		
<b>2011</b> 29:13	6		
<b>2012</b> 15:4			
<b>2013</b> 40:13	<b>6</b> 40:3		
<b>2014</b> 44:25	<b>6-12</b> 2:6,8,10,12		
65:24 66:19	8:14,15,16,17		
<b>2015</b> 1:9 30:23	14:14 28:4		
45:1 46:6,20	37:23 39:14,19 43:6 45:24		
	// 1.5°D // 3° //		